

Content Overview

List of Abbreviations	XIII
Table of Figures	XVII
A. Introduction	1
I. Setting the scene	1
II. Course of reasoning	9
III. Results of this research	10
B. Groundwork	13
I. Competition law as policy decision	13
II. Terminology and definitions	39
III. Computer-scientific background knowledge	53
C. Tacit collusion “offline”	65
I. Game-theoretic background	65
II. Legal treatment of tacit collusion offline in the EU	90
III. Comparison to the US	128
D. Tacit collusion “online”	149
I. New dimension of self-learning pricing algorithms	149
II. Past litigation and decisional practice concerned with algorithms	185
E. Comparative analysis	201
I. Economic analysis	201
II. Legal analysis	204
III. Policy analysis	218
IV. Interim result and critical assessment	220
V. Legitimate need for revision	221
VI. Interim result	233
F. Design of a legal framework <i>de lege ferenda</i>	235
I. Prohibition of algorithmic tacit collusion	236
II. Tools for practicable enforcement	255
III. Additional ways of preventing algorithmic tacit collusion	269

IV. Outlook and complementary efforts 290

V. Critical assessment and recommendations 293

G. Summary of results 301

 I. Ad A: Introduction 302

 II. Ad B: Groundwork 303

 III. Ad C: Tacit collusion “offline” 304

 IV. Ad D: Tacit collusion “online” 306

 V. Ad E: Comparative analysis 307

 VI. Ad F: Design of a legal framework *de lege ferenda* 308

Table of Cases 311

Bibliography 323

Annex 361

Index 367

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations XIII

Table of FiguresXVII

A. Introduction 1

 I. Setting the scene 1

 1. Common reception of the issue 2

 2. Research focus 4

 3. Contributions to academic discourse 7

 II. Course of reasoning 9

 III. Results of this research 10

B. Groundwork 13

 I. Competition law as policy decision 13

 1. Definition of ‘competition law’ 13

 2. European school of thought 16

 a) Brief overview of historical evolution 16

 b) Relevant influences 18

 c) Objectives 21

 d) Interim result 23

 3. US schools of thought 24

 a) The Harvard School 24

 b) The Chicago School 27

 c) The Post-Chicago School 30

 d) Interim result 31

 4. Comparative analysis 32

 5. Result: Incumbent ideology in Europe 34

 II. Terminology and definitions 39

 1. Definition of ‘collusion’ 40

 2. Definition of ‘algorithm’ 41

 a) Differentiation by function 45

 b) Differentiation by technical sophistication 46

 c) Interim result 49

 3. Research focus 50

III. Computer-scientific background knowledge	53
1. Supervised learning	54
2. Unsupervised learning	55
3. Reinforcement learning	56
4. Deep learning	60
5. Interim result	63
C. Tacit collusion “offline”	65
I. Game-theoretic background	65
1. Subjective conditions for tacit collusion	65
a) Basic concept and its intricacies	65
b) Single-period game	68
c) Multi-period games	71
d) Summary of subjective conditions for tacit collusion	73
2. Objective conditions for tacit collusion	74
a) Structural characteristics	74
b) Supply-side characteristics	78
c) Demand-side characteristics	80
d) Miscellaneous factors	81
e) Summary of objective conditions for tacit collusion	82
3. Interim result	83
4. Economic implications	84
5. Criticism of the theory	87
6. Interim result	89
II. Legal treatment of tacit collusion offline in the EU	90
1. Art. 101 para. 1 TFEU	90
a) Agreements	91
aa) Case law	92
bb) Academic opinion	93
cc) Interim result	96
b) Concerted practice	96
aa) Case law	96
bb) Academic opinion	100
cc) Interim result	105
c) Interim result	105
2. Art. 102 TFEU	106
a) Definition of ‘dominance’	107
b) Definition and applicability of ‘collective dominance’ and abuse	109
c) Critical assessment of its scope of application	114
d) Interim result	115

3. Merger control	116
a) General structural analysis	116
b) Analysis of non-coordinated effects	118
c) Analysis of the potential for coordinated effects	119
d) Critical assessment of merger control's usefulness	122
e) Interim result	124
4. Critical assessment of the current legal treatment of tacit collusion in the EU	125
III. Comparison to the US	128
1. Current US antitrust system	128
2. <i>Posner/Turner</i> debate on tacit collusion	130
a) Substantive arguments	131
aa) Need for subjective element of will	131
bb) Remedial difficulties	132
cc) Difference in approach, perspective, and associated school of thought	134
dd) Low likelihood as common ground	137
ee) Interim result	138
b) The debate's reception and critical assessment	138
c) Recent reactions and suggestions	142
3. Critical assessment	145
4. Interim result	146
D. Tacit collusion "online"	149
I. New dimension of self-learning pricing algorithms	149
1. Algorithm-enhanced games	150
a) Zero-sum games	150
b) Positive-sum games	151
c) Critical assessment	151
d) Interim result	153
2. Feasibility of autonomous pricing algorithms	154
a) Empirical evidence	155
b) Game-theoretic evidence	159
c) Computer-scientific evidence	163
d) (Experimental) economic evidence	166
e) Perception in legal scholarship and critical assessment	172
f) Interim result	176
3. Impact of autonomous algorithms on competition	177
a) Pro-competitive effects	177
b) Anti-competitive effects	180
c) Summary and critical assessment	182
4. Interim result	183

II. Past litigation and decisional practice concerned with algorithms	185
1. EU cases	185
a) Eturas	185
b) Google shopping	187
c) Manufacturers of consumer electronics	188
d) Video games and hotel pricing	189
e) Interim result	190
2. Cases in Member States	190
a) Germany: Lufthansa	190
b) UK: Online sales of posters and frames	192
c) UK: Digital pianos and keyboards	193
d) Spain: Tobacco	194
e) Interim result	195
3. US cases	195
a) US v Topkins / US v Aston	195
b) Meyer v Kalanick (Uber)	196
c) Interim result	198
4. Critical assessment	198
E. Comparative analysis	201
I. Economic analysis	201
II. Legal analysis	204
1. Art. 101 para. 1 TFEU	204
a) Fully autonomous algorithms	204
aa) General rule	204
bb) “A guy named Bob” is immaterial	205
cc) Difference between humans and autonomous algorithms	207
dd) Algorithms as plus factors	208
ee) Interim result	209
b) Hub-and-spoke constellations	210
c) Signalling	212
d) Interim result	215
2. Art. 102 TFEU	215
3. Merger Control	216
4. Critical assessment	217
III. Policy analysis	218
IV. Interim result and critical assessment	220
V. Legitimate need for revision	221
1. Question of kind or degree	222
2. Inability to quantify harm	224
3. Inconsistent treatment of oligopolies and monopolies	225

4. Opportunity for review	227
5. Consistency with policy objectives	228
6. Compatibility of intervention with social market economy	229
7. Fundamental attitude towards artificial intelligence	230
8. Interim result and critical assessment	231
VI. Interim result	233
F. Design of a legal framework <i>de lege ferenda</i>	235
I. Prohibition of algorithmic tacit collusion	236
1. Outright prohibition of algorithms	236
2. Algorithms as market manipulators	238
3. Classification as facilitating practice	239
4. Reliance on signalling	240
5. Making ‘tacit’ the new ‘express’	243
6. Strict liability	243
7. Inferring a meeting of minds from econometric evidence	246
8. Wider interpretation of Art. 101 TFEU	248
a) Meaning of ‘communication’	248
b) Unilateral collusive practices	249
c) Meaning of ‘meeting of minds’	249
d) Interim result	250
9. Wider interpretation of Art. 102 TFEU	251
a) Supracompetitive pricing as abuse	251
b) Artificial maintenance of dominance	252
c) Interim result	252
10. Interim result	253
II. Tools for practicable enforcement	255
1. Procedural presumptions	255
a) General idea	255
b) Benefits	257
c) Drawbacks	258
d) Differentiation	260
e) Compatibility	260
f) Interim result	263
2. Increasing frequency of sector inquiries	263
3. Strengthening consumer associations	266
4. Extension of leniency programme	267
5. Interim result	268
III. Additional ways of preventing algorithmic tacit collusion	269
1. Disturbing market dynamics	269
2. Algorithmic consumers as countervailing power	272

3. Algorithmic enforcers 274

4. Benchmark pricing 277

5. Relative price regulation 278

6. Clearance system 281

7. Disclosure and audit requirements 284

8. Merger control 286

9. Compliance management systems 287

10. Interim result 289

IV. Outlook and complementary efforts 290

1. Dedicated research 291

2. Creation of a centralised body 291

3. Changing online market dynamics overall 292

4. Interim result 293

V. Critical assessment and recommendations 293

1. Critical assessment and caveats 294

2. Ideological embedding 295

3. Recommendations 296

 a) Recommendations for the legal framework and its application ... 297

 b) Recommendations for undertakings 299

G. Summary of results 301

 I. Ad A: Introduction 302

 II. Ad B: Groundwork 303

 III. Ad C: Tacit collusion “offline” 304

 IV. Ad D: Tacit collusion “online” 306

 V. Ad E: Comparative analysis 307

 VI. Ad F: Design of a legal framework *de lege ferenda* 308

Table of Cases 311

Bibliography 323

Annex 361

Index 367