

Table of Contents

Part I	Introduction	25
Chapter I	Equality of arms: a vague notion in search of legal precision in State-to-State proceedings, international investment and commercial arbitration	25
Section I	Familiarity, vagueness and confusion	25
Section II	Absence in rules and statutes, evidence in pleadings and decisions	27
Section III	Research goals, methodology and scope	32
Section IV	Equality of arms and near concepts	40
Chapter II	The contribution made by the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights and by the German principle of <i>Waffengleichheit</i>	47
Section I	Equality of arms in the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights	48
	1. Equality of Arms as part of the right to a fair trial	48
	2. Proceedings that must guarantee a fair trial and thus respect equality of arms	49

Table of Contents

3. Definition of equality of arms	53
4. The implementation of the definition by the ECtHR: (i) equality of arms and the right to an adversarial proceeding	59
5. The implementation of the definition by the ECtHR: (ii) types of violations of equality of arms	61
a. Equality of arms as a procedural guarantee – Cases regarding court appointed experts	62
b. Equality of arms as a procedural guarantee – Cases regarding access to the case file	68
c. Equality of arms as a procedural guarantee – Cases regarding the taking of and the examination of evidence	70
d. Equality of arms as a substantive guarantee	73
6. The implementation of the definition by the ECtHR: (iii) Equality of arms and the concept of ‘party’	79
7. Types of review by the ECtHR: reviewing the legitimacy of a law; reviewing the conduct of a party; reviewing the discretion of the national courts in conducting the procedure	84
8. Equality of arms: between a strict standard and the temptation of appearances – the appeal to a higher sense of justice	87

Table of Contents

Section II	<i>Waffengleichheit</i> in German Civil Procedure	90
Section III	Conclusion	97
Part II	Equality of Arms in State-To-State Proceedings and International Investment and Commercial Arbitration	99
Chapter III	Equality of arms as between the parties	101
Section I	Parties in State-to-State dispute settlement	102
	1. Equality of arms and jurisdiction <i>ratione personae</i> of the ICJ	102
	2. 'Party' status – non-appearance and intervention in ICJ proceedings	105
	3. 'Party' status in ICJ Advisory Opinion cases	112
	4. Parties before the ITLOS	115
Section II	Parties in international commercial arbitration	119
	1. Jurisdiction <i>ratione personae</i> – the capacity of entering into an arbitration agreement	119
	2. Equality of the parties in international commercial arbitrations	123
	3. 'Party' status – default, intervention, joinder and consolidation	124
Section III	Parties in international investment arbitration	128
	1. Inequality of the parties in international investment arbitration	128
	2. Jurisdiction <i>ratione personae</i>	131

Table of Contents

	3. 'Party' status – intervention and non-participating Party	135
Chapter IV	Equality of arms in the institution of the proceedings	139
Section I	Initiation of a case as an <i>arm</i>	139
Section II	Equality of arms in the principle of consent	139
Section III	Equality of arms and the requirements of mutuality and reciprocity in the Compulsory Jurisdiction system of the ICJ	144
	1. Mutuality	145
	2. Reciprocity	150
Section IV	Equality of arms in the compulsory jurisdiction system of UNCLOS	152
Section V	Equality of arms in international commercial arbitration agreements	154
	1. "Bilateral" arbitration agreements	155
	2. "Unilateral" arbitration agreements	156
	a. Russkaya Telephonnaya Kompaniya v. Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications Rus LLC	159
	b. Rothschild v. Mme X	161
	c. Pittalis v. Sherefettin	163
	d. NB Three Shipping v. Harebell Shipping	164
	e. Debenture Trust v. Elektrim Finance and others	166
Section VI	Equality of arms and asymmetry in the initiation of international investment arbitration proceedings	166
	1. The difficulty for a State to bring a claim or a counterclaim	168

Table of Contents

	2. The investor's right to unilaterally bring a claim as required by equality of arms	172
Chapter V	Equality of arms in the composition of the Court and Tribunals	177
Section I	Appointing <i>ad hoc</i> judges and arbitrators as an <i>arm</i>	177
Section II	Equality of arms and the appointment of ad hoc judges	181
Section III	Equality of arms and party-appointed arbitrators	187
Section IV	Equality of arms in the appointment of <i>ad hoc</i> judges and arbitrators in multiparty cases	195
	1. Multiparty ICJ cases	195
	2. The ITLOS Rules on ad hoc judges when the EU is a party	206
	3. Multiparty arbitration cases	207
Section V	Equality of arms and the impartiality of judges and arbitrators – The <i>Croatia/Slovenia</i> arbitration example	210
Chapter VI	Equality of arms during the proceedings	215
Section I	The <i>arms</i> at the disposal of the parties during the proceedings	215
Section II	Equality of arms and the power of the Court and Tribunals to conduct the proceedings	230
Section III	The conduct of arbitral proceedings	236
	1. Equal treatment of the parties	239
	2. Parties must be granted an opportunity to present their case	242

Table of Contents

3. Guaranteeing the parties a relatively equal opportunity of presenting their case – particular issues	247
a. The “two hats” worn by the State in investment treaty arbitration	247
b. Selected issues regarding the taking of evidence	253
c. The determination of the standard of privilege	257
4. Ensuring cost effective and expeditious proceedings – guaranteeing the parties a reasonable and relatively equal opportunity of presenting their case	267
5. Guaranteeing the parties a reasonable and relatively equal opportunity of presenting their case is the core content of equality of arms	275
6. Remedies in case of violations by arbitral tribunals of the duty of guaranteeing equality of arms	276
Section IV The conduct of the proceedings before the ICJ and the ITLOS	294
1. Equal treatment of the parties	296
2. Parties must be granted an opportunity to present their case	300
3. Ensuring a cost effective and expeditious proceeding – guaranteeing the parties a reasonable and relatively equal opportunity of presenting their case	333

Table of Contents

	4. The exemplary saga of the “Appeals” against judgments of International Administrative Tribunals	337
Section V	Equality of arms and the timing for raising jurisdictional objections	352
Section VI	Equality of arms and the duty of the parties to participate in the proceedings in good faith	364
	1. Sources of the duty of the parties to participate in the proceedings in good faith	365
	2. Definition and limits of the parties’ good faith obligation: a typology of actions	368
	a. The <i>Qatar v. Bahrain</i> ICJ Case – Forged Documents	376
	b. The <i>Croatia v. Serbia</i> ICJ Case – Intimidation of Witnesses	382
	c. The <i>M/V Louisa</i> ITLOS Case – Withholding a fundamental Document	384
	d. <i>Methanex v. USA</i> NAFTA Arbitration – Documents obtained Illegally	389
	e. <i>Pope & Talbot v. Canada</i> NAFTA Arbitration – Refusal to disclose Documents	391
	f. Abuse of Police Power	392
	i. <i>Libananco v. Turkey</i>	394
	ii. <i>Caratube v. Kazakhstan (Caratube I)</i>	397
	iii. <i>Quiborax v. Bolivia</i>	400
	iv. <i>Caratube v. Kazakhstan (Caratube II)</i>	407
	v. <i>Lao Holdings v. Laos</i>	410
	vi. <i>Churchill Mining v. Indonesia</i>	415

Table of Contents

	vii. <i>Teinver v. Argentina</i>	419
	viii. <i>Timor Leste v. Australia</i> (Provisional Measures ordered by the ICJ)	423
	ix. Conclusion	426
	g. Conclusion	428
Section VII	Equality of Arms and Non- Appearance	430
	1. Non-appearance as an arm	430
	2. Procedural rules on non- appearance and the aim of maintaining equality of arms	434
	3. Risks of violating equality of arms while complying with the procedural rules on non- appearance	459
	4. Conclusion	465
Chapter VII	Equality of arms and inequality of resources	467
Section I	Resources as <i>arms</i>	467
Section II	Establishment and use of funding mechanisms and equality of arms	472
	1. Financial Assistance Funds (the ICJ; PCA and ITLOS examples)	473
	a. The Secretary-General's Trust Fund to Assist States in the Settlement of Disputes through the International Court of Justice	473
	b. The Permanent Court of Arbitration Financial Assistance Fund for Settlement of International Disputes	480
	c. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea Trust Fund	484
	2. Pro Bono	486

Table of Contents

	3. Third Party Funding	487
Section III	Powers of the Court or Tribunal to level the playing field when no funding mechanism is available	504
Section IV	Allocation of costs in ICJ and ITLOS proceedings as evidence of equality of arms	522
Section V	Rules on advance on costs in arbitral proceedings and the levelling and un-levelling of the playing field	526
	1. Payment of advance on costs – an equal responsibility bestowed on all the parties to the proceedings	527
	2. Rules on the final apportionment of costs in the award – a final opportunity to level the playing field	529
	3. Rules tackling default by one party in the payment of advance on costs and equality of arms	533
	4. The risks arising from the claimant being unable to pay the entirety of the advance on costs and how to remedy them	535
	a. Seeking an award or order requesting the non-paying party to pay or reimburse its share of advance on costs	535
	b. Arguing that impecuniosity and the consequent inability of paying the advance on costs has rendered inapplicable or inoperable the arbitration agreement	544

Table of Contents

	c. Requesting a national court to order the arbitral tribunal and institution to reinstate the arbitration and the arbitral tribunal to decide on the merits	556
	d. Seeking to set aside an award that did not address certain claims because the advance on cost pertaining to such claims had not been paid	561
	e. Techniques for arbitral tribunals and institutions to conduct a case with an impecunious party and avoid dismissing the case	563
Section VI	Security for costs and equality of arms	570
	1. Reasons for requesting security for costs – a shield or a sword?	570
	2. Granting security for costs and guaranteeing equality of arms	571
	a. A decision on security for costs presupposes the “costs follow the event” rule on final apportionment of costs	572
	b. The power of the tribunal to order security for costs	573
	c. A <i>prima facie</i> case on the merits	579
	d. Proving a risk of irreparable harm and urgency – proving exceptional circumstances or a fundamental change in circumstances	580

Table of Contents

	e. The role played by the presence of third-party funders in qualifying impecuniosity as “exceptional circumstances”	586
	f. Proving proportionality	593
Part III	Conclusions: Equality of Arms as a Principle Governing the Proceedings – Legal Nature, Normative Implications and Remedies	597
Chapter VIII	Legal Nature	599
	Section I Equality of arms as a general principle of law recognized by civilized nations	602
	Section II The emergence of international procedural law: a product of crosspollination due to the multiplication of international courts and tribunals	609
	Section III Equality of arms as a general principle of international procedural law	617
Chapter IX	Normative Implications	623
	Section I Equality of Arms as a source of powers of the Court or Tribunals	624
	Section II Equality of arms as a source of rights and duties of the Parties	625
Chapter X	Remedies	627
	Section I Remedy: levelling the playing field during the course of the proceedings	628

Table of Contents

Section II	Sanctions at the end of the proceedings: between ex-post levelling of the playing field and sanctioning misconduct	631
Section III	<i>Extrema Ratio</i> : Damages for breach of duty to maintain equality of arms, annulment, non-enforcement and regulatory action	632
Section IV	Six steps for arguing a violation of equality of arms	636
Section V	An example of the application of the principle of equality of arms to the issue of unilateral initiation of a case before the ICJ by a State immediately after the deposit of its unilateral declaration	637
	1. The issue	637
	2. Application of the six steps to the issue	641
	a. First Step: Identifying the <i>arm</i>	642
	b. Second Step: Identifying the unbalance	642
	c. Third Step: Identifying the source causing the unbalancing effect on the <i>arm</i>	643
	d. Fourth Step: Identifying the effect and consequence of the inequality of <i>arms</i>	644
	e. Fifth Step: Identifying the actual disadvantage	645
	f. Sixth Step: Identifying the remedies that should be applied	648

Table of Contents

Abstract in German	653
Table of Cases	663
List of Abbreviations	683
Bibliography	685