

Table of Contents

Preface	V
---------------	---

Introductions

Ernst Karner

Strict Liability – Opening Remarks	3
I. Starting point	3
II. Liability for dangerous things	4
III. Liability for defective products	8
IV. Vicarious liability	9
V. Detriments emerging from neighbouring property	10
VI. Summary	11

Geng Lin

Introduction to Fault-Based and Strict Liability in Chinese Civil Law

Sources and legal doctrine until the promulgation of the Chinese Civil Code	13
I. Regulations on fault-based liability and strict liability	13
A. Legal resources	13
1. General Principles of Civil Law	13
2. Tort Law	15
3. Supreme Court relevant judicial interpretation	16
4. Individual special legislation	17
5. Tort liability part in the official Draft of the Chinese Civil Code	17
B. System of imputation principles	18
C. The specific content	21
1. Fault-based liability	22
2. Strict liability	23
II. Theory and practice of fault liability	25
A. The identification of the standard of fault	25

VIII Table of Contents

B.	Higher duty of care	26
1.	Expert liability	26
2.	Dangerous behaviour or objects	27
3.	Parties with stronger prevention and control capabilities	28
4.	Whether to obtain benefits	29
C.	Inversion of burden of proof: presumption of fault liability	29
1.	The expansion of the presumption fault liability	30
2.	Restrictive scope of the application of presumption of fault liability	33
D.	Organisation's tort liability	34
III.	Theories and practices on strict liability	35
A.	General theories of strict liability	35
1.	Justification for strict liability	36
a.	Particular dangers	36
b.	Control force	36
c.	Benefit	37
d.	'The Deep Pocket' theory	37
B.	Responsibility for risk	38
1.	Characteristics of regulations for dangerous activities in China's Tort Liability Law	38
a.	General terms are set	38
b.	Limits of liability	38
c.	Insurance and compensation funds – spreading risks	39
d.	Minimum liability	40
2.	Trends in risk liability	40
3.	Reduction of strict liability and exemptions	41
a.	Provisions of the Tort Liability Act for exemptions from strict liability	42
b.	Can an act of a third person become an exemption?	43
c.	Strict liability and contributory negligence	43
C.	Vicarious liability	45
1.	Guardians' responsibility	45
2.	Employers' liability	48
IV.	Conclusion	51

Differences Between Fault-Based and Strict Liability and the Reasons for Them*Guan Tao*

Differences Between Fault-Based and No-fault Liability and the Reasons for Them	55
I. Relevant regulations of Chinese Civil Law	55
II. Basis of fault liability	57
III. Basis of no-fault liability	59
IV. The form of responsibility and doctrine of imputation	64

Andrew J Bell

Fault-Based and Strict Liabilities: English Law's Framework and Features	69
I. Introduction	69
II. Fault	71
A. Negligence	71
B. Beyond negligence	73
C. Summary	75
III. Traditionally stricter or strict liability	75
A. Introduction	75
B. Intentionality	76
C. Stronger fault incursions	79
D. Summary	84
IV. Modern strict liability	85
A. Introduction	85
B. At common law	85
C. Statutory schemes	88
D. Actions at common law based on statutory duties	92
E. Summary	93
V. Themes	93
A. Generality and particularity	93
B. Foundational reasons	96
1. Critical interests	96
2. Dangers and risks	99
3. Compensation and insurance	101
C. The balance of liabilities	104

VI.	D. Limitations on liability	107
VI.	Conclusion	109
Corinne Widmer Lüchinger		
Strict Liability for Dangerousness: An Exception to the Rule?		
Observations from a 'Germanic' Perspective		111
I.	Introduction	111
II.	The development of strict liability for dangerousness	112
	A. Covert beginnings: stretching the requirement of fault	112
	B. Covert tools uncovered: the introduction of statutory provisions	114
III.	Characteristics of liability for dangerousness	115
	A. Common features of statutory provisions	115
	B. Other types of strict liability distinguished	116
	C. Rationale behind strict liability for dangerousness	117
	D. With whom does responsibility for dangerousness lie?	118
	1. Where the source of danger is 'embodied'	119
	2. Where the source of danger is an activity	121
	E. The 'exceptional nature' of strict liability for dangerousness	122
	1. Overview	122
	2. Caps	122
	3. Protected interests	122
	4. Available defences	124
	5. Liability insurance	125
IV.	Quest for a general clause	126
	A. The why and wherefore	126
	B. The challenges involved	127
	1. Overview	127
	2. Dangerous activities v installations and things	129
	3. Identifying sufficiently dangerous activities	129
	4. The liable party	131
V.	Conclusion	132

The Structure of Strict Liability and an Intermediate Area of Liability

Zhang Yudong

The Structure of No-Fault Liability and an Intermediate Area of Liability in China	137
I. Introduction	137
A. The basic norm on no-fault liability	137
B. The basic norm on fault-based liability	139
C. So-called equitable liability	140
D. A basic description of the general framework of tort liability law in China	142
II. No-fault liability	142
A. The theoretical basis of no-fault liability	142
B. The concrete embodiment of no-fault liability	143
1. Guardians' liability	143
2. Employers' liability	144
3. Product liability	145
4. Motor vehicle traffic accident liability	145
5. Medical product liability and unqualified blood liability	147
6. Liability for environmental pollution and ecological damage	148
7. Liability for ultra-hazardous activities	148
8. Liability for harm caused by domestic animals	149
9. Liability for damage caused by buildings, structures and other facilities	150
10. Liability for damage caused by objects piled, dumped or scattered on a public road	150
C. Defences	151
1. General introduction	151
2. The defences of no-fault liability	152
III. Liability based on a presumption of fault	154
A. The basic idea of liability based on a presumption of fault	154
B. The concrete embodiment of liability based on a presumption of fault	155
1. The liability of educational institutions	155

2. The liability of medical institutions	156
3. Liability for damage caused by illegal possession of ultra-hazardous substances	157
4. Liability for harm caused by raising animals in zoos	157
5. Liability for harm caused by objects	157

Matthew Dyson

The Place of Strict Liability in English Tort Law	159
--	------------

I. Introduction	159
II. Paradigmatic instances: root to branch strict liability	161
A. Animals	161
B. Defamation	163
C. Nuisance	164
D. Product liability	166
E. <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i>	169
F. Specific statutory duties actionable in tort	172
G. Vicarious liability and some non-delegable duties	174
H. Functionally strict liability?	177
III. Purposes of strict liability	177
IV. Clarity of strict liability/fault-based liability	179
V. Limits of strict liability	181
VI. Conclusion	182

Johannes W Flume

Strict Liability in Austrian and German Law	
--	--

On the concept of strict liability in the age of technological advancement	185
---	-----

I. Strict liability as a solution for risks associated to technological advance	185
II. On the Austrian and German doctrinal foundations of strict liability – ‘liability for endangerment’ (<i>Gefährdungshaftung</i>)	187
A. The starting point: the Prussian Railway Operators Act	188
B. Enumeration, analogy and blanket clause	190
C. What about computers?	193

III.	Defences	194
	A. Systematising bases of defence	194
	B. Force majeure (höhere Gewalt) and inevitable events (unvermeidbare Ereignisse)	196
IV.	Intermediate areas of liability: of graduations, mixed-cases and grey zones	199
V.	Conclusion	201

Case Studies

Yang Yinhong

Case A (China)	205	
I.	Pedestrian V was injured due to B's fault	205
	1. On the subject of tort liability	205
	2. On the scope of compensation	207
II.	Variation 1:	209
	Through his fault, B causes a collision with V's car, which is thereby damaged	209
III.	Variation 2:	209
	Without any accident being caused, V sustains damage to his lungs from the exhaust fumes of A's car	209

Katarzyna Ludwichowska-Redo

Case A (Europe)	213	
I.	Liability towards V	213
	A. Introductory remarks	213
	B. Basic scenario: personal injury suffered by V	214
	C. Variation 1: Vehicle collision causing damage to V's vehicle	216
	D. Variation 2: Exhaust fumes causing damage to V's lungs	218
II.	Motor vehicle liability insurance	219

<i>Cao Xianfeng</i>	
Case B (China)	223
Appendix	227
A. The Civil Code of the People's Republic of China	227
B. The General Principles of the Civil Law	228
 <i>Lukas Schellerer</i>	
Case B (Europe)	229
I. Introduction	229
II. General overview and comparative references	229
III. Liability for animals in Austria	232
IV. Solution of the case study	233
A. Basic case	233
B. Variation of the case	234
 <i>Man Hongjie/Xu Lulu</i>	
Case C (China)	239
I. The change of the principle of liability for environmental pollution in the new and old civil law	239
II. Liability for environmental torts in the Civil Code	242
III. Provisions on punitive damages in the Civil Code	244
IV. If the factory has taken conventional or conventional industrial safety measures and the discharge complies with administrative regulations, is it responsible?	246
V. Provisions on ecological and environment damage in the Civil Code	247
Appendix	249
A. The Civil Code of the People's Republic of China	249
B. Tort Liability Law of the People's Republic of China ...	250
C. Environmental Protection Law of the People's Republic of China (2014)	250
D. Civil Procedure Law of the People's Republic of China	251

David Messner-Kreuzbauer

Case C (Europe)	253
I. Liability based on misconduct and foreseeability of harm	253
II. Strict liability	256
A. Liability designed for the protection of land	256
B. Liability based on risk	259
C. Environmental liability	260
III. Scope of liability	261
IV. Conclusion	262

Comparative Reflections*David Messner-Kreuzbauer*

Strict Liability – The Odd One Out?	265
I. Poster child and troublemaker	265
II. Fault-based liability – The poster child	265
A. Clear core meaning	265
B. Theoretical debate about reasons	267
III. Strict liability – the troublemaker	269
A. Open meaning	269
B. Vigorous debate about reasons	271
IV. Structure of strict liability and an intermediate ('grey') area of liability	274
A. General clauses and enumerations	274
B. Differing prevalence in legal systems	275
C. Grey areas	279
D. Remedies	281
V. Case studies	283
A. Case Study A	283
B. Case Study B	283
C. Case Study C	284
VI. Concluding observations	285
List of Authors	287
Publications	291