

Table of Contents

Preface V

Introductions

Ernst Karner

Strict Liability – Opening Remarks 3

I. Starting point 3

II. Liability for dangerous things 4

III. Liability for defective products 8

IV. Vicarious liability 9

V. Detriments emerging from neighbouring property 10

VI. Summary 11

Geng Lin

**Introduction to Fault-Based and Strict Liability
in Chinese Civil Law**

Sources and legal doctrine until the promulgation
of the Chinese Civil Code 13

I. Regulations on fault-based liability and strict liability 13

 A. Legal resources 13

 1. General Principles of Civil Law 13

 2. Tort Law 15

 3. Supreme Court relevant judicial interpretation 16

 4. Individual special legislation 17

 5. Tort liability part in the official Draft of the Chinese
 Civil Code 17

 B. System of imputation principles 18

 C. The specific content 21

 1. Fault-based liability 22

 2. Strict liability 23

II. Theory and practice of fault liability 25

 A. The identification of the standard of fault 25

	B. Higher duty of care	26
	1. Expert liability	26
	2. Dangerous behaviour or objects	27
	3. Parties with stronger prevention and control capabilities	28
	4. Whether to obtain benefits	29
	C. Inversion of burden of proof: presumption of fault liability	29
	1. The expansion of the presumption fault liability	30
	2. Restrictive scope of the application of presumption of fault liability	33
	D. Organisation's tort liability	34
III.	Theories and practices on strict liability	35
	A. General theories of strict liability	35
	1. Justification for strict liability	36
	a. Particular dangers	36
	b. Control force	36
	c. Benefit	37
	d. 'The Deep Pocket' theory	37
	B. Responsibility for risk	38
	1. Characteristics of regulations for dangerous activities in China's Tort Liability Law	38
	a. General terms are set	38
	b. Limits of liability	38
	c. Insurance and compensation funds – spreading risks	39
	d. Minimum liability	40
	2. Trends in risk liability	40
	3. Reduction of strict liability and exemptions	41
	a. Provisions of the Tort Liability Act for exemptions from strict liability	42
	b. Can an act of a third person become an exemption?	43
	c. Strict liability and contributory negligence	43
	C. Vicarious liability	45
	1. Guardians' responsibility	45
	2. Employers' liability	48
IV.	Conclusion	51

**Differences Between Fault-Based and Strict Liability
and the Reasons for Them**

Guan Tao

**Differences Between Fault-Based and No-fault Liability
and the Reasons for Them** 55

I. Relevant regulations of Chinese Civil Law 55

II. Basis of fault liability 57

III. Basis of no-fault liability 59

IV. The form of responsibility and doctrine of imputation 64

Andrew J Bell

**Fault-Based and Strict Liabilities: English Law’s Framework
and Features** 69

I. Introduction 69

II. Fault 71

 A. Negligence 71

 B. Beyond negligence 73

 C. Summary 75

III. Traditionally stricter or strict liability 75

 A. Introduction 75

 B. Intentionality 76

 C. Stronger fault incursions 79

 D. Summary 84

IV. Modern strict liability 85

 A. Introduction 85

 B. At common law 85

 C. Statutory schemes 88

 D. Actions at common law based on statutory duties 92

 E. Summary 93

V. Themes 93

 A. Generality and particularity 93

 B. Foundational reasons 96

 1. Critical interests 96

 2. Dangers and risks 99

 3. Compensation and insurance 101

 C. The balance of liabilities 104

	D. Limitations on liability	107
VI.	Conclusion	109

Corinne Widmer Lüchinger

Strict Liability for Dangerousness: An Exception to the Rule?

	Observations from a 'Germanic' Perspective	111
--	---	------------

I.	Introduction	111
II.	The development of strict liability for dangerousness	112
	A. Covert beginnings: stretching the requirement of fault	112
	B. Covert tools uncovered: the introduction of statutory provisions	114
III.	Characteristics of liability for dangerousness	115
	A. Common features of statutory provisions	115
	B. Other types of strict liability distinguished	116
	C. Rationale behind strict liability for dangerousness	117
	D. With whom does responsibility for dangerousness lie?	118
	1. Where the source of danger is 'embodied'	119
	2. Where the source of danger is an activity	121
	E. The 'exceptional nature' of strict liability for dangerousness	122
	1. Overview	122
	2. Caps	122
	3. Protected interests	122
	4. Available defences	124
	5. Liability insurance	125
IV.	Quest for a general clause	126
	A. The why and wherefore	126
	B. The challenges involved	127
	1. Overview	127
	2. Dangerous activities v installations and things	129
	3. Identifying sufficiently dangerous activities	129
	4. The liable party	131
V.	Conclusion	132

The Structure of Strict Liability
and an Intermediate Area of Liability

Zhang Yudong

The Structure of No-Fault Liability and an Intermediate Area of Liability in China		137
I.	Introduction	137
	A. The basic norm on no-fault liability	137
	B. The basic norm on fault-based liability	139
	C. So-called equitable liability	140
	D. A basic description of the general framework of tort liability law in China	142
II.	No-fault liability	142
	A. The theoretical basis of no-fault liability	142
	B. The concrete embodiment of no-fault liability	143
	1. Guardians' liability	143
	2. Employers' liability	144
	3. Product liability	145
	4. Motor vehicle traffic accident liability	145
	5. Medical product liability and unqualified blood liability	147
	6. Liability for environmental pollution and ecological damage	148
	7. Liability for ultra-hazardous activities	148
	8. Liability for harm caused by domestic animals	149
	9. Liability for damage caused by buildings, structures and other facilities	150
	10. Liability for damage caused by objects piled, dumped or scattered on a public road	150
	C. Defences	151
	1. General introduction	151
	2. The defences of no-fault liability	152
III.	Liability based on a presumption of fault	154
	A. The basic idea of liability based on a presumption of fault	154
	B. The concrete embodiment of liability based on a presumption of fault	155
	1. The liability of educational institutions	155

2. The liability of medical institutions	156
3. Liability for damage caused by illegal possession of ultra-hazardous substances	157
4. Liability for harm caused by raising animals in zoos	157
5. Liability for harm caused by objects	157

Matthew Dyson

The Place of Strict Liability in English Tort Law 159

I. Introduction	159
II. Paradigmatic instances: root to branch strict liability	161
A. Animals	161
B. Defamation	163
C. Nuisance	164
D. Product liability	166
E. Rylands v Fletcher	169
F. Specific statutory duties actionable in tort	172
G. Vicarious liability and some non-delegable duties	174
H. Functionally strict liability?	177
III. Purposes of strict liability	177
IV. Clarity of strict liability/fault-based liability	179
V. Limits of strict liability	181
VI. Conclusion	182

Johannes W Flume

Strict Liability in Austrian and German Law

On the concept of strict liability in the age of technological
advancement 185

I. Strict liability as a solution for risks associated to technological advance	185
II. On the Austrian and German doctrinal foundations of strict liability – ‘liability for endangerment’ (Gefährdungshaftung)	187
A. The starting point: the Prussian Railway Operators Act	188
B. Enumeration, analogy and blanket clause	190
C. What about computers?	193

III.	Defences	194
	A. Systematising bases of defence	194
	B. Force majeure (höhere Gewalt) and inevitable events (unvermeidbare Ereignisse)	196
IV.	Intermediate areas of liability: of graduations, mixed-cases and grey zones	199
V.	Conclusion	201

Case Studies

<i>Yang Yinhong</i>		
	Case A (China)	205
I.	Pedestrian V was injured due to B's fault	205
	1. On the subject of tort liability	205
	2. On the scope of compensation	207
II.	Variation 1:	209
	Through his fault, B causes a collision with V's car, which is thereby damaged	209
III.	Variation 2:	209
	Without any accident being caused, V sustains damage to his lungs from the exhaust fumes of A's car	209

<i>Katarzyna Ludwichowska-Redo</i>		
	Case A (Europe)	213
I.	Liability towards V	213
	A. Introductory remarks	213
	B. Basic scenario: personal injury suffered by V	214
	C. Variation 1: Vehicle collision causing damage to V's vehicle	216
	D. Variation 2: Exhaust fumes causing damage to V's lungs	218
II.	Motor vehicle liability insurance	219

Cao Xianfeng

Case B (China) 223

Appendix 227

 A. The Civil Code of the People’s Republic of China 227

 B. The General Principles of the Civil Law 228

Lukas Schellerer

Case B (Europe) 229

I. Introduction 229

II. General overview and comparative references 229

III. Liability for animals in Austria 232

IV. Solution of the case study 233

 A. Basic case 233

 B. Variation of the case 234

Man Hongjie/Xu Lulu

Case C (China) 239

I. The change of the principle of liability for environmental pollution in the new and old civil law 239

II. Liability for environmental torts in the Civil Code 242

III. Provisions on punitive damages in the Civil Code 244

IV. If the factory has taken conventional or conventional industrial safety measures and the discharge complies with administrative regulations, is it responsible? 246

V. Provisions on ecological and environment damage in the Civil Code 247

Appendix 249

 A. The Civil Code of the People’s Republic of China 249

 B. Tort Liability Law of the People’s Republic of China ... 250

 C. Environmental Protection Law of the People’s Republic of China (2014) 250

 D. Civil Procedure Law of the People’s Republic of China 251

David Messner-Kreuzbauer

Case C (Europe) 253

I. Liability based on misconduct and foreseeability
of harm 253

II. Strict liability 256

 A. Liability designed for the protection of land 256

 B. Liability based on risk 259

 C. Environmental liability 260

III. Scope of liability 261

IV. Conclusion 262

Comparative Reflections

David Messner-Kreuzbauer

Strict Liability – The Odd One Out? 265

I. Poster child and troublemaker 265

II. Fault-based liability – The poster child 265

 A. Clear core meaning 265

 B. Theoretical debate about reasons 267

III. Strict liability – the troublemaker 269

 A. Open meaning 269

 B. Vigorous debate about reasons 271

IV. Structure of strict liability and an intermediate (‘grey’)
area of liability 274

 A. General clauses and enumerations 274

 B. Differing prevalence in legal systems 275

 C. Grey areas 279

 D. Remedies 281

V. Case studies 283

 A. Case Study A 283

 B. Case Study B 283

 C. Case Study C 284

VI. Concluding observations 285

List of Authors 287

Publications 291