

# Contents

**Acknowledgments — VII**

**Abbreviations — XIII**

**Introduction — 1**

- 1           Modality in Chinese: A brief literature review — **3**
- 2           Introduction to the cross-linguistic interfaces between  
            modality and aspect — **5**
- 2.1       Definitions of Tense, Aspect, and Modality — **6**
- 2.2       The TAM system — **7**
- 3           The theoretical frameworks — **10**
- 3.1       Robert's and Roussou's diachronic syntax — **10**
- 3.2       Ramchand's (2008) First Phase Syntax — **12**
- 4           The morphosyntax of Chinese — **17**
- 4.1       Synthetic versus analytic language — **17**
- 4.2       A brief overview of Chinese derivational morphology — **21**
- 5           The data — **28**

**1       The different modal categories in Late Archaic and Early Middle  
Chinese and their realization — 30**

- 1.1       Negation and modality in Chinese — **30**
- 1.1.1     Negation in Chinese — **30**
- 1.1.2     Double negation in Chinese — **36**
- 1.1.3     Chinese negation and aspect — **38**
- 1.1.4     Modal negation and scope — **42**
- 1.1.5     The syntactic position of the deontic negative markers — **44**
- 1.1.6     Circumstantial and deontic modality and the scope of negation — **48**
- 1.1.7     Two different Polarity heads relevant for modal readings — **56**
- 1.1.8     Summary — **62**
- 1.2       Possibility modals and the effect of negation — **62**
- 1.2.1     The possibility modals *kě* and *néng* — **69**
- 1.2.1.1   The modal *kě(yǐ)* — **70**
- 1.2.1.2   The modal *néng* — **80**
- 1.2.1.3   Summary of the differences between the two possibility modals *kě(yǐ)*  
            and *néng* — **87**
- 1.2.2     The scope of negation and its effect on possibility modals — **88**
- 1.2.2.1   NEG + MOD<sub>poss</sub> — **89**

- 1.2.2.2 MOD<sub>POSS</sub> + NEG — 99
- 1.2.2.3 NEG+MOD<sub>POSS</sub>+NEG — 103
- 1.2.3 Summary of the effects of negation on possibility modals — 107

## 2 The diachronic development of deontic modals in Early Middle Chinese — 110

- 2.1 The diachronic development of the modals *dāng* 當 ‘should, ought’ — 110
  - 2.1.1 From lexical verb to modal auxiliary — 111
  - 2.1.2 Scope of negation — 118
  - 2.1.3 The syntax of the complement of the modals DANG and YING — 123
  - 2.1.4 Relative order of modal auxiliaries — 127
  - 2.1.5 Position of *wh*-words — 131
  - 2.1.6 Summary — 141
- 2.2 The modals *bì* 必 ‘necessary, must’ and *xū* 須 ‘need’ — 142
  - 2.2.1 The modal *bì* 必 — 143
  - 2.2.2 Typical instances of the deontic auxiliary BÌ — 147
  - 2.2.3 Modal BÌ and negation: *bùbì* 不必 — 149
  - 2.2.4 The modal *xū* 須 — 153
  - 2.2.5 Summary of the chapter — 160

## 3 Modality and future marking in Late Archaic and Middle Chinese — 162

- 3.1 The development of future marking — 162
  - 3.1.1 Future as a temporal or modal concept — 162
  - 3.1.2 A brief history of the future in Pre-Archaic and Archaic Chinese — 165
  - 3.1.3 The future marking adverb *jiāng* — 169
  - 3.1.4 The syntax of *jiāng* — 172
- 3.2 DANG AS future marker — 175
- 3.3 The modal auxiliary verb *yù* 欲 as future marker — 181
  - 3.3.1 The lexical verb *yù* ‘wish, desire’ in LAC — 182
  - 3.3.2 The modal verb *yù* in LAC — 183
  - 3.3.3 The modal verb *yù* in EMC — 185
- 3.4 Conclusion — 190

## 4 Postverbal modals: The case of De — 192

- 4.1 The lexical semantics of *dé* 得 — 192
  - 4.1.1 Introduction — 192
  - 4.1.2 The lexical verb *dé*: The argument structure of *dé* in Early Archaic and Late Archaic Chinese — 195

4.1.3	Discussion of the argument structure of the lexical verb <i>dé</i> —	203
4.1.4	The aspectual and modal structure of <i>dé</i> —	206
4.1.5	Concluding remarks on the lexical verb <i>dé</i> —	209
4.2	The grammaticalization of the modal auxiliary <i>dé</i> —	210
4.2.1	An introduction to the modal marker <i>dé</i> and its different functions —	210
4.2.2	Ability and disposition readings of the auxiliary verb <i>dé</i> —	214
4.2.3	Opportunity reading of the auxiliary verb <i>dé</i> —	217
4.2.4	Implicative reading of the auxiliary verb <i>dé</i> —	220
4.2.5	Deontic <i>dé</i> —	221
4.2.6	Concluding remarks on the modal auxiliary verb <i>dé</i> —	223
4.3	The diachronic development of postverbal <i>dé</i> 得 —	224
4.3.1	Introduction —	224
4.3.2	The diachronic development of postverbal DE from Late Archaic to Middle Chinese —	228
4.3.2.1	The complement of DE: DE with a vP complement —	230
4.3.2.2	DE with a CP complement —	237
4.3.3	The development of postverbal DE —	240
4.3.3.1	The loss of argument structure and the development of postverbal DE —	240
4.3.3.2	Postverbal DE and negation —	251
4.3.3.3	Postverbal DE and preverbal <i>néng</i> 能 —	255
4.3.3.4	Conclusion —	260
<b>5</b>	<b>More on negation and polarity —</b>	<b>261</b>
5.1	The modality of rhetorical questions —	261
5.1.1	Analysis of rhetorical questions —	262
5.1.2	Rhetorical questions in Archaic Chinese —	263
5.2	Rhetorical questions and modal auxiliary verbs —	271
5.2.1	Adverbial <i>wh</i> -words and rhetorical questions —	271
5.2.2	<i>Wh</i> -questions and modal auxiliaries —	277
5.3	<i>Yes-no</i> questions and modal/discourse particles —	288
5.4	Conclusion —	307
<b>6</b>	<b>A brief overview on the cross-linguistic development of modals —</b>	<b>308</b>
6.1	Overview —	308
6.2	Modality in Historical Linguistics: The different modal categories and their diachrony —	310
6.3	A brief cross-linguistic comparison of the diachrony of modal verbs and multiple modal constructions —	321

6.4	The diachronic relation between Aspect and Modality —	327
6.4.1	Grammatical Aspect and Modality —	329
6.4.2	Lexical Aspect and Modality —	333
6.5	Concluding remarks —	336

<b>Conclusion —</b>	<b>339</b>
---------------------	------------

<b>References —</b>	<b>351</b>
---------------------	------------

<b>Index —</b>	<b>369</b>
----------------	------------