

## Contents

### Preface xi

<b>1</b>	<b>AI for Property Modeling, Solvent Tailoring, and Process Design</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Yuqiu Chen</i>	
1.1	AI-Assisted Property Modeling	1
1.2	AI-assisted Solvent Tailoring	10
1.3	AI-Assisted Process Design	14
1.4	Conclusions	17
	References	19
<b>2</b>	<b>Hunting for Better Aromatic Chemicals with AI Techniques</b>	<b>23</b>
	<i>Qilei Liu, Haitao Mao, Lu Wang, and Lei Zhang</i>	
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	Machine Learning-Based Odor Prediction Models	25
2.2.1	Odor Predictions for Pure Aromatic Chemicals Using Group-Based Machine Learning Method	25
2.2.1.1	Database Preparation	25
2.2.1.2	Molecular Representation	26
2.2.1.3	Model Architecture	27
2.2.1.4	Results and Discussions	27
2.2.2	Odor Prediction for Mixture Aromatic Chemicals Using $\sigma$ -Profiles-Based Machine Learning Method	29
2.2.2.1	Database Preparation	29
2.2.2.2	Molecular Representation	31
2.2.2.3	Model Architecture	34
2.2.2.4	Results and Discussions	35
2.3	Computer-Aided Aroma Design (CAAD) Framework	36
2.3.1	CAAD for Pure Aromatic Chemicals	36
2.3.1.1	Identify Product Attributes	36
2.3.1.2	Convert Product Attributes to Properties and Their Constraints	38
2.3.1.3	Choose Property Prediction Model for Estimating Properties	38
2.3.1.4	Formulate MILP/MINLP Model	38
2.3.1.5	Solve the Model Using Decomposition-Based Algorithm	38

2.3.1.6	Verification	39
2.3.2	CAAD for Mixture Aromatic Chemicals	39
2.3.2.1	Identify Product Attributes	41
2.3.2.2	Convert Product Attributes into Properties and Corresponding Constraints	42
2.3.2.3	Establish Property Models	42
2.3.2.4	Ingredient Screening	42
2.3.2.5	Verification	43
2.4	Case Studies	44
2.4.1	Pure Aroma Design for Shampoo Additives	44
2.4.2	Pure Aroma Design for the Ingredient in Insect Repellent Spray	45
2.4.3	Mixture Aroma Design for Aroma Substitutes	48
2.4.4	Mixture Aroma Design for Odor Tuning	51
2.5	Conclusions	53
2.A	The CAS Number of Molecules and the Selected Groups	54
2.B	The Calculation Formula of Odor Score	56
2.C	The Parameters and Results of the ANN Model	57
2.D	The Designed Results of Molecules for Case Study 2	59
2.E	Aroma Compounds for Ingredient Screening	61
	Acknowledgments	75
	References	75

### **3 Machine Learning-Aided Rational Screening of Task-Specific Ionic Liquids 79**

*Ruofan Gu and Zhen Song*

3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Molecule Representation of ILs	80
3.2.1	Groups or Fragment-Based Representation	81
3.2.2	COSMO-Derived Descriptors or Fingerprints	83
3.2.3	Machine-Learned Representations	83
3.3	Machine Learning-Based Structure–Property Models	84
3.3.1	Machine Learning for COSMO-Based Models	84
3.3.2	Machine Learning for UNIFAC Extensions	86
3.3.3	Pure Machine Learning Models	89
3.4	Applications	90
3.4.1	Computer-Aided IL Screening	90
3.4.2	Computer-Aided IL Design	93
3.4.3	Extensions to IL Mixtures	95
3.5	Conclusion and Perspectives	97
	References	99

### **4 Integration of Observed Data and Reaction Mechanisms in Deep Learning for Designing Sustainable Glycolic Acid 105**

*Xin Zhou*

4.1	Introduction	105
-----	--------------	-----

4.2	Methodology	107
4.2.1	Database Generation	109
4.2.2	Deep Learning	111
4.2.2.1	Deep Neural Networks	111
4.2.2.2	Deep Belief Networks	112
4.2.2.3	Fully Connected Residual Networks	114
4.2.2.4	Random Forest	115
4.2.3	Optimization and Prediction	116
4.2.4	Life Cycle Multidimensional Evaluation	116
4.3	Results and Discussion	117
4.3.1	Data Analysis and Statistics Before Modeling	117
4.3.1.1	Analysis of Experimental Data	117
4.3.1.2	Data Dependence Analysis	117
4.3.2	Model Comparison and Feature Important Analysis	118
4.3.2.1	Model Comparison	118
4.3.2.2	Feature Important Analysis	123
4.3.3	Performance and Feature Analysis of the Optimized FC-ResNet-GA Model	125
4.3.4	Process Multi-objective Optimization and Experimental Verification	126
4.3.5	LCSA Based on the Optimized Parameters	128
4.3.5.1	Original Life Cycle Framework	128
4.3.5.2	Life Cycle Inventory Analysis	128
4.3.5.3	Life Cycle Sustainable Interpretation and Assessment	129
4.4	Conclusion	131
4.A	Pareto Optimization Set	132
4.B	Experimental Data	133
4.C	Construction Method of Process Simulation Database Using Reaction Mechanism	134
4.C.1	Elimination of the Diffusion Limitations	136
4.C.2	Reaction Kinetics	138
	References	139
<b>5</b>	<b>Innovation of Gas Separation Processes: Integrating Computational MOF Design and Adsorption Process Optimization</b>	<b>145</b>
	<i>Xiang Zhang and Teng Zhou</i>	
5.1	Introduction	145
5.2	Step One: Descriptor Optimization	147
5.2.1	Material-Property Relationship of MOFs	148
5.2.1.1	MOF Representation	148
5.2.1.2	Data-Driven Model for Single-Component Adsorption Isotherm	149
5.2.1.3	Multicomponent Dual-Site Langmuir Isotherm Model	150
5.2.2	Integrated Optimization of MOF Descriptors and PSA Operating Conditions	151

5.2.2.1	Descriptor Design Space	151
5.2.2.2	P/VSA Process Model	152
5.2.2.3	Integrated Design Formulation	153
5.2.3	Results	154
5.2.3.1	Benchmark Process Using Cu-BTC	154
5.2.3.2	Optimal MOF and Process from Integrated Design	154
5.3	Step Two: MOF Matching	157
5.3.1	Material–Property Relationship of MOFs	157
5.3.1.1	Property–Performance Relationship for PE/PA Separation	157
5.3.1.2	Validation with 471 CoRE MOFs	159
5.3.2	From Computational MOF Design to Model-Based MOF Screening	161
5.3.2.1	Identification of MOF Building Blocks	161
5.3.2.2	<i>In Silico</i> Synthesis of Hypothetical MOFs	162
5.3.2.3	MOF Screening via Validity and Feasibility Constraints	164
5.3.2.4	MOF Screening via GCMC Simulations	165
5.3.2.5	MOF Screening via PSA Process Optimization	165
5.3.2.6	Optimal Results of SMOF-1	165
5.4	Conclusion	167
	References	168
6	<b>Reverse Design of Heat Exchange Systems Using Physics-Informed Machine Learning</b>	173
	<i>Chang He and Yunquan Chen</i>	
6.1	Introduction	173
6.2	PINN-Based Inverse Design Method	176
6.2.1	Overview of Inverse Design	176
6.2.1.1	Standard Physics-Informed Neural Networks	177
6.2.1.2	Design Optimization and Decision-making Methods	180
6.3	Example 1: Finned Heat Sink Model	181
6.3.1	System Description and Objectives	181
6.3.2	Improved PINN Structure	185
6.3.3	Results	185
6.4	Illustrative Example 2: Tubular Air Cooler Model	191
6.4.1	System Description and Objectives	191
6.4.2	Improved PINN Structure	195
6.4.3	Transfer Learning	196
6.4.4	Results	199
6.5	Conclusion	204
	References	205
7	<b>Integrating Incomplete Prior Knowledge into Data-Driven Inferential Sensor Models Under Variational Bayesian Framework</b>	211
	<i>Zhichao Chen, Hao Wang, Yiran Ma, Cheng Qiu, Le Yao, Xinmin Zhang, and Zhihuan Song</i>	
7.1	Introduction	211

- 7.2 Literature Review 213
  - 7.2.1 Transport Process Scale 213
  - 7.2.2 Unit Operation Scale 214
  - 7.2.3 Overall Summary and Technical Gap 214
- 7.3 Proposed Approach 214
  - 7.3.1 Loss Function Derivation 215
  - 7.3.2 Knowledge Representation 216
    - 7.3.2.1 Knowledge Description 216
    - 7.3.2.2 Knowledge Section via Self-Attention Mechanism 216
    - 7.3.2.3 Similarity of GCN and SAM 217
    - 7.3.2.4 Sampling from Posterior 219
  - 7.3.3 Model Expressions 221
- 7.4 Experimental Results 223
  - 7.4.1 Evaluation Metrics 224
  - 7.4.2 Process Description 224
  - 7.4.3 Prior Knowledge Analysis 225
  - 7.4.4 Baseline Models 227
  - 7.4.5 Model Performance Comparisons 228
  - 7.4.6 Comparison with L1 and L2 Regularization Terms 229
  - 7.4.7 Sensitivity Analysis 230
- 7.5 Conclusions 230
- 7.A Experimental Settings 232
- References 233
  
- 8 Data-Driven and Physics-Based Reduced-Order Modeling and Optimization of Cooling Tower Systems 239
  - Chang He and Zhiqiang Wu*
  - 8.1 Introduction 239
  - 8.2 Full-Scale Physical Model of Cooling Towers 241
  - 8.3 Bi-level Reduced-Order Models 244
    - 8.3.1 Design of Optimal Experiments 245
    - 8.3.2 Multi-sample CFD Simulations 247
    - 8.3.3 Model Reduction 248
  - 8.4 Thermodynamic Performance Indicators 251
  - 8.5 Optimization Model 253
  - 8.6 Illustrative Example 254
  - 8.7 Conclusion 261
  - References 261
  
- 9 AI-Aided High-Throughput Screening and Optimistic Design of MOF Materials for Adsorptive Gas Separation 265
  - Li Zhou, Min Cheng, Shihui Wang, and Xu Ji*
  - 9.1 Introduction 265
  - 9.2 Methodology 266

9.2.1	Molecular Level Simulation and Screening Driven by Rigorous Molecular Simulation and Machine Learning	266
9.2.1.1	Molecular Characterization	266
9.2.1.2	Structural/Chemical Analysis-Based Prescreening	267
9.2.1.3	Diversity Analysis and Dataset Splitting	268
9.2.1.4	Molecular Simulation and Performance Evaluation Metrics	268
9.2.1.5	AI-Aided Quantitative Structure–Property Relationship Development and Rapid Screening	269
9.2.1.6	Process Level Simulation	270
9.2.1.7	Reverse Molecular Design	270
9.3	Case Studies	273
9.3.1	High-Throughput Screening of Metal–Organic Frameworks for Hydrogen Purification	273
9.3.1.1	Prescreening	273
9.3.1.2	Rapid Screening	274
9.3.1.3	Rigorous Validation	274
9.3.1.4	Structure–Property Relationship Analysis	276
9.3.1.5	Investigation on Practical Factors	277
9.4	Conclusions	283
	References	283
<b>10</b>	<b>Surrogate Modeling for Accelerating Optimization of Complex Systems in Chemical Engineering</b>	<b>287</b>
	<i>Jianzhao Zhou and Jingzheng Ren</i>	
10.1	Introduction	287
10.2	Surrogate Modeling Techniques	289
10.2.1	Polynomial Regression (PR)	290
10.2.2	Polynomial Chaos Expansion	291
10.2.3	Kriging	291
10.2.4	Radial Basis Functions (RBF)	292
10.2.5	High-Dimensional Model Representation (HDMR)	293
10.2.6	Decision Tree (DT)	294
10.2.7	Support Vector Machine (SVM)	295
10.2.8	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	296
10.3	Application of Surrogate Model in Optimization of Chemical Processes	297
10.3.1	Reaction Engineering	297
10.3.2	Separation Engineering	299
10.3.3	Heat Exchange and Integration	300
10.3.4	Process Design and Synthesis	301
10.4	Conclusion	303
	Acknowledgment	303
	References	303