

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	17
1 The Veiling Ban Trend	17
2 The ‘Shadowy Existence’ of the Right to Equality	20
3 Necessity of an Equality Perspective on Veiling Bans	22
4 Course and Methods of Investigation	25
 <b>Part I: The Eclipse of Equality</b>	 31
1 The Parallel Protection of Freedom of Religion and the Right to Equality	33
1.1 Two Different Constitutional Frameworks	34
1.2 Freedom of Religion	35
1.2.1 Article 4(1) and (2) Basic Law	36
1.2.2 Article 9 ECHR	40
1.3 The Right to Equality	42
1.3.1 Article 3(2) and (3) Basic Law	43
1.3.2 Article 33(3) Basic Law	47
1.3.3 Article 14 ECHR	48
2 The Courts’ Focus on Freedom of Religion	51
3 Is Equality Redundant?	55
 <b>Part II: Veiling Bans in Light of Equality</b>	 59
1 A Substantive Concept of Equality	61
1.1 Formal Equality: The Aristotelian Concept of Treating Likes Alike	61
1.2 The Shortfalls of Formal Equality	62
1.2.1 Who is relevantly alike?	63
1.2.2 The Lack of a Substantive Foundation	64
1.2.3 The Requirement of a Comparator	67
1.2.4 Inability to Tackle Structural Disadvantage	73
1.2.5 Treatment of Difference	75
	11

## *Table of Contents*

1.3 Approaches toward a Notion of Substantive Equality	77
1.3.1 Equality of Results	80
1.3.2 Equality of Opportunity	82
1.3.3 Recourse to Substantive Values	85
1.4 Equality as Non-Domination	89
1.5 Four Dimensions of Equality	95
1.5.1 The Redistributive Dimension of Equality	98
1.5.2 The Recognition Dimension of Equality	105
1.5.2.1 Stereotypes, Prejudice, and Stigma	107
1.5.2.1.1 Stereotypes, Prejudice, and Stigma as a Matter of Anti-Discrimination Law	115
1.5.2.1.2 Implications for the Legal Assessment of Veiling Bans	128
1.5.2.1.3 Stereotypes and Prejudices at the Basis of Bans and Case Law on the Muslim Veil	129
1.5.2.1.4 Reinforced Stereotypes, Prejudice, and Stigma Resulting from the Bans	139
1.5.2.2 Humiliation	143
1.5.2.3 Violence	144
1.5.3 The Participative Dimension of Equality	148
1.5.3.1 Political Participation	148
1.5.3.2 Participation in Society at Large	150
1.5.3.3 Exclusionary Effects of Bans on Muslim Veils	153
1.5.4 The Transformative Dimension of Equality	155
1.5.5 Balancing the Different Dimensions	159
1.6 Conclusion	169
2 Indirect Discrimination	172
2.1 Development of the Concept	174
2.2 The Essence of the Concept of Indirect Discrimination	185
2.3 Establishing Indirect Discrimination	188
2.4 Justifying Indirect Discrimination: A Different Standard of Scrutiny for Cases of Direct and Indirect Discrimination?	195
2.4.1 Drawing the Line: Direct vs. Indirect Discrimination	198
2.4.1.1 Explicit Reference to a Protected Characteristic	199
2.4.1.2 Inseparable Link	200
2.4.1.3 Discriminatory Intent	204

2.4.1.4 Bans on the Muslim Veil: Direct or Indirect Discrimination?	206
2.4.2 A Different Degree of Moral Wrong?	213
2.4.3 A Sliding Scale for the Justification Test	221
2.5 Conclusion and Outlook	228
3 Reasonable Accommodation	234
3.1 Development of the Concept	235
3.2 The Essence of the Concept of Reasonable Accommodation	244
3.3 Accommodation as a Matter of Equality	246
3.3.1 Reasonable Accommodation as a Remedy against Discrimination	247
3.3.2 Reasonable Accommodation and the Four Dimensions of Equality	251
3.3.2.1 Participative Dimension	252
3.3.2.2 Redistributive Dimension	254
3.3.2.3 Recognition Dimension	255
3.3.2.4 Transformative Dimension	256
3.4 The Scope of the Duty to Accommodation	257
3.4.1 Exemptions vs. Inclusive Normality	258
3.4.2 Proactive vs. Reactive Duty	266
3.4.3 Individual vs. Structural Accommodation	269
3.5 Accommodation as a Matter of Proportionality	270
3.6 Time to Extend the Duty to Accommodate Beyond Disability	277
3.7 Conclusion and Outlook	282
4 Intersectionality	286
4.1 Development of the Concept	287
4.2 Intersectionality as a 'Way of Seeing'	291
4.3 Intersectionality as a 'Backtalk against Power'	295
4.4 An Intersectional Perspective on Veiling Bans	297
4.4.1 Religion	298
4.4.2 Gender	299
4.4.3 Race	305
4.4.3.1 The Close Entanglement of Religion and Race	305
4.4.3.2 Veiling Bans as Racial Discrimination	320
4.4.4 Seeing the Discrimination	327
4.4.4.1 Discrimination against Muslim Women is Relevant Discrimination under Equality Law	327

*Table of Contents*

4.4.4.2 Seeing the Context	330
4.4.4.3 Grasping the Intersectional Discrimination Caused by Veiling Bans	336
4.4.5 Listening to the Voices of Muslim Women	339
4.5 The Level of Scrutiny	351
4.5.1 A Stricter Level of Scrutiny in Cases of Intersectional Discrimination?	351
4.5.2 A Hierarchy of Grounds?	354
4.5.2.1 Is Religion Different from Other Grounds of Discrimination?	355
4.5.2.2 No Hierarchy in Cases of Intersectional Discrimination	363
4.6 Conclusion and Outlook	364
5 A Substantive Right to Equality as a ‘Toolkit’ for Dealing with Muslim Veiling Bans	369
5.1 Beyond Formal Equality	370
5.2 The Contextual Disadvantage Test	371
5.3 Four Dimensions as Normative Arguments	375
<b>Part III: Shortfalls of a Liberty-Oriented Approach</b>	<b>379</b>
1 The ECtHR’s Decision on the French Burqa Ban: S.A.S. v France	381
1.1 Facts of the Case	381
1.2 The ECtHR’s Decision	382
1.3 The Neglected Equality Dimension of the Case	385
1.3.1 Recognition	386
1.3.1.1 Stereotypes and Prejudice at the Basis of the Law	386
1.3.1.2 Recognition Harms Flowing from the Ban	392
1.3.2 Participation	399
1.3.3 Redistribution	402
1.3.4 Transformation	403
1.3.5 Indirect Discrimination	404
1.3.6 The French Legislature’s Intent	408
1.3.7 Reasonable Accommodation	410
1.3.8 Intersectionality	415
1.4 Consequences for the Level of Scrutiny and the Balancing Process	420
1.4.1 The Margin of Appreciation	421

1.4.2 Balancing the Rights at Stake	423
1.5 Conclusion	429
2 The FCC’s Decision on the Headscarf Ban for the Judiciary	433
2.1 Facts of the Case	433
2.2 The FCC’s Decision	434
2.3 The Neglected Equality Dimension of the Case	441
2.3.1 Explicit Unequal Treatment	442
2.3.2 The Basic Law’s Egalitarian Concept of State Neutrality	444
2.3.3 Recognition	448
2.3.4 Participation	459
2.3.5 Redistribution	460
2.3.6 Transformation	461
2.3.7 Indirect Discrimination	462
2.3.8 Reasonable Accommodation	465
2.3.9 Intersectionality	467
2.4 Consequences for the Level of Scrutiny and the Balancing Process	469
2.5 Conclusion	473
<b>Part IV: The Added Value of Equality</b>	<b>477</b>
1 Illuminating Liberty’s Blind Spots	477
1.1 The Comparative Perspective	477
1.2 Multidimensional Inequality	482
1.3 Indirect Discrimination	486
1.4 Reasonable Accommodation	487
1.5 Intersectionality	489
2 Liberty is not <i>lex specialis</i> to Equality	491
3 Liberty and Equality are not Antagonists	498
4 Outlook	499
Bibliography	507
Table of Cases	531