

Contents

Foreword	V
Preface	VII
Contributions	IX
Contents	XI
Detailed Contents	XIII
1 Introduction	1
2 Methodology	5
3 Priority	37
4 Interpretation	51
5 State of the art	57
6 Inventive step	67
7 Added subject-matter	79
8 Ranges	89
9 Legal questions	95
Appendices	113

Detailed Contents

Foreword	V
Preface	VII
Contributions	IX
Contents	XI
Detailed Contents	XIII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Object of this book	1
1.2 Description of paper C	1
1.2.1 Instructions to candidates	2
1.2.2 Examiners' reports	3
1.3 Overall method	4
2 Methodology	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.1.1 Objective	5
2.1.2 Summary	5
2.2 Two analysis methods	5
2.2.1 Overview of the matrix features-documents method	6
2.2.2 Overview of the matrix claims-attacks method	7
2.2.3 Choice of analysis method	9
2.3 Matrix features-documents method	11
2.3.1 Check the instructions for the candidates	11
2.3.2 Letter of the client and legal questions	11
2.3.3 Patent to oppose (A1)	11
2.3.4 Analysis of the dates of the disclosures	14
2.3.5 Analysis of the content of the disclosures	15
2.3.6 Attacks on the patent	16
2.3.7 Notice of opposition	19
2.4 Matrix claims-attacks method	21
2.4.1 Check the instructions for the candidates	21
2.4.2 Client's letter and legal questions	21
2.4.3 Patent to oppose (A1)	21
2.4.4 Analysis of the dates of the disclosures	23
2.4.5 Analysis of the content of the disclosures	25
2.4.6 Novelty attacks	27
2.4.7 Inventive step attacks	28
2.4.8 Notice of opposition	32
2.5 Hybrid methods	34
3 Priority	37
3.1 Legal basis	37
3.2 Relevance to paper C	38
3.3 Claimed objects	38
3.3.1 Independent claims	39
3.3.2 Dependent claims	39
3.4 Effective date	40
3.5 No valid claim to priority	40
3.5.1 Priority not valid	41
3.5.2 Priority data not indicated	41
3.6 Conflicting applications	43
3.7 Examples of determining priority dates	43
3.7.1 Intermediate publication of the contents of the priority application	44

3.7.2	Intermediate publication of another European application	44
3.7.3	Multiple priorities with an intermediate publication	44
3.7.4	Check for “first application”	44
3.8	Examples from past papers	45
4	Interpretation	51
4.1	Legal basis	51
4.2	Relevance to paper C	51
4.3	Interpretation in practice	52
4.3.1	Finding features	52
4.3.2	Writing down the result of interpretation	52
4.4	Interpreting the claims	53
4.4.1	Interpretation of expressions in claims	53
4.4.2	Non-limiting features?	53
4.5	Interpreting the disclosures	54
4.5.1	Identical features	54
4.5.2	Generic versus specific features	55
4.5.3	Incorporated features	55
4.5.4	Implicit features	55
4.5.5	A contrario reasoning	56
4.5.6	Unusable documents	56
5	State of the Art	57
5.1	Legal basis	57
5.2	Relevance to paper C	58
5.3	State of the art	59
5.3.1	Written disclosure	59
5.3.2	Oral disclosure	59
5.3.3	Prior use	60
5.4	Article 54(3) EPC	60
5.4.1	European applications	60
5.4.2	Euro-PCT applications	60
5.4.3	Attacks under Article 54(3) EPC	61
5.5	Examples from past papers	61
6	Inventive step	67
6.1	Legal basis	67
6.2	Relevance to paper C	67
6.3	The Problem and Solution Approach	68
6.3.1	Introduction	68
6.3.2	Stage 1 – Determination of the closest prior art	68
6.3.3	Stage 2 – Formulation of the objective technical problem	69
6.3.4	Stage 3 – Why the invention is obvious (“could-would approach”)	71
6.4	Partial problems	71
6.4.1	Guidelines	71
6.4.2	Partial problems in practice	72
6.5	The problem and solution approach in the notice of opposition ...	72
6.6	Argumentation for independent claims	73
6.7	Argumentation for dependent claims	74
6.7.1	Higher order claim was attacked for novelty	74
6.7.2	Higher order claim was attacked for inventive step	75
7	Added subject-matter	79
7.1	Legal basis	79
7.2	Relevance to paper C	81
7.3	Judging added subject-matter	81
7.3.1	“Unambiguously derivable”	81
7.3.2	“Novelty test”	82
7.3.3	“Modified novelty test” or “Disclosure test”	82
7.3.4	Deleting features (“Essentiality test”)	82
7.4	Description and drawings	83
7.5	Subsidiary attacks	83
7.6	Disclaimers	83
7.7	Examples from past papers	84

8	Ranges	89
8.1	Legal basis	89
8.2	Relevance to paper C	89
8.3	Novelty and ranges	90
8.3.1	Selecting a sub-range from a broader range of the prior art	90
8.3.2	Overlapping ranges	91
8.4	Inventive step and ranges	91
8.4.1	Sub-ranges selected from a broader range	91
8.4.2	Overlapping ranges	92
8.5	Examples from past papers	92
9	Legal Questions	95
9.1	Alphabetical list of past legal questions	95
9.2	Alphabetical summary of past legal questions	96
	APPENDICES	113
	Appendix 1 – Methodology Examples C 1999	115
	Appendix 2 – Example notice of opposition	133
	Appendix 3 – Matrix features-document template	139
	Appendix 4 – Matrix claims-attacks template	140
	Appendix 5 – References to frequently required legal authorities	141
	Appendix 6 – Paper C 1999	146