

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	“Life” – a socio-cultural theme at the beginning of the 20th century	1
1.2	A living topic	7
1.3	The life of this study – a brief overview	11
<b>2</b>	<b>Some Methodological Reflections</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	The historical aspect: Understanding and interpreting legal pasts	15
2.3	The comparative aspect: Analogical comparisons and actual influences	20
2.4	The legal aspect: Selection of texts and construction of contexts	24
<b>3</b>	<b>Germany: Sovereign Life and Bare Power?</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	The backdrop: Germany in an age of transition, 1871–1918	29
3.2.1	The rise of the interventionist state	29
3.2.2	Legal paradigms in “the private law society”	34
3.2.3	Carl Friedrich von Gerber and Paul Laband: The separation of “law” and “life (I)”	37

3.2.4	Hans Kelsen: The separation of “law” and “life” (II)	42
3.3	Crisis and criticism, ca. 1900–1933	50
3.3.1	Counter-voices in the Imperial years and the convulsions around 1900	50
3.3.2	A contextualizing detour: free law movement and jurisprudence of interests	54
3.3.3	The Weimar context: Germany in a state of crisis	62
3.3.4	Erich Kaufmann: Neo-Kantianism as a dead end	68
3.3.5	Rudolf Smend: Approximation of “law” and “life” through the concept of integration	75
3.3.6	Heinrich Triepel: Approximation of law and the life of politics	84
3.3.7	Carl Schmitt: Transformation of “law” into “life” through decisions	98
3.4	Brief summary	117
4	<b>The United States: A Government of Life?</b>	119
4.1	Introduction	119
4.2	The backdrop: The United States in an age of transition, 1870–1900	121
4.2.1	Industrialisation of society and modernisation of the legal system	121
4.2.2	Constitutional law: The Fourteenth Amendment and the infamous due process of law	129
4.2.3	A bird’s view on some of the legal thinkers in the period: Christopher Columbus Langdell and James C. Carter	135

4.2.4 A bird's view on constitutional scholarship in the period: Thomas M. Cooley and Christopher G. Tiedeman	142
4.3 Crisis and criticism, ca. 1900–1937	149
4.3.1 Oliver Wendell Holmes: Experience as the life of the law	149
4.3.2 Roscoe Pound: “Law” and “life” as a question of continuity and change (I)	154
4.3.3 Benjamin N. Cardozo: “Law” and “life” as a question of continuity and change (II)	161
4.3.4 Felix Frankfurter: Law as the reading of life	166
4.3.5 Legal realism and its context: The Restatement Project and proposals for educational reform	169
4.3.6 Karl N. Llewellyn: In the Beginning was Behaviour	175
4.3.7 Jerome Frank: In the Beginning was Certainty	182
4.3.8 “The Living Constitution” and the Supreme Court's volte-face	186
5 Ideas of what it means to live: some reflections	195
5.1 Introduction	195
5.2 The rational life of the law	196
5.3 A tale of two legal cultures – the debates compared	202
Sources	209