## **Content Overview**

| Table of Contents  | 9   |
|--|-----|
| Zusammenfassung  | 17  |
| Table of abbreviations   | 23  |
| Chapter 1: Introduction  | 25  |
| I. Problem statement   | 25  |
| II. Methodology and research question                          | 29  |
| III. State of the art  | 52  |
| IV. Outlook and structure                                      | 54  |
| Part I: Practical and theoretical foundations                  | 57  |
| Chapter 2: Artificial intelligence's use in medicine           | 57  |
| I. Artificial intelligence                                     | 57  |
| II. Capabilities of clinical AI: case studies                  | 76  |
| III. Interpretability of AI                                    | 85  |
| IV. Human-AI collaboration in the healthcare environment       | 96  |
| V. Conclusion  | 105 |
| Chapter 3: Bioethical autonomy and artificial intelligence     | 107 |
| I. The procedural conception of autonomy                       | 108 |
| II. The challenges posed by clinical AI to procedural autonomy | 116 |
| III. Conclusion  | 141 |
| Part II: Autonomy in the law                                   | 143 |
| Chapter 4: Autonomy in UK law                                  | 143 |
| I. Scope   | 145 |
| II. Function   | 148 |

DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BIBLIOTHEK

| III. Substantive content  | 156 |
|---|-----|
| IV. Limitations   | 169 |
| V. Conclusion   | 170 |
| Chapter 5: Autonomy in U.S. law                                       | 171 |
| I. Scope  | 171 |
| II. Function  | 185 |
| III. Substantive content  | 192 |
| IV. Limitations   | 202 |
| V. Conclusion   | 203 |
| Part III: Informed consent and artificial intelligence in medicine    | 205 |
| Chapter 6: UK tort law  | 205 |
| I. Battery  | 206 |
| II. Negligence  | 224 |
| III. The UK General Data Protection Regulation                        | 286 |
| IV. Conclusion  | 290 |
| Chapter 7: Californian tort law                                       | 293 |
| I. Battery  | 294 |
| II. Negligence  | 313 |
| III. Conclusion   | 364 |
| Chapter 8: Assessment of the comparison and of its wider significance | 367 |
| I. The limits of the common law                                       | 368 |
| II. Guidance for a bespoke statutory scheme                           | 382 |
| III. Legal reasoning and technological innovation                     | 394 |
| IV. Conclusion  | 405 |
| Bibliography  | 407 |
| I. Literature   | 407 |
| II Material   | 428 |
|   |     |

## Table of Contents

| Zusammenfassung   | 17 |
|---|----|
| Table of abbreviations  | 23 |
| Chapter 1: Introduction                                       | 25 |
| I. Problem statement  | 25 |
| II. Methodology and research question                         | 29 |
| A. Comparative method   | 29 |
| B. Scope of inquiry   | 35 |
| C. Legal reasoning and technological innovation               | 38 |
| 1. Instrumentality of law                                     | 40 |
| 2. Technological dynamism and legal inertia                   | 45 |
| 3. Desirability of non-legal regulation                       | 50 |
| 4. Summation  | 51 |
| D. Research question  | 52 |
| III. State of the art   | 52 |
| IV. Outlook and structure                                     | 54 |
| Part I: Practical and theoretical foundations                 | 57 |
| Chapter 2: Artificial intelligence's use in medicine          | 57 |
| I. Artificial intelligence                                    | 57 |
| A. Definition   | 57 |
| B. Machine learning: the underlying technology                | 59 |
| C. Specific features of ML models: the example of deep neural |    |
| networks  | 64 |
| 1. Sub-symbolic functioning                                   | 64 |
| 2. The training process                                       | 66 |
| 3. Data and performance evaluation                            | 68 |
| 4. Summary  | 75 |
| II. Capabilities of clinical AI: case studies                 | 76 |

| A. Devices complementing human expertise                              | 77       |
|---|----------|
| B. Devices (partially) replacing pre-existing cognitive               | 90       |
| capabilities  C. Devices determining dimensions of clinical decision- | 80       |
| making  | 83       |
| Ç   |          |
| III. Interpretability of AI   | 85       |
| A. Prevalent types of opacity   | 86       |
| B. Solutions to interpretability problems                             | 91<br>91 |
| <ol> <li>Explainability</li> <li>Interpretability</li> </ol>          | 91       |
| 3. Evaluation   | 94       |
| IV. Human-AI collaboration in the healthcare environment              | 96       |
| A. Choices in the use of ML devices                                   | 97       |
| B. User knowledge of ML devices                                       | 99       |
| C. ML influence   | 101      |
| V. Conclusion   | 105      |
| Chapter 3: Bioethical autonomy and artificial intelligence            | 107      |
| I. The procedural conception of autonomy                              | 108      |
| A. Decisional autonomy  | 110      |
| B. Practical autonomy   | 113      |
| C. Summation  | 115      |
| II. The challenges posed by clinical AI to procedural autonomy        | 116      |
| A. The need to form true beliefs about AI's goal-directed             |          |
| action  | 117      |
| B. Theoretical rationality and changes in human-AI expertise          | 126      |
| C. Positive freedom and the task of ensuring an adequate              |          |
| understanding of AI   | 130      |
| 1. General risk characteristics of AI                                 | 131      |
| 2. Informational manipulation   | 136      |
| i. AI nudging   | 137      |
| ii. Impermissible manipulation  | 138      |
| III. Conclusion   | 141      |

| Part II: Autonomy in the law                                       | 143 |
|--|-----|
| Chapter 4: Autonomy in UK law                                      | 143 |
| I. Scope   | 145 |
| II. Function   | 148 |
| III. Substantive content   | 156 |
| A. Rationality   | 159 |
| B. Individual reflection   | 161 |
| C. Positive and negative freedom                                   | 165 |
| IV. Limitations  | 169 |
| V. Conclusion  | 170 |
| Chapter 5: Autonomy in U.S. law                                    | 171 |
| I. Scope   | 171 |
| A. Jurisdictional scope  | 172 |
| B. Conceptual scope  | 178 |
| II. Function   | 185 |
| III. Substantive content   | 192 |
| A. Rationality   | 193 |
| B. Individual reflection   | 195 |
| C. Positive and negative freedom                                   | 200 |
| IV. Limitations  | 202 |
| V. Conclusion  | 203 |
| Part III: Informed consent and artificial intelligence in medicine | 205 |
| Chapter 6: UK tort law   | 205 |
| I. Battery   | 206 |
| A. Limitations flowing from the battery doctrine                   | 207 |
| B. Battery and the nature of valid consent                         | 209 |
| 1. Nature of the procedure   | 210 |
| 2. Identity of the professional                                    | 216 |
| 3. Non-therapeutic motivations C. Summation                        | 222 |

| 224 |
|-----|
| 225 |
| 226 |
| 227 |
| 229 |
| 235 |
| 245 |
| 245 |
| 247 |
| 248 |
| 252 |
| 252 |
| 253 |
| 254 |
| 257 |
| 259 |
| 260 |
| 260 |
| 262 |
| 266 |
| 270 |
| 270 |
| 278 |
| 281 |
| 282 |
| 285 |
| 286 |
| 290 |
| 293 |
| 294 |
| 296 |
| 297 |
| 299 |
| 300 |
| 303 |
| 303 |
|     |

| <ol> <li>Substantially different procedure</li> </ol>    | 305 |
|--|-----|
| i. Physical nature of the procedure                      | 306 |
| ii. Identity of the professional                         | 309 |
| iii. Non-therapeutic motivations                         | 310 |
| 2. Conditional consent                                   | 312 |
| C. Summation   | 312 |
| II. Negligence   | 313 |
| A. Damage  | 316 |
| 1. Personal injury                                       | 317 |
| 2. Autonomy interest                                     | 318 |
| 3. Emotional distress                                    | 321 |
| 4. Summation   | 323 |
| B. Duty of care  | 323 |
| 1. Medical professionals                                 | 324 |
| 2. Healthcare institutions                               | 327 |
| 3. Summation   | 330 |
| C. Breach  | 331 |
| 1. The informed consent standard                         | 333 |
| <ol> <li>The meaning of reasonable disclosure</li> </ol> | 333 |
| ii. The operationalisation of reasonable disclosure      | 335 |
| iii. Summation   | 339 |
| 2. The risks of medical AI                               | 340 |
| i. Specific risks  | 340 |
| ii. Risk-relevant status                                 | 343 |
| 3. Alterations to expertise                              | 346 |
| 4. Information concerning the choice of goals            | 351 |
| i. Understanding choices                                 | 352 |
| ii. Al's lesser influence on the pursuit of objectives   | 356 |
| 5. Summation   | 359 |
| D. Causation   | 359 |
| E. Awarding damages                                      | 362 |
| III. Conclusion  | 364 |
| Chapter 8: Assessment of the comparison and of its wider |     |
| significance   | 367 |
| I. The limits of the common law                          | 368 |
| A. Negligence  | 371 |
|  |     |

| 1. Informational requirements under the breach element         | 371 |
|--|-----|
| i. Risk-relevant characteristics                               | 372 |
| ii. Goal-directed action by AI                                 | 374 |
| iii. Human-AI expertise  | 375 |
| iv. Informational manipulation                                 | 376 |
| 2. Non-informational requirements                              | 377 |
| B. Battery   | 379 |
| 1. Informational requirements for valid consent                | 379 |
| 2. Non-informational requirements                              | 380 |
| C. Conclusion  | 380 |
| II. Guidance for a bespoke statutory scheme                    | 382 |
| A. Existing consent statutes                                   | 384 |
| 1. United Kingdom  | 384 |
| 2. California  | 386 |
| 3. Rationale   | 388 |
| B. An informed consent statute for AI                          | 391 |
| III. Legal reasoning and technological innovation              | 394 |
| A. Understated challenges of non-legal regulation              | 394 |
| B. The significance of law's resistance to instrumentalisation | 397 |
| C. Law's nuanced normative dynamism                            | 401 |
| IV. Conclusion   | 405 |
| Bibliography   | 407 |
| I. Literature  | 407 |
| II. Material   | 428 |