

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Significance of the study	4
1.2. Research questions	9
1.3. Book structure	11
2. Theoretical Framework	15
2.1. European Union and the invasion of Ukraine	15
2.1.1. Concept of crisis communication	15
2.1.2. Russian invasion of Ukraine as crisis	16
2.1.3. European unified communication during crises situations	17
2.1.4. Communication of politicians during a crisis	19
2.1.5. President of the European Commission	21
2.2. Political communication on social media	22
2.2.1. Concept of social media	23
2.2.2. Social Media as a platform for political communication	28
2.2.3. The role of Twitter in political communication	30
2.2.4. Studies on political communication on Twitter	31
2.2.5. Sentiment analysis and its relevance to political discourse	33
2.2.6. Overview of social media communication during crisis events.	35

2.2.7. Public engagement in political social media communication	37
2.3. Framing	40
2.3.1. Concept of framing	40
2.3.2. Explanation of framing theory and its key concepts	43
2.3.3. Framing theory and its application to political communication	44
2.3.4. Framing theory applied on political communication on social media	46
2.3.5. Framing the Russian aggression against Ukraine	49
3. Methodology	51
3.1. Data retrieval and processing overview	51
3.2. Data collection process	53
3.3. Description of the dataset	54
3.4. Preprocessing steps	56
3.5. Sentiment analysis	57
3.5.1. Natural language processing	58
3.5.2. Introduction to sentiment analysis	59
3.5.3. Sentiment analysis	60
3.5.4. Techniques of sentiment analysis	63
3.5.5. Sentiments compared with stance	72
3.5.6. Application of VADER sentiment analysis	72
3.6. Framing	75
3.6.1. Technique of detecting frames	75
3.6.2. Application of LDA topic modeling	78
3.7. Validity, reliability, and ethical considerations	82
3.7.1. Validity considerations	82
3.7.2. Reliability considerations	83

3.7.3. Ethical considerations	84
4. Results	85
4.1. Overview of the Twitter communication	85
4.1.1. Overview of von der Leyen's Twitter communication 2021–2022	85
4.1.2. Overview of von der Leyen's Twitter communication 2022–2023	86
4.1.3. Engagement of the public on von der Leyen's tweets	87
4.1.4. Overview of Scholz Twitter communication 2022–2023	88
4.2. Findings from VADER Sentiment analysis	88
4.2.1. Findings from von der Leyen sentiment analysis 2021–2022	89
4.2.2. Findings from von der Leyen sentiment analysis 2022–2023	91
4.2.3. Correlation analysis between sentiments and engagement metrics	93
4.2.4. Findings from the sentiment analysis of Olaf Scholz 2022–2023	96
4.3. Findings from LDA topic modeling	97
4.3.1. Findings from von der Leyen LDA topic modeling 2021–2022	97
4.3.2. Findings from von der Leyen topic modeling 2022–2023	102
4.3.3. Engagement from the public of von der Leyen's tweets	107
4.3.4. Findings from Scholz topic modeling	109
4.4. Comparing tweets between different time periods and politicians	110
4.4.1. Comparing the overview between different time periods	111

4.4.2. Comparing the overview between von der Leyen and Scholz	111
4.4.3. Comparing sentiment analysis across different time periods	112
4.4.4. Comparing the sentiments between von der Leyen and Scholz	112
4.4.5. Comparing the frames across the different time periods	113
4.4.6. Comparing the frames between von der Leyen and Scholz	114
4.4.7. Correlations of Events during the invasion of Ukraine	114
4.5. Translated tweets	117
5. Discussion	119
5.1. Interpretation and implications of the findings	119
5.1.1. Interpretation of the overview	119
5.1.2. Implications of the overview	120
5.2. Analysis of the framing strategies	120
5.2.1. Identification of key frames	120
5.2.2. Analysis of framing strategies	121
5.2.3. Implications of framing strategies	123
5.3. Analysis of the usage of sentiments	125
5.3.1. Findings of the sentiment analysis	125
5.3.2. Analysis of the used sentiments	125
5.3.3. Implications of the expressed sentiments	126
5.4. Comparison with previous studies and existing literature	127
5.4.1. Framing and sentiments of political communication	127
5.4.2. Unity of the European Union	127

5.4.3. Engagement of the public during a crisis on social media	128
6. Conclusion	131
6.1. Summary of key findings	131
6.2. Additional findings	132
6.3. Answering the research questions	133
6.4. Contribution to the field of study	135
6.5. Limitations of the study	136
6.6. Recommendations for future research	137
References	141
Acknowledgments	169