

Contents

Preface	V
Chapter 1. Diagnosis and prognosis of valvular heart disease	
1.1. Quantification of valvular stenosis and regurgitation	
Non-invasive methods are reliable for quantification of valvular stenoses and regurgitation	
Burckhardt, D., F. W. Amann, and A. Hoffmann	1
Assessment of valvular heart disease with combined echocardiographic and Doppler spectrum techniques: an alternative to preoperative catheterization?	
Sold, G., V. Wiegand, A. T. Trompler, A. Vogt, U. Tebbe, and H. Kreuzer	13
Non-invasive methods for quantification of aortic stenoses	
Müller-Haake, R. C. and U. Sechtem	18
Combined first-pass and equilibrium radionuclide ventriculography for non-invasive quantification of aortic and/or mitral regurgitation – comparison with the left/right ventricular stroke count ratio	
Klepzig, H. Jr., R. Standke, F. D. Maul, G. Hör, and M. Kaltenbach	24
Left ventricular function during exercise in patients with aortic valve disease	
Jehle, J., A. Lauber, P. Spiller, and D. Uhlmann	28
Accuracy in detection and quantification of tricuspid regurgitation by contrast and Doppler echocardiography	
Jacksch, R., K. R. Karsch, and L. Seipel	33
The assessment of the severity of mitral stenosis through exercise ECG	
Sánchez-Cascos, A., P. de Rábago, and J. M. Garcia-Andoain	35
Detection of the presence and severity of mitral regurgitation from aortic and mitral valve echograms	
Hofmann, T., W. Kasper, T. Meinertz, and H. Just	39
Identification of patients with aortic stenosis in whom Doppler ultrasound may replace the invasive measurement of pressure gradients	
Hoffmann, A. and D. Burckhardt	42
Estimation of severity of aortic valve stenosis by radionuclide ventriculography	
Kress, P., E. Henze, F. Bitter, H. Sigel, J. Roth, R. Lippert, R. Weller, M. Stauch, and W. E. Adam	44
Influence of technical modifications on estimation of regurgitant fraction by radionuclide ventriculography	
Sciagrà, R., E. Voth, U. Tebbe, H. Schicha, K.-L. Neuhaus, H. Kreuzer, and D. Emrich	48
Can Doppler echocardiography provide a haemodynamic assessment of mitral prosthetic function?	
Simpson, I. A., J. C. Rodger, A. C. Tweddel, A. B. Houston, I. J. Reece, I. Hutton, and T. D. V. Lawrie	50
1.2. Coronary angiography in patients who undergo catheterization for valvular heart disease	
Should coronary angiography be performed in all patients who undergo catheterization for valvular heart disease?	
Acar, J., Ph. Luxereau, A. Vahanian, Ph. Ducimetière, J. Berdah, Ph. Aouate, J. A. Sienczewski, and V. Roger	53

Routine coronary angiography is unnecessary in all patients with valvular heart disease Ramsdale, D. R., C. L. Bray, D. H. Bennett, C. Ward, D. C. Beton, and E. B. Faragher	61
Indications for coronary arteriography in aortic valve disease Turina, J., N. Goebel, O. Hess and H. P. Krayenbühl	68
Coronary artery disease in patients with valvular heart disease Savova, A., S. Stoitchev, H. Ovanesyan, B. Finkov, and J. Belov	73
Incidence of coronary artery disease in patients with valvular heart disease Sheiban, I., G. P. Trevi, P. Benussi, A. Marini, R. Accardi, E. Di Bona, M. Zanini, C. Muneretto, and D. Casarotto	76
Combined treatment of valvular and coronary heart disease during the last 10 years Moosdorf, R., G. Görlach, H. H. Scheld, J. Mulch, and F. W. Hehrlein	80
Incidence of coronary artery disease and necessity for coronary angiography in patients with valvular heart disease Carstens, V., A. Haum, M. Grond, and D. W. Behrenbeck	83
Coronary artery disease in aortic stenosis Sechtem, U. and R. C. Müller-Haake	86
1.3. Preoperative markers of postoperative persistent severe left ventricular dysfunction	
Preoperative markers of postoperative persistent severe left ventricular dysfunction Rudolph, W., F. Kraus, and C. Rudolph	90
There are no reliable preoperative markers of postoperative persistent left ventricular dysfunction Hess, O. M., J. Turina, M. Turina, and H. P. Krayenbühl	95
Myocardial performance and efficiency as assessed by energetic parameters derived from pressure-volume relations and wall thickness in human ventricles Holubarsch, Ch., G. Hasenfuss, M. Körner, M. v. Herrath, T. Bonzel, R. Tarnowska, and H. Just	100
Incidence, extent and localisation of left ventricular wall motion abnormalities in patients with mitral valve disease Konz, K. H., G. Schwalm, K. R. Karsch, and L. Seipel	105
Late myocardial dysfunction after valve replacement in aortic regurgitation: features and pre-operative markers Vahanian, A., Ph. Luxereau, P. Ducimetière, V. Roger, and J. Acar	108
Right ventricular function in mitral/aortic valve disease with and without tricuspid incompetence Brilla, C., K. H. Konz, K. R. Karsch, and L. Seipel	114
Relevant factors in establishment of the indication for valve replacement in aortic regurgitation Rudolph, C., F. Kraus, D. Hall, U. Klein, and W. Rudolph	117
Chronic aortic regurgitation: Dependence of postoperative course from preoperative left ventricular end-diastolic volume and regurgitated blood volume Klepzig, H. Jr., M. Skupin, R. Standke, R. Baum, B. Kunkel, G. Hör, P. Satter, and M. Kaltenbach	120
Chapter 2. Indication and contraindication for surgical intervention	
2.1. Surgical intervention in asymptomatic patients with significant aortic and/or mitral incompetence	
Asymptomatic patients with significant aortic or mitral incompetence and left ventricular dysfunction should undergo operation Bonow, R. O.	124
Patients with significant aortic incompetence should not be operated on until they are symptomatic Schwarz, F., J. Ehrmann, P. Olschewski, H. Scheurlen, W. Saggau, and W. Kübler	133

Relationship between symptoms and hemodynamics associated with regurgitant lesions of the aortic or mitral valve	
Kraus, F., S. Dacian, D. Hall, U. Klein, and W. Rudolph	137
Should asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic aortic regurgitation be operated on?	
Cormier, B., A. Vahanian, Ph. Luxereau, R. Kassab, and J. Acar	141
Aortic valve replacement in patients with aortic incompetence. Preoperative parameters influencing long-term results	
Sheiban, I., G. P. Trevi, D. Casarotto, G. F. Franco, P. Benussi, R. Accardi, A. Marini, E. Di Bona, A. Motta, and L. A. Scuro	146
2.2. Does an unexpected high risk justify postponement of operation in patients with repeated heart surgery or multiple valve lesions?	
The timing of prosthetic valve reoperations based on an analysis of risk factors	
Butchart, E. G. and I. M. Breckenridge	155
Comparative analysis of multiple and single valve replacement over 11 years	
Schön, H. R., F. Weingartner, B. Wächter, H. Sebening, and H. Blömer	160
Reoperations of the mitral and aortic valves	
Antunes, M. J., M. P. Magalhães, M. G. Azevedo, A. L. Baptista, and R. H. Kinsley	163
2.3. Early or late surgical intervention in infective endocarditis of native and prosthetic valves?	
Infective endocarditis of native and prosthetic valves – the case for prompt surgical intervention?	
A retrospective analysis of factors affecting survival	
Horstkotte, D., W. Bircks, and F. Loogen	168
Late intervention in infective endocarditis of native and prosthetic valves	
von der Emde, J., B. Jakob	183
Early operation for infective endocarditis and the activity of infection	
Arvay, A. and M. Lengyel	186
Surgical treatment of infective endocarditis	
Krzemińska-Pakuła, M. Marszał-Marciniak, K. Rafalska, J. Zasłonka, and J. Moll	191
Treatment of early prosthetic valve endocarditis	
Hoffman, M., J. Stepieńska, M. Sliwiński, and W. Sitkowski	196
Chapter 3. Operative treatment and choice of valve	
3.1. Surgical treatment of asymmetric septal hypertrophy (ASH)	
Asymmetric septal hypertrophy should be resected during aortic valve replacement	
Turina, M.	198
Asymmetric septal hypertrophy (ASH) in valvular aortic stenosis should not be resected at the time of surgery	
Schulte, H. D., W. Bircks, D. Horstkotte, J. Kerstholt, C. J. Preusse, and J. Winter	201
3.2. Are pericardial bioprostheses superior to porcine valves?	
Long-term durability of the pericardial valve	
Ionescu, M. I.	207
Porcine aortic vs. bovine pericardial valves: a morphologic study of the Xenomedica and Mitroflow bioprostheses	
Goffin, Y. A., M. A. Bartik, and S. L. Hilbert	213
Comparative in vitro evaluation of porcine and pericardial bioprostheses	
Reul, H., M. Giersiepen, H. Schindehütte, S. Effert, and G. Rau	223

A comparison of experience with the Hancock and Ionescu-Shiley low-profile pericardial bioprostheses	
Reece, I. J., J. Fisher, B. Sethia, K. J. MacArthur, and D. J. Wheatley	232
Does pericardial thickness guarantee a homogeneous bioprosthetic product? Evaluation of modification in the physical characteristics of bovine pericardium exposed to pressure stress test	
Mikus, P. M., G. Arpesella, G. Gargiulo, M. Cirillo, C. Marrozzini, V. Pierangeli, and A. Pierangeli	237
Haemodynamic evaluation of the Hancock bovine pericardial heart valve	
Weingartner, J., E. Kreuzer, C. Weinhold, B. Reichart, D. Peters, and E. Erdmann	241
Carpentier-Edwards supra-annular porcine bioprosthesis – new generation biological valve	
Jamieson, W. R. E., A. N. Gerein, G. F. O. Tyers, P. Allen, A. I. Munro, R. T. Miyagishima, M. T. Janusz, and L. H. Burr	245
Kangaroo xenobioprostheses – an advantage in heart valve replacement	
Weinhold, C., J. Weingartner, M. Adt, D. Peters, B. Reichart, E. Kreuzer, J. M. Gokel, and C. Hammer	251
Late follow-up of the flexible stent, low profile Liotta bioprosthesis	
Navia, T. A., T. Belzzitti, T. Meletti, and D. Liotta	254
3.3. Are bioprostheses superior to mechanical valves?	
Bioprostheses are superior to mechanical prostheses	
Jamieson, W. R. E.	258
A comparison of pressure- and volume-loss of technical and biological heart valve prostheses	
Köhler, J.	272
Comparison of long-term clinical follow-up after aortic or mitral valve replacement with Björk-Shiley tilting disc prostheses or tissue valves	
Mudra, H., F. Kraus, E. Wellenhofer, D. Hall, and W. Rudolph	277
Five-years actuarial analysis after heart valve replacement with the St. Jude Medical Prosthesis	
Fraedrich, G., R. Höge, R. Fiedler, and F. W. Hehrlein	282
Are bioprostheses superior to mechanical valves?	
Schön, H. R., B. Unger, F. Weingartner, H. Sebening, and H. Blömer	286
Aortic and mitral valve replacement in young patients	
Vouhé, P. R., A. Milano, F. Leca, and J. Y. Neveux	289
Chapter 4. Treatment after heart valve surgery	
4.1. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications under anticoagulant therapy	
High complication and failure rates of anticoagulant therapy are unavoidable	
Nitter-Hauge, S. and J. Dale	293
High complication and failure rates of anticoagulant therapy are avoidable	
Broekmans, A. W. and E. A. Loeliger	298
Can early thromboembolic complications after valve replacement be avoided?	
Kure, H. H., H. Schüller, L. Thulin, and C. Olin	302
Low profile bioprostheses for mitral valve replacement	
Romano, M., R. Sigal, P. Lorente, and A. Piwnica	305
Pregnancy in women with valvular prostheses – follow-up observations	
Pajszczyk-Kieszkiewicz, T., M. Krzemińska-Pakuła, U. Kowalska-Koprek, J. Zasłonka, and A. Zasłonka	308
Long-term results after mitral and aortic valve replacement in childhood and adolescence	
Rupprath, G., F. Franke, J. Vogt, and E. R. de Vivie	312

4.2. Anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation after mitral valve replacement with bioprostheses**Anticoagulation is necessary in patients with atrial fibrillation after mitral valve replacement with bioprostheses**

Rothlin, M. E. 317

Oral anticoagulation is not necessary for patients with bioprosthetic mitral valve replacement in atrial fibrillation

Nuñez, L., A. G. Pinto, J. L. Larrea, M. Gil Aguado, and F. O. Connor 321

4.3. Anticoagulation in patients with mechanical prostheses and sinus rhythm**Anticoagulation is necessary in all patients with mechanical prostheses in sinus rhythm**

Duveau, D. 326

Do all patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses need anticoagulant therapy?

Dale, J. and S. Nitter-Hauge 332

Clotting properties in diverse valve prostheses

Zöller, H., W. Gross, and K. Rieke 338

Factors influencing thromboembolism after mitral prosthetic implants

Rábago, G., J. Fraile, J. Martinell, V. Artiz, and J. Cortina 341

Author Index 345**Subject Index** 347