

Contents

I	Formalization of Automotive Real-Time Requirements	3
1	Characteristics of Automotive Requirements	5
1.1	Constraints given by Automotive Requirements Engineering Processes	5
1.2	Relating Automotive Requirements with Logical Formalisms	6
1.2.1	Framework	7
1.2.2	Applying the Framework on Automotive Requirements	14
2	Specifying Requirements with a SPS	17
2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	SPS by Konrad and Cheng	18
2.3	Applicability of the SPS on Automotive Requirements	20
2.3.1	Study Goals and Questions	20
2.3.2	Planning of the Case Study	21
2.3.3	Case Study Design	22
2.3.4	Analysis of the Results	23
2.3.5	Threats to Validity	26
2.3.6	Conclusion	27
2.4	Related Work	28
II	Formal analysis of real-time requirements	29
3	Prerequisites	31
3.1	Duration Calculus	31
3.2	Requirements Formalized in Duration Calculus	32
3.3	Phase Event Automata	34
3.4	Inconsistency	35
4	Rt-inconsistency	37
4.1	Property of rt-inconsistency	38
4.1.1	Example of rt-inconsistency	38
4.1.2	Defining rt-inconsistency	39
4.2	Checking rt-inconsistency	40
4.2.1	Algorithm	40
4.2.2	Proof of Correctness	42
4.2.3	Characterizing rt-inconsistency via Timed Automata	43
4.2.4	Worst-case Complexity	44
4.3	Evaluation of Usefulness	45
4.4	Optimization	47
4.4.1	Independent Sets of Requirements	47
4.4.2	Deadlock Hierarchy	47
4.4.3	Optimized Algorithm	49
4.4.4	Analysis of the Optimization Benefit	50
4.5	Conclusion and Future Work	54

5	Vacuity	57
5.1	Property	57
5.1.1	Abstract Definition of Vacuity	58
5.1.2	Instantiated Definition of Vacuity	59
5.2	Checking Vacuity	60
5.2.1	General Idea	62
5.2.2	Algorithm	62
5.2.3	Proof of Correctness	63
5.2.4	Worst-case Complexity	65
5.3	Evaluation of Usefulness	66
5.4	Conclusion	68
6	Related Work	71
III	A Feasibility Study	73
7	Automatic Analysis of Real-Time Requirements	75
7.1	The Method	76
7.1.1	The Specification Pattern System (SPS)	76
7.1.2	Translation of the SPS to Duration Calculus	77
7.1.3	The Correctness Properties	80
7.1.4	The Tool	80
7.2	The Feasibility Study	81
7.2.1	Planning of the Feasibility Study	81
7.2.2	Analysis of the Results	82
7.2.3	Threats to Validity	83
7.2.4	Conclusion	84
IV	Conclusion and Future Work	85
V	Bibliography	89
A	Appendix regarding the SPS	97
A.1	Qualitative Timed Patterns by Dwyer et al	97
A.2	Quantitative Timed Patterns by Konrad and Cheng	98
A.3	Translation of the SPS into LTL, CTL and TCTL	98
A.4	Comparison with Existing Case Studies	108
A.4.1	Comparison with the Case Study by Dwyer et al	108
A.4.2	Comparison with the Case Study by Konrad	109
B	Appendix regarding temporal formalisms	111
B.1	Linear Temporal Logic (LTL)	111
B.2	Computational Tree Logic (CTL)	111
B.3	TCTL	112