# Contents

List of Illustrations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ix

List of Tables . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . xiii

1. Basics of Ellipsometry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
  1.1 Physics . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
  1.2 Instrumentation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
    1.2.1 Null Ellipsometry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
    1.2.2 Photometric Ellipsometry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9
  1.3 Developments in Ellipsometry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12
  1.4 Static and Dynamic Ellipsometry . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14

2. Ellipsometry in Microelectronic Technology . . . . . . . . . 19
  2.1 Semiconductor Substrates and Films . . . . . . . . . . . . 19
  2.2 Insulating Films . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 20
  2.3 Etching Processes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 21

3. Error Effects in Ellipsometric Investigations . . . . . . . . 23
  3.1 Random Measurement Errors . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 24
  3.2 Instrumentation Error Effects . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 30
    3.2.1 Null Ellipsometers . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 30
      3.2.1.1 Error Sources . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 30
      3.2.1.2 Numerical Alignment . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 36
    3.2.2 Rotating Analyzer Ellipsometers . . . . . . . . . . . 43
      3.2.2.1 Intrinsic Accuracy . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 45
      3.2.2.2 Angular Encoder Orbiting . . . . . . . . . . . . . 47
      3.2.2.3 Periodic and Random Noise . . . . . . . . . . . . 51
      3.2.2.4 The Influence of the Error Effects Discussed on the Ellipsometric Angles $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ . . . . . . . . . . . . . 57
      3.2.2.5 Design Rules for Rotating Analyzer Ellipsometers . 68
  3.3 Effects of the Sample Structure . . . . . . . . . . . . . 70
    3.3.1 Substrate Refractive Index . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 70
    3.3.2 Interface Layer Between Substrate and Film . . . . . . 72

Bibliografische Informationen
http://d-nb.info/871501120

digitalisiert durch DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BIBLIOTHEK

Appendix A: Design Considerations for a High-Speed Rotating Analyzer Ellipsometer . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 81
- A1 The Optical Assembly . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 82
- A2 Electronic Interface Circuitry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 85
- A3 The Microcomputer System . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 86
  - A3.1 Hardware . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 86
  - A3.2 Software . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87

References . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 91

Subject Index . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 97

Fig. 14: Angle of incidence determination: Real and imaginary parts of the calculated film thickness $d_c$ as functions of the angle of incidence $\alpha_c$ used in the calculations. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40
Fig. 15: Angle of incidence determination: Calculated angle of incidence $\alpha_c$ as a function of the actual film refractive index $(n_f)_a$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40
Fig. 16: Angle of incidence determination: Film thickness $d_c$ computed at the calculated angle of incidence $\alpha_c$ as a function of the actual film refractive index $(n_f)_a$. . . . 42
Fig. 17: Intrinsic accuracy of a rotating analyzer ellipsometer: Relative errors of the DC component, $\Delta I_0$, and of the normalized AC amplitude, $\Delta e$, and standard deviation of the phase error $\Delta\Phi$ for $e = 1$ as a function of the initial mean intensity $I_{00}$ or of the resolution r of the digitized intensity signal. . . . . . . 46
Fig. 18: Effect of angular encoder orbiting: Error $\Delta e$ of the normalized AC amplitude as a function of the phase modulation depth $\delta$ for one (a) and two (b) signal periods processed. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 49
Fig. 19: Effect of angular encoder orbiting: Standard deviation of the phase error $\Delta\Phi$ as a function of the phase modulation depth $\delta$ for one (a) and two (b) signal periods processed. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 50
Fig. 20: Effect of angular encoder orbiting: Normalized amplitude of the second harmonic $e_2$ as a function of the phase modulation depth $\delta$ for one (a) and two (b) signal periods processed. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 51
Fig. 21: Vector addition of probe beam intensity (index "o") and noise (index "n") induced signals. . . . . . . . . . 52
Fig. 22: Effect of periodic noise: DC (a) and AC (b) amplitudes of the detected component with the frequency $2\omega$ for a noise frequency $2x\omega$ and for an integration over one signal period. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 53
Fig. 23: Effect of periodic noise: DC (a) and AC (b) amplitudes of the detected component with the frequency $2\omega$ for a noise frequency $2x\omega$ and for an integration over two signal periods. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 54
Fig. 24: Quantization error for small signals. . . . . . . . . . 55
Fig. 25: Effect of random noise: Mean value (a) and standard deviation (b) of the error of the DC component for $n = 1024$ data sampling points. . . . . . . . . 56
Fig. 26: Effect of random noise on the normalized amplitude $e_r$ of the detected periodic component for $n = 1024$ data sampling points. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 56

Fig. 27: Effect of random noise on the periodic component with the frequency $2\omega$ as a function of the number n of data points per period processed. . . . . . . . . . 57
Fig. 28: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Ellipsometric angles $\Psi$ (a) and $\Delta$ (b) as functions of the phase angle $\Phi$ for linearly polarized incident light; $P = 45^\circ$; Parameter: normalized AC amplitude e. . . . . . . . . . . 59
Fig. 29: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Ellipsometric angles $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ as functions of the normalized AC amplitude e, and of the phase angle $\Phi$ for linearly polarized incident light and a polarizer azimuth $P = 45^\circ$. . . . 60
Fig. 30: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Ellipsometric angles $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ as functions of the normalized AC amplitude e, and of the phase angle $\Phi$ for linearly polarized incident light and a polarizer azimuth $P = 15^\circ$. . . . 61
Fig. 31: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Ellipsometric angles $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ as functions of the normalized AC amplitude e, and of the phase angle $\Phi$ for circularly polarized incident light. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 62
Fig. 32: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Uncertainties $\delta\Psi$ (a), (b) and $\delta\Delta$ (c) of the ellipsometric angles for an amplitude error $\Delta e/e = 0.01$ as a function of the phase angle $\Phi$ for linearly polarized incident light with $P = 45^\circ$ (a) and $P = 15^\circ$ (b), or for circularly polarized incident light (a); Parameter: normalized AC amplitude e. The graphs for $\delta\Delta$ (c) apply to any polarization of the incident beam. . . . . . . . . . . 63
Fig. 33: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Uncertainties $\delta\Psi$ (a), (b) and $\delta\Delta$ (c) of the ellipsometric angles for a phase error $\Delta\Phi = 1^\circ$ as a function of the phase angle $\Phi$ for linearly polarized incident light with $P = 45^\circ$ (a) and $P = 15^\circ$ (b), or for circularly polarized incident light (a); Parameter: normalized AC amplitude e. The graphs for $\delta\Delta$ (c) apply to any polarization of the incident beam. . . . . . . . . . . 65
Fig. 34: Rotating analyzer ellipsometry: Uncertainties $\delta\Psi$ (a), (b) and $\delta\Delta$ (c) of the ellipsometric angles for an amplitude error $\Delta e/e = 0.01$ and a phase error $\Delta\Phi = 1^\circ$ as a function of the phase angle $\Phi$ for linearly polarized incident light with $P = 45^\circ$ (a) and $P = 15^\circ$ (b), or for circularly polarized incident light (a); Parameter: normalized AC amplitude e. The graphs for $\delta\Delta$ (c) apply to any polarization of the incident beam. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 67

Fig. 35: Substrate data: Influence of the substrate refractive index $(n_s)_c$ used for the calculation of film thicknesses and refractive indices on the relative error x of the computed film thickness (a), on the calculated film refractive index $(n_f)_c$ (b), and on the absolute error of the thicknesses of ultra-thin films (c). . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 71
Fig. 36: Model for the analysis of an inhomogeneous interface layer between the substrate and a dielectric film. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 73
Fig. 37: Variation of the $SiO_2$ volume content x in an inhomogeneous interface layer between a silicon substrate and an arbitrary film for the two models "a" and "b". . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 74
Fig. 38: Variations of the real and imaginary parts of the refractive index of an inhomogeneous film over its thickness $d_i$ according to the models "a" and "b". . . . . 74
Fig. 39: Inhomogeneous interface layer: Difference between the calculated film thickness $d_c$ and the actual distance z between the surfaces of the substrate and of the deposited ultra-thin $SiO_2$ film for various thicknesses $d_i$ of the inhomogeneous interface layer for the two models "a" (a) and "b" (b). . . . . . . . . . 76
Fig. 40: Inhomogeneous interface layer: Absolute errors of the calculated thickness $d_c$ (a) and refractive index $(n_f)_c$ (b) over the first ellipsometric order for the two models "a" and "b". Calculation based on fictive substrate refractive indices. . . . . . . . . . . 78
Fig. 41: Inhomogeneous interface layer: Absolute errors of the calculated thickness $d_c$ (a) and refractive index $(n_f)_c$ (b) over the first ellipsometric order for the two models "a" and "b". Calculation based on the actual substrate refractive index. . . . . . . . . . . . . 79
Fig. A1: Optical assembly of a high-speed rotating analyzer ellipsometer. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 82
Fig. A2: Data processing system of the high-speed rotating analyzer ellipsometer. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 85