

## CONTENTS

|                                        |    |
|----------------------------------------|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .             | iv |
| VITA . . . . .                         | v  |
| ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION . . . . . | vi |

| <i>Chapter</i>                                                                  | <i>page</i> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| I. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM . . . . .                                               | 1           |
| One question unanswered in traditional descriptions . . . . .                   | 1           |
| Why the set theory model is inadequate . . . . .                                | 5           |
| II. VARIOUS APPROACHES TO THE SEMANTICS OF RUSSIAN<br>VERBAL PREFIXES . . . . . | 15          |
| The Traditional Approach . . . . .                                              | 15          |
| Identity of submeanings . . . . .                                               | 16          |
| System of combination . . . . .                                                 | 16          |
| Prediction . . . . .                                                            | 17          |
| Coherence of submeanings . . . . .                                              | 18          |
| Number of submeanings . . . . .                                                 | 18          |
| Classification . . . . .                                                        | 19          |
| "Empty" prefixes . . . . .                                                      | 19          |
| Summary of questions . . . . .                                                  | 26          |
| Structuralist approaches . . . . .                                              | 26          |
| Flier 1975 . . . . .                                                            | 27          |
| van Schooneveld 1978 . . . . .                                                  | 29          |
| Gallant 1979 . . . . .                                                          | 31          |
| Summary of structuralist approaches . . . . .                                   | 32          |
| Invariance and meaning . . . . .                                                | 33          |
| Diversity vs unity . . . . .                                                    | 33          |
| The common denominator . . . . .                                                | 34          |
| The paradox of the invariant . . . . .                                          | 38          |
| Other arguments against invariance . . . . .                                    | 40          |
| Summary . . . . .                                                               | 42          |
| III. THE MODIFIED STRUCTURALIST APPROACH . . . . .                              | 44          |
| Evidence from psychology . . . . .                                              | 44          |
| Evidence from linguistics . . . . .                                             | 48          |
| Fillmore . . . . .                                                              | 49          |

|       |                                                                           |     |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|       | Kay and McDaniel 1978 and Coleman and Kay 1981 . . .                      | 49  |
|       | Langacker . . . . .                                                       | 50  |
|       | Lakoff . . . . .                                                          | 52  |
|       | Brugman, Lindner, and Rudzka-Ostyn . . . . .                              | 54  |
|       | Summary of impact of cognitive psychology on<br>linguistics . . . . .     | 55  |
|       | Summary . . . . .                                                         | 59  |
|       | An outline of the modified structuralist approach . . .                   | 60  |
| IV.   | A MODIFIED STRUCTURALIST ANALYSIS OF RUSSIAN<br>VERBAL PREFIXES . . . . . | 64  |
|       | Preliminaries . . . . .                                                   | 64  |
|       | "Cognitive" space . . . . .                                               | 64  |
|       | The structure of the configuration . . . . .                              | 66  |
|       | The structure of the network . . . . .                                    | 67  |
|       | Role of prefixal semantics in the meaning of a verb . .                   | 69  |
| V.    | ZA- . . . . .                                                             | 78  |
|       | An overview of the network and configurations of ZA- .                    | 78  |
|       | Configuration 1 . . . . .                                                 | 81  |
|       | Configuration 2 . . . . .                                                 | 120 |
|       | Configuration 3 . . . . .                                                 | 121 |
|       | Configuration 4 . . . . .                                                 | 129 |
|       | Configuration 5 . . . . .                                                 | 131 |
|       | Summary . . . . .                                                         | 133 |
| VI.   | PERE- . . . . .                                                           | 134 |
|       | An overview of the network and configurations of<br>PERE- . . . . .       | 134 |
|       | Configuration 1 . . . . .                                                 | 138 |
|       | Configuration 2 . . . . .                                                 | 156 |
|       | Configuration 3 . . . . .                                                 | 158 |
|       | Configuration 4 . . . . .                                                 | 160 |
|       | Configuration 5 . . . . .                                                 | 162 |
|       | Configuration 6 . . . . .                                                 | 165 |
|       | Configuration 7 . . . . .                                                 | 168 |
|       | Configuration 8 . . . . .                                                 | 170 |
|       | Configuration 9 . . . . .                                                 | 172 |
| VII.  | DO- . . . . .                                                             | 174 |
|       | An overview of DO- . . . . .                                              | 174 |
|       | Configuration 1 . . . . .                                                 | 175 |
|       | Configuration 2 . . . . .                                                 | 189 |
| VIII. | OT- . . . . .                                                             | 192 |
|       | An overview of OT- . . . . .                                              | 192 |

|      |                                                                   |     |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|      | Configuration 1 . . . . .                                         | 193 |
|      | Configuration 2 . . . . .                                         | 205 |
| IX.  | SUMMARY . . . . .                                                 | 209 |
|      | A comparison of the three approaches . . . . .                    | 209 |
|      | On linkage . . . . .                                              | 217 |
| X.   | VARIETIES OF EXPRESSIONS OF EXCESS . . . . .                      | 222 |
|      | <Excess> and the configurations and mapping patterns . . . . .    | 222 |
|      | DO- versus OT- . . . . .                                          | 228 |
|      | ZA- versus PERE- . . . . .                                        | 229 |
|      | Summary of <excess> . . . . .                                     | 234 |
| XI.  | A REMARK ON ASPECT . . . . .                                      | 235 |
|      | Definitions of the term perfective . . . . .                      | 236 |
|      | The lexico-grammatical dichotomy . . . . .                        | 237 |
|      | Aspect and prefixes in the modified structuralist model . . . . . | 238 |
|      | Aktionsart . . . . .                                              | 240 |
| XII. | CONCLUSION . . . . .                                              | 242 |
|      | BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .                                            | 257 |