

Contents

1	An Overview of German Business or Enterprise Law and the One-Tier and Two-Tier Board Systems Contrasted	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	General Characteristics	2
1.3	Various Types of Business Organisations	4
1.4	Contrasting the One-Tier and Two-Tier Board Systems	8
1.5	Delineation	14
2	An Overview of the Corporate Governance Debate in Germany	15
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	History and Significance of the German ‘Corporate Governance’ Debate	16
2.3	Focus on the Supervisory Board	20
2.4	Important Role of Supervisory Boards and Recommendations for Improvement	22
2.5	Initial Reaction	24
2.6	The German Corporate Governance Code	30
2.6.1	Overview	30
2.6.2	Section 161 of the AktG	33
2.6.3	Basic changes to the Code since 2002	36
2.6.4	Parts and Layout	40
2.6.5	Some Noteworthy Provisions of the Code	41
2.6.6	The Legal Nature of the Code	45
2.7	Need for a European Corporate Governance Code	49
2.8	The Global Financial Crisis and Corporate Governance in Germany	51
2.9	Concluding Remarks	53

3	The General Meeting and the Management Board as Company Organs	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	The General Meeting	56
3.2.1	Function as a Corporate Organ	56
3.2.2	Some Specific Functions	57
3.2.3	Emergence of Shareholder Activism in Germany	59
3.3	The Management Board	60
3.3.1	Function as a Corporate Organ	60
3.3.2	Qualifications, Appointment, Removal and Compensation of Members	61
3.3.3	Rights, Powers and Responsibilities	71
3.3.4	Duties and Forms of Liability	80
3.4	Concluding Remarks	88
4	The Supervisory Board as Company Organ	91
4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	Appointment Prerequisites	93
4.3	Conditions of Appointment and Qualifications to Serve as Supervisory Board Members	96
4.3.1	General	96
4.3.2	Some EU Recommendations	98
4.3.3	Basic Knowledge of Economics, Law and Accounting	99
4.3.4	Additional Requirement Pertaining to Knowledge and Qualification	100
4.3.5	Women	101
4.3.6	Conflicts of Interest	102
4.3.7	Independence	103
4.3.8	Former Members of Management Board Serving as Members of the Supervisory Board	105
4.3.9	Number of Supervisory Boards an Individual Can Serve on	109
4.4	Removal of Supervisory Board Members	111
4.5	Compensation of Supervisory Board Members	113
4.6	Rights and Responsibilities of Supervisory Board Members	117
4.6.1	Appointment and Removal of Management Board Members	117
4.6.2	Determination of the Compensation of Management Board Members	119
4.6.3	Supervision	123
4.6.4	Reporting to the General Meeting	138
4.6.5	Instituting Action Against Members of the Management Board for a Breach of Their Duties	139
4.7	General Duties and Forms of Liability	140
4.8	The Supervisory Board as Integral Part of the German Two-Tier Board System	145
4.9	Concluding Remarks	147

5 The German System of Supervisory Codetermination	
by Employees	149
5.1 Introduction	149
5.2 Historical Development of Codetermination (<i>Mitbestimmung</i>)	151
5.2.1 Overview	151
5.2.2 Social Codetermination	151
5.2.3 Supervisory Codetermination	154
5.2.4 The Two-Tier Board System and Supervisory Codetermination Contrasted	161
5.2.5 Perceptions Regarding Codetermination in Germany from a Historic Perspective	164
5.3 Current Practical Perspectives on Codetermination	167
5.3.1 General Concerns	167
5.3.2 The Dependency of the Management Board on the Employee Delegates on the Supervisory Board	173
5.3.3 Some Recent Scandals Associated with Employee Representatives Serving on Supervisory Boards	175
5.3.4 The Mutual Take-Over Battle Between the VW SE and the Porsche SE: The VW SE Wins	179
5.3.5 Compensation of the Employee Representatives Serving on Supervisory Boards	186
5.3.6 Recent Proposals to Modify the System of Codetermination	187
5.4 Issues Related to Supervisory Codetermination	191
5.4.1 Codetermination in the Management Board: The Personnel Director	191
5.4.2 Classification of Employees	193
5.4.3 The Role of Trade Unions	193
5.5 Concluding Remarks	195
 6 The Impact of European Developments on German Codetermination and German Corporate Law	 197
6.1 Introduction	198
6.2 Decisions by the European Court of Justice and Their Impact on German Codetermination	199
6.2.1 The Seat Theory as a Shield for the Maintenance of the German System of Codetermination	199
6.2.2 Decisions of the ECJ Enabling EU Companies to Move Their Seats into Another EU Country	204
6.2.3 Decisions of the ECJ Recognising National Barriers Against the Transfer of Companies' Seats Abroad	210
6.2.4 Reconciling the Two Lines of ECJ Decisions	214
6.2.5 Summary and Conclusions	217
6.3 The Impact of the ECJ Rulings on German Courts, German Legislation and German Law Practice	219

6.3.1	The Partial Conversion of German Courts to the Doctrine of Incorporation	219
6.3.2	The Amendments of the German Statutes on Public and Private Limited Companies	224
6.3.3	German Companies Transform Themselves into English Limited Companies	225
6.3.4	The Proposal by a German Government Commission for New Statutory Regulations on International Company Law ..	228
6.4	The Creation of the European Company (SE) and the System of EU Codetermination	229
6.4.1	Stormy History	229
6.4.2	The Regulation of the SE: Two-Tier Versus One-Tier Board System	230
6.4.3	The Transfer of the Registered Seat of an SE	231
6.4.4	The SE Employees' Directive	233
6.4.5	The SE: A Success Story	235
6.4.6	Advantages and Disadvantages of Incorporation as an SE ..	236
6.4.7	The Relative Attractiveness of the Employees' Codetermination Under the SE Regulation and Directive ...	239
6.4.8	A Re-Assessment of the SE Regulation	241
6.4.9	A Re-Assessment of the SE Employees' Directive	243
6.5	The Creation of a Societas Europaea Privata (SPE)	245
6.5.1	Some Fundamental Features of the Legal Framework of the SPE	246
6.5.2	Employees' Codetermination Under the SPE Proposal	247
6.5.3	The Transfer of the Registered Seat of the SPE	247
6.5.4	An Assessment of the Proposal for an SPE Including Its Rules on Employee Participation	248
6.6	Cross-Border Mergers of Limited Companies	249
6.6.1	The Sevic Decision by the European Court of Justice (2005)	249
6.6.2	The Directive on Cross-Border Mergers of Limited Companies and Germany's Implementation of that Directive	251
6.7	Recent Developments in a Nutshell	253
6.7.1	Some Fundamental Changes	253
6.7.2	Some Unwavering Institutions	267
6.8	Concluding Remarks	269
6.8.1	Codetermination Wins in Acceptance	269
6.8.2	Driving Factors	270
7	Accounting as the Documentary Proof of Good Corporate Governance	275
7.1	Back to the Future	276
7.2	Handling the 'Invisible'	277

7.3	Accounting Law	278
7.3.1	Accountability	278
7.3.2	A Complete Set of Financial Statements	280
7.3.3	European Law and IFRS	280
7.3.4	General Rule (<i>Bilanzwahrheit</i>) and Conflict of Laws	282
7.3.5	IASB/IFRS: Lack of Legitimacy	283
7.3.6	German and European Law (IFRS)	284
7.3.7	Regulatory Interactions	285
7.3.8	Corporate Governance Statement (Directive 2006/46/EC) ..	286
7.4	Financial Statements: 'A True and Fair View'	287
7.4.1	International Focus and Comparative Law	287
7.4.2	European Court of Justice (ECJ)	289
7.4.3	Guidance	290
7.4.4	IFRS, Company Law and Tax Law	291
7.4.5	Rules of Accounting	292
7.4.6	Expectation Gaps and Procedural Law	293
7.4.7	Completeness: No 'Off-Balance Sheet'	295
7.5	Valuation	296
7.5.1	Financing and the 'Numbers Game'	296
7.5.2	The Fall of Lehman Brothers Inc.	297
7.5.3	The Legal Focus of Accounting Practice	298
7.5.4	The Procedural Law of Faithful Accounting	300
7.5.5	Conclusion for Corporate Directors and Auditors	301
7.6	Accounting and Company Groups	301
7.6.1	Consolidated Financial Statements	301
7.6.2	Liability: Separate Legal Entity and International Taxation	303
7.6.3	Cash Pooling and Liability	304
7.7	Accounting, International Allocation of Assets and Reform	305
7.7.1	Legal Order and Competition	305
7.7.2	Reform in Germany ('BilMoG')	306
7.7.3	'BilMoG': Some of the Rule Changes	307
7.7.4	German and European Rule of Law	308
7.7.5	Fair Competition?	309
7.7.6	Comparative Law: Regional 'IFRS'	311
7.7.7	Linguistic Diversity and European 'IFRS'	312
7.7.8	European Accounting Law and Multijurisdictional Approach	313
7.8	Auditing, Control and Sanctions	315
7.8.1	About Watchdogs and Materiality	315
7.8.2	Reform and Lessons from the Crisis	316
7.8.3	Disclosure and Enforcement	317
7.8.4	Liability in the European Context	318
7.8.5	Sanctions: Some Rules	320

7.9	Judicial Relief (<i>Rechtsschutz</i>)	321
7.9.1	European System	321
7.9.2	International Court of Accounting	322
7.10	Perspectives of a World Monopoly: IFRS and XBRL	322
7.10.1	The Claim to Monopoly by the IASB	322
7.10.2	'XBRL': The Financial Reporting Revolution	323
7.10.3	'IFRS for SMEs' as a Trojan Horse	324
7.11	Strategic Governance and Audit Committee	325
7.12	Concluding Remarks	326
8	Banking on Trust: The German Financial Sector, Global Capital Markets and Corporate Finance and Governance	329
8.1	Introduction: Casino Wall Street	330
8.2	Reflections on Corporations, Capital and Banking	331
8.2.1	Corporation Finance	331
8.2.2	Common Law Concept of 'Ownership'	332
8.2.3	The Banks' Dominant Role in Germany	332
8.3	Germany: The Traditional Position	334
8.3.1	Control Through the General Meeting	334
8.3.2	Control Through the Supervisory Board	335
8.3.3	Control Over the Management Board	336
8.4	International Influences	338
8.4.1	The Wind of Change	338
8.4.2	Controlling German Banks	339
8.5	Global Corporate Finance and Hyperspeculation	340
8.5.1	Governance: Financing of Infinite Brassiness	340
8.5.2	Exorbitance (Hyperspeculation)	341
8.5.3	The Goldman Sachs Case	343
8.5.4	Financial Engineering: Collateralized Debt Obligations and Credit Default Swaps	344
8.5.5	Deutsche Bank AG (International)	346
8.6	Macroeconomics of Corporate Governance	347
8.6.1	Real Economy and Systemic Risk: 'Too-Big-to-Fail'?	347
8.6.2	The Practice of a Paradigm Shift	349
8.6.3	Legal Analysis of the Economy Instead of Exorbitance	349
8.7	European, German and Global Perspectives	351
8.7.1	Financial Institutions	351
8.7.2	Boards of Directors	352
8.7.3	Restriction of Mandates of the Supervisory Board	354
8.8	Concluding Remarks	356
9	Corporate Governance and Corporate Compliance	359
9.1	Corporate Compliance: Temporary Fashion and the Concept in Law	359

9.2	Interrelation Between Corporate Governance and Corporate Compliance: The Programme	361
9.3	Corporate Compliance as a Management Task	363
9.3.1	The Duty of Legality as a Starting Point	363
9.3.2	Organisational Duty as a Characteristic of the Duty of Legality for All AGs	366
9.3.3	Possible Content of the Duty to Establish a Compliance Department	370
9.3.4	Legal Consequences of a Violation of the Duty to Establish a Compliance Department: Applicability of the Business Judgment Rule	373
9.4	The Role of the Supervisory Board	376
9.4.1	The Underlying Principle	376
9.4.2	Scope of the Monitoring Function	377
9.4.3	Delegation to the Audit Committee	379
9.4.4	Transmission Duties of the Compliance Department to the Supervisory Board in the Event of an Involvement of the Management Board	380
9.5	Selected Specific Issues	381
9.5.1	Independence of the Compliance Department	381
9.5.2	Whistle-Blowing as a Necessary Part of a Compliance Department	383
9.5.3	Particulars of Compliance Systems Within Company Groups	385
9.5.4	Particularities for Investment Services Companies	390
9.6	Summary: Corporate Governance and Corporate Compliance – A Conflicting Relationship?	395
10	Corporate Governance in the EU, the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance and Corporate Governance in Selected Other Jurisdictions	399
10.1	Introduction	400
10.2	European Union	401
10.2.1	Enhancing Corporate Governance	401
10.2.2	Reflections	402
10.2.3	Modernisation of the Regulatory Framework	403
10.2.4	The European Commission's Projects	403
10.2.5	Internal Market and Convergence with Foreign GAAP	405
10.2.6	European Corporate Governance Forum (ECGF)	406
10.2.7	The World Debt Crisis and Its Impact on the EU	410
10.2.8	Future Priorities	411
10.2.9	Regulatory Basics and Outlook	412
10.3	OECD Principles of Corporate Governance	413

10.3.1	Background to the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance	413
10.3.2	Broad Aims and Application	415
10.3.3	Parts and Layout	415
10.3.4	Ensuring the Basis for an Effective Corporate Governance Framework	416
10.3.5	Disclosure and Transparency	417
10.4	United States	418
10.4.1	Background to the Corporate Governance Debate in the USA	418
10.4.2	The American Law Institute's Involvement in the Corporate Governance Debate	421
10.4.3	The Securities Exchange Commission	423
10.4.4	The Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002: The USA Response to Collapses Like Enron and WorldCom	424
10.4.5	Some Perspectives on SOX and Its Effect	426
10.4.6	NYSE: Sections 303 and 303A – Corporate Governance Rules	428
10.4.7	Summary of the Most Important NYSE Corporate Governance Rules	429
10.4.8	The Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (The Dodd–Frank Act)	431
10.5	United Kingdom	433
10.5.1	Background to the Corporate Governance Debate in the United Kingdom	433
10.5.2	The Cadbury Report and the Unfolding of the Concept of 'Corporate Governance' in the United Kingdom	433
10.5.3	The Greenbury Report (1995), the Hampel Report (1998), the Smith Report (2003) the Higgs Report (2003) and the Walker Review (2010)	437
10.5.4	The 2008 UK Combined Code and the 2010 UK Corporate Governance Code	449
10.6	Australia	452
10.6.1	Background to the Corporate Governance Debate in Australia	452
10.6.2	The Bosch Reports	455
10.6.3	The Hilmer Report	460
10.6.4	The Virtues of Good Corporate Governance in Australia Between 1991 and 1998	462
10.6.5	The IFSA Blue Book	463
10.6.6	The Australian Securities and Investments Commission ..	465
10.6.7	The ASX Group	469
10.7	Concluding Remarks	473

Contents	xxi
European and German Provisions in English for Purposes of Chapter 7	475
Index	493