

Contents

1	Significance of Certification and Accreditation Within the European Market	
	<i>Hartwig Berghaus</i>	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	The EC Commission's Global Concept for Testing and Certification	1
1.2.1	From the New Conception for Harmonization and Standardization Towards the Global Concept	1
1.2.2	The Philosophy of the Global Concept (Building Confidence)	2
1.2.3	Instruments for Building Confidence	3
1.3	The Result of the Council's Discussion on the Global Concept	4
1.3.1	On the Council's Resolution of December 21 st 1989	4
1.3.2	The Council's Modular Resolution of December 13 th 1990 . . .	5
1.4	The Certification Contents of the New EC Harmonization Guidelines	7
1.4.1	Practising the Modular Concept	7
1.4.2	The Role of the Notified Body	7
1.4.3	CE Labelling	9
1.5	The Influence of the EC Commission's Global Concept on the Private Sector	10
1.5.1	Foundation of EOTC	10
1.5.2	Further European Groups in the Fields of Certification and Accreditation	11
1.6	Assessment of the European Certification/Accreditation Policy	12
2	The Accreditation of Chemical Laboratories	
	<i>U. Böshagen</i>	15
2.1	The European and International Framework	15
2.1.1	Introduction	15
2.1.2	Free Trade in Europe	15
2.1.3	The Global Concept for Testing and Certification in Europe . .	16
2.1.4	Testing, Certification and Accreditation	17
2.1.5	Objectives of Certification	18
2.1.6	Harmonized Testing Procedures	18
2.1.7	The Significance of the Evaluation of Accreditation Systems	19

2.2	The Basic Principles and the Actual Description of the European and International Framework	19
2.2.1	General Standards for Accreditation and Certification	19
2.2.1.1	General Remarks	19
2.2.1.2	The ISO 9000 Series of Standards	20
2.2.1.3	The ISO/IEC Guide 25	21
2.2.1.4	The EN 45000 Basic Series of Standards	22
2.2.1.5	The Connection between Both Series of Standards	22
2.2.1.6	Quality Management Systems	22
2.2.2	Specific Recommendations for Accreditation and Certification of Chemical Laboratories	23
2.2.2.1	Good Laboratory Practice	23
2.2.2.2	WELAC/EURACHEM Guidelines "Accreditation for Chemical Laboratories"	24
2.2.2.3	CITAC Document	24
2.2.2.4	Other Documents	26
2.2.3	General Scheme for the Accreditation Procedure	26
2.3	The National Accreditation Systems in Europe	28
2.3.1	Laboratory Accreditation in Austria – <i>Wolfhard Wegscheider</i>	28
2.3.2	The Swiss Accreditation Service – <i>Hanspeter Ischi</i>	30
2.3.3	The German Accreditation System – <i>U. Böshagen</i>	32
2.3.3.1	The BDI Model	32
2.3.3.2	Cooperation between Regulated and Non-regulated Area	35
2.3.4	The Danish Accreditation System – DANAK – <i>H. Jensen</i>	36
2.3.4.1	Structure of DANAK in the Area Concerned with Accreditation of Testing and Calibration Laboratories.	37
2.3.4.2	Accreditation of Chemical Laboratories	38
2.3.5	FINAS – The Finnish Accreditation Service: Laboratory Accreditation – <i>Christina Carlsson</i>	39
2.3.5.1	General	39
2.3.5.2	Operation	40
2.3.5.3	Information	41
2.3.6	The Italian Accreditation System – <i>M. Gracia Del Monte</i>	41
2.3.7	The Irish National Accreditation Board	46
2.3.7.1	Background	46
2.3.7.2	Scope of Operations.	46
2.3.7.3	Statistics.	47
2.3.8	The National Accreditation Body of Norway – <i>Khalid Saeed</i>	48
2.3.8.1	General History of the Norwegian Accreditation System	48
2.3.8.2	Organisation	48
2.3.8.3	Tasks for Norwegian Accreditation	49
2.3.8.4	Multilateral Agreements (MLA).	49
2.3.8.5	Application and Assessment Procedure for Laboratory Accreditation	50
2.3.8.6	Assessment Team	50
2.3.8.7	Collaboration with Other Accreditation Bodies.	51

2.3.8.8	Accreditation for the Regulated and Non-Regulated Area . . .	51
2.3.8.9	Sector Committees	51
2.3.8.10	Concluding Remarks	51
2.3.9	The Netherlands Accreditation System – <i>P. van de Leemput</i>	52
2.3.9.1	Introduction.	52
2.3.9.2	Objectives and Accreditations	53
2.3.9.3	International Activities	54
2.3.10	The Polish Accreditation System <i>Z. Dobkowski, B. Berdowski</i>	55
2.3.11	Russian System for Analytical Laboratories Accreditation <i>Yu. A. Karpov, I. V. Boldyrev, G.I. Ramendik and G.I. Freedman</i>	58
2.3.11.1	Accreditation Criteria	59
2.3.11.2	Accreditation System Structure	60
2.3.11.3	Accreditation Procedure	60
2.3.12	Accreditation of Laboratories in Sweden – <i>Björn Lundgren</i>	61
2.3.12.1	The Accreditation Body	61
2.3.12.2	The Accreditation Process	62
2.3.12.3	Types of Laboratory Accredited	63
2.3.12.4	Accredited Laboratories in Different Areas (December 1994)	63
2.3.13	The United Kingdom Accreditation System – <i>D. Galsworthy</i>	64
2.3.13.1	History	64
2.3.13.2	The Objectives of NAMAS	64
2.3.13.3	The Formation of UKAS	64
2.3.13.4	Legislative Support for Accreditation in the United Kingdom	66
2.3.13.5	Relationship between Laboratory Accreditation and Certification to ISO 9000	66
2.3.13.6	Co-operation between UKAS Laboratory Accreditation and the UK Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) Monitoring Unit	67
2.3.13.7	Areas for Development	67
2.3.13.8	Current Concerns	68
2.3.13.9	Case Study: NAMAS Accreditation and the “Additional Measures Directive”	68
3	Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry <i>Karl Heinz Koch</i>	69
3.1	On Quality Assurance	69
3.2	Quality Policy and Quality Management	71
3.2.1	Corporate Quality Policy and Quality Strategy	71
3.2.2	Quality Management and Quality Assurance	72
3.2.3	Total Quality Management (TQM)	73
3.2.4	Quality Costs	74
3.3	Quality Planning, Quality Control, Quality Inspection	75
3.4	Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry	77
3.4.1	The Significance of Quality Assurance For and In Chemical Analysis	77

3.4.2	Consequences for Quality Assurance in Analytical Laboratories	78
3.4.2.1	Compiling a Quality Manual	78
3.4.2.2	Personnel Qualifications and Equipment	81
3.5	QA Measures in Analytical Practice	83
3.5.1	Checking Measuring and Test Equipment	83
3.5.2	Test Control	83
3.5.3	Testing (Test Instructions)	85
3.5.4	Analytical QA Measures	85
3.5.4.1	Control Analyses	85
3.5.4.2	Reference Materials	87
3.5.4.3	Interlaboratory Studies	88
3.5.4.4	Internal Quality Audits	89
3.6	Process Capability and Machine Capability	89
3.7	Certification of Quality Management Systems and Accreditation of Analytical Laboratories	91
3.8	References	93
4	Proper Sampling: A Precondition for Accurate Analyses <i>Wolfhard Wegscheider</i>	95
	Abstract	95
4.1	Sampling Within the Analytical Process	95
4.2	There Is No "Correct" Sampling Without A Clear Problem Definition!	96
4.3	Managing Without Sampling?	97
4.4	Planning Sampling Procedures	98
4.5	Aspects of Measurement Uncertainty Caused by Sampling	99
4.5.1	Integration Error	100
4.5.2	Materialization Error	101
4.6	Conclusions	102
4.7	References	102
5	Significance of Statistics in Quality Assurance <i>Klaus Danzer</i>	105
5.1	Types of Errors Associated With Analytical Measurements	105
5.2	Systematic Errors	106
5.3	Random Errors	108
5.3.1	Frequency Distributions of Measurement Values	108
5.3.2	Error Propagation	110
5.3.3	Confidence Intervals and Uncertainty Ranges	111
5.4	Significance Tests	113
5.4.1	Tests for Measurement Series	114
5.4.2	Comparison of Two Standard Deviations	116
5.4.3	Comparison of Several Standard Deviations	116
5.4.4	Comparison of Two Means	116

5.4.5	Comparison of Several Means	117
5.5	Statistical Quality Assurance	118
5.5.1	Statistical Quality Criteria	118
5.5.2	Attribute Testing	120
5.5.3	Sequential Analysis	120
5.5.4	Quality Control Charts	122
5.6	Calibration of Analytical Procedures	126
5.6.1	Linear Fit	127
5.6.2	Limit of Decision and Limit of Detection	130
5.6.3	Validation of Calibration Procedures	131
5.7	References	134
6	Validation of Analytical Methods	
	<i>Wolfhard Wegscheider</i>	135
	Summary	135
6.1	Introduction	135
6.2	Development of Analytical Procedures and Tasks of Basic Validation	136
6.3	Validation: Definitions	138
6.4	Scope and Sequence of Validation	141
6.5	Performance Characteristics	143
6.6	The Relation Between Purpose of the Procedure and Scope of Validation	144
6.7	Frequency of Validation	145
6.8	Special Technique of Validation	146
6.8.1	Precision and Trueness	146
6.8.2	Calibration	146
6.8.3	Recovery Studies	148
6.8.4	Comparison of Methods	150
6.8.5	Ruggedness	152
6.9	Conclusions	157
6.10	References	157
7	Traceability of Measurements to SI: How Does It Lead to Traceability of Quantitative Chemical Measurements?	
	<i>Paul De Bièvre</i>	159
	Preface	159
7.1	Introduction	160
7.2	Traceability of Chemical Measurements: The Problems	162
7.3	Physical and Chemical Measurements: Is There a Difference in Principle?	166
7.4	Traceability of Measurements: Are There Precedents?	167
7.5	Traceability of Amount Measurements: Present Status	170
7.6	The "Intersection" Points in a Traceability System	176
7.6.1	Are Reference Materials at the "Intersection" Points?	177

7.6.2	How are RMs in Fact Used in Practice?	177
7.6.3	The Real Role of Reference Materials: <i>Validation</i>	179
7.6.4	Are Reference Measurements at the Intersection Points?	181
7.6.5	The Place of Reference Materials in a Traceability Scheme . . .	181
7.7	Purposes of Traceability of Amount Measurements	184
7.8	Criteria for Traceability of Amount Measurements to the Mole . .	187
7.9	How can Traceability to the Mole Be Established?	190
7.10	Conclusions	191
7.11	References	193
8	Reference Materials for Quality Assurance	
	<i>Ph. Quevauviller and B. Griepink</i>	195
8.1	Introduction	195
8.2	Definitions	196
8.3	Requirements for the Preparation of RMs and CRMs	196
8.3.1	Selection	196
8.3.2	Preparation	197
8.3.3	Homogeneity	198
8.3.4	Stability	198
8.3.5	How to Obtain Reference Values	199
8.3.6	How to Obtain Certified Values	199
8.4	The Use of RMs and CRMs in Chemical Analysis	200
8.4.1	The Role of Reference Materials	200
8.4.1.1	The Use of RMs in Statistical Control Schemes	201
8.4.1.2	The Use of RMs in Intercomparisons	202
8.4.2	The Role of Certified Reference Materials	204
8.4.2.1	Calibration	204
8.4.2.2	Achieving Accuracy	205
8.4.2.3	Other Uses of CRMs	205
8.4.3	Suppliers	205
8.4.4	CRMs for Environmental Analysis	206
8.4.5	CRMs for Food Analysis	206
8.4.6	CRMs for Clinical Analysis	207
8.4.7	Other CRMs	207
8.5	References	207
9	Accreditation and Interlaboratory Studies	
	<i>W.P. Cofino</i>	209
9.1	Introduction	209
9.2	Types of Interlaboratory Studies	209
9.3	Laboratory-Performance Studies in Accreditation Practice . . .	210
9.3.1	Objectives of Participation in Laboratory-Performance Studies .	211
9.3.2	Assessment of Laboratory Performance	212
9.3.3	The Implementation of Laboratory-Performance Studies	215
9.4	Laboratory-Performance Studies and Quality of Testing	215
9.5	References	217

10	Accreditation Competence: Requirements for Accreditation Bodies	
	<i>Georg J. Mechelke</i>	219
10.1	Standard Fundamentals	219
10.2	Organisation and Quality Management System	219
10.3	Arrangements for Accreditation	220
10.4	Operation	221
10.5	Sectoral Committees	221
10.6	Assessment	222
10.7	Assessors	224
10.8	Decision on Accreditation	224
10.9	Diligence and Protective Duties	225
10.10	Surveillance	225
10.11	Accreditation and Standardization	226
10.12	National and International Agreements on Mutual Recognition	227
11	The Significance of Accreditation in Comparison with GLP	
	<i>Hendrik Schlesing</i>	229
11.1	Introduction	229
11.2	GLP – Good Laboratory Practice	229
11.2.1	Origin	229
11.2.2	Legal Fundamentals	230
11.2.3	GLP Principles	231
11.2.4	GLP Certificate	235
11.2.5	Personnel	235
11.2.6	Time Needed	235
11.3	Accreditation	236
11.4	Comparison of GLP and Accreditation	237
11.4.1	Quality Assurance	239
11.4.2	Study Plan	239
11.5	Summary and Future Trends	240
12	EURACHEM	
	Organization for the Promotion of Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry and the Accreditation of Analytical Laboratories in Europe	
	<i>Helmut Günzler</i>	241
12.1	Foundation of EURACHEM	241
12.2	Objectives of EURACHEM	242
12.3	Structural Organization of EURACHEM	242
12.4	Tasks	243
12.5	Cooperation with Other Committees	244
12.6	Summary	245
12.7	References	246

13	The Accreditation of Environmental Laboratories in the United States	
	<i>E. Ramona Trovato, Jeanne Mourrain, Joseph L. Slayton</i>	247
13.1	Introduction	247
13.1.1	Monitoring Systems	247
13.1.2	Challenges	248
13.1.3	Concerns for Data Quality	250
13.2	Policy Development	251
13.2.1	Background	251
13.2.2	Initial Perspectives	252
13.2.3	Assessment of the Need for a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program	252
13.2.4	Evaluation of Alternatives to National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation	253
13.2.5	Elements of a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program	255
13.2.6	Scope of the Program	255
13.2.7	CNAEL's Conclusion and Recommendation	255
13.2.8	Next Steps	255
13.3	Program Development	256
13.3.1	Setting Standards	256
13.3.2	Scope of the Program	256
13.3.3	Federal Role and Responsibility	257
13.3.4	Accrediting Authority Review Board	258
13.3.5	State Implementation	258
13.3.6	Reciprocity	259
13.4	Conclusion	260
13.5	References	260
	Appendix	261
	Subject Index	265