

Contents

Acknowledgments	v
List of abbreviations	xxix
 <i>Philip Baldi and Pierluigi Cuzzolin</i>	
Epilegomena	1
 <i>Concepción Cabrillana</i>	
Adverbial subordination: Introductory overview	11
 <i>Concepción Cabrillana</i>	
Purpose and result clauses	19
 <i>Alessandra Bertocchi and Mirka Maraldi</i>	
Conditionals and concessives	93
 <i>José Miguel Baños</i>	
Causal clauses	195
 <i>Fritz Heberlein</i>	
Temporal clauses	235
 <i>Eusebia Tarrío</i>	
Comparative clauses	373
 <i>Anna Pompei</i>	
Relative clauses	427
 <i>Pierluigi Cuzzolin</i>	
Comparative and superlative	549
 <i>Michèle Fruyt</i>	
Grammaticalization in Latin	661

Philip Baldi and Pierluigi Cuzzolin

Syntactic change in the history of Latin:

Do new perspectives lead to new results? 865

Subject index 895

Index of classical references 903

Detailed contents

Acknowledgments	v
List of abbreviations	xxix

Philip Baldi and Pierluigi Cuzzolin

Epilegomena	1
1. Retrospective	1
2. Volume 4	5

Concepción Cabrillana

Adverbial subordination: Introductory overview	11
1. Introduction	11
2. The concept of subordination: Adverbial phrases and studies of relations	11
3. Treatment of adverbial clauses	12
3.1. Purpose and result	13
3.2. Conditionals and concessives	14
3.3. Causals	15
3.4. Temporals	16
3.5. Comparatives	17

Concepción Cabrillana

Purpose and result clauses	19
1. Introduction: Clarification of previous concepts and methodologies	19
2. Function and possible syntactic structures	21
2.1. <i>Vt</i> + subjunctive	23
2.2. Non-finite forms	26
2.3. Relative connector-clause	30
2.3.1. Generic relative in purpose clauses	30
2.3.2. <i>Quo</i>	32
2.3.3. The relative pronoun as an introductory element for result clauses	33

3. The semantic level	35
3.1. Characterizing semantic features	35
3.2. Analysis of the characterizing features	36
3.2.1. Possibility and Factuality	37
3.2.2. Control, intentionality, animacy, and prospectivity	41
3.2.3. The semantic value of the governing verb	46
3.3. Some related semantic relations	49
3.3.1. 'Conditionals'	49
3.3.2. Comparative-Modal clauses	50
3.3.3. Causal clauses	52
3.4. Continuum in/between semantic relations	54
3.5. Recapitulation of semantic features	55
4. The syntactic level	56
4.1. Syntactic status	57
4.2. Analysis of (formal) features with syntactic implications	62
4.2.1. Correlation	62
4.2.2. First element of the correlation	64
4.2.3. Introductory element	67
4.2.4. Nominalization	73
4.2.5. Polarity	74
4.2.6. Verb tense	76
4.3. The syntactic function and the level of integration	81
References	85

Alessandra Bertocchi and Mirka Maraldi

Conditionals and concessives	93
1. Conditionals	93
1.1. Preliminary remarks	93
1.1.1. Paratactic conditionals	94
1.1.2. The origin of conditional clauses	97
1.1.3. Condensed conditions	99
1.2. Types of conditional clauses	101
1.2.1. The first type: Objectivity	101
1.2.2. The second type: Possibility	104
1.2.3. The third type: Unreality	105

1.3.	Some historical considerations	108
1.4.	Conditional perfection	111
1.4.1.	Necessary and sufficient conditions	112
1.5.	Exclusive <i>nisi</i> and exceptive <i>nisi</i>	114
1.5.1.	Exclusive <i>nisi</i>	115
1.5.2.	Exceptive <i>nisi</i>	115
1.5.3.	<i>Nisi</i> and <i>si non</i>	118
1.5.4.	Other negative conditional conjunctions: <i>ni, si minus, sin</i>	121
1.6.	Speech act conditions	125
1.7.	Complex conditional connectives	127
1.7.1.	Speech act conditions introduced by <i>si modo</i>	127
1.7.2.	<i>Si modo</i> as a true condition	128
1.7.3.	<i>Si tamen</i>	129
1.7.4.	<i>Si quidem</i>	133
1.8.	The relationship between condition and cause	135
1.9.	Epistemic conditionals	137
2.	Concessive clauses	139
2.1.	Preliminary remarks	139
2.2.	True concessives	143
2.2.1.	<i>Quamquam</i>	144
2.2.2.	<i>Etsi</i>	146
2.2.3.	<i>Tametsi</i>	150
2.2.4.	<i>Tamenetsi</i>	151
2.3.	The rectifying function of <i>quamquam, etsi, and tametsi</i>	153
2.4.	Concessive conditionals	156
2.4.1.	<i>Quamuis</i>	156
2.4.2.	<i>Etiam</i> (<i>etiam si</i>)	161
2.5.	Contextual concessivity	164
2.5.1.	<i>Si</i> -clauses	164
2.5.2.	The exclusive disjunction <i>siue</i> ... <i>siue</i> 'whether ... or not'	165
2.5.3.	The type <i>quisquis est</i> 'whoever it may be'	167
2.5.4.	<i>Cum</i> -clauses	168
2.5.5.	<i>Vt</i> -clauses	170
2.6.	Concession in paratactic and coordinate structures	172

2.6.1.	The correlative type <i>quidem</i> ... <i>sed</i> (<i>tamen</i>) . . .	173
2.6.2.	Concessive subjunctive	175
2.7.	<i>Licet</i>	178
2.8.	The concessive subordinators in Late Latin	181
References		183

José Miguel Baños

Causal clauses	195
1. Causality and adverbial clauses	195
2. Two semantic types of causal clauses	197
3. Types of causal conjunctions in Latin	201
4. Processes of grammaticalization of the Latin causal conjunctions	204
4.1. Primary causal conjunctions	204
4.1.1. The conjunction <i>quod</i>	204
4.1.2. The conjunctions <i>quia</i> , <i>cur</i> , <i>quare</i>	206
4.2. Causal conjunctions with a temporal origin	208
4.2.1. <i>Quoniam</i> , <i>quando</i> , <i>dum</i>	209
4.2.2. <i>Cum</i> , <i>ubi</i> , <i>postquam</i>	211
4.3. Causal conjunctions with origins in manner	212
4.4. Causal conjunctions, finals, and quantifiers	214
5. Distributional properties of <i>quod</i> - <i>quia</i> vs. <i>quoniam</i>	215
5.1. Possibilities of coordination	216
5.2. Answer to a causal interrogative	217
5.3. Use of correlatives	217
5.4. <i>Quod</i> and <i>quia</i> as complementizers	218
5.5. Focalization	220
5.6. Scope of illocutionary force and of negation	221
5.7. Use of the subjunctive	222
5.8. The <i>consecutio temporum</i>	223
5.9. Word order	224
6. Diachronic interferences and changes	226
6.1. <i>Quia</i> instead of <i>quoniam</i>	226
6.2. <i>Quoniam</i> instead of <i>quia</i>	227
6.3. <i>Quod</i> and <i>eo quod</i> , <i>pro eo quod</i> , <i>pro quod</i>	228

Fritz Heberlein

Temporal clauses	235
1. Time and the temporal clause	235
1.1. The basic concept of time and the temporal clause	235
1.2. Semantic and pragmatic extensions of temporal clauses	238
1.3. Temporal clauses and clause-linking	241
1.3.1. Hierarchization	241
1.3.2. Desententialization and tense/mood	245
1.3.3. Desententialization and aspect	248
2. The time network in Latin	253
3. Temporal subordinators	259
3.1. Morphology and multifunctionality	260
3.2. Categories of diachronic input	262
3.2.1. Simple subordinators	263
3.2.1.1. Subordinators that derive from the relative	263
3.2.1.2. <i>Dum</i>	266
3.2.1.3. Simplicity	268
3.2.2. Complex subordinators	268
3.2.2.1. <i>Postquam</i>	268
3.2.2.2. Comparative constructions	269
3.2.2.3. <i>Ex quo</i>	272
3.3. Between Old Latin and Classical Latin: <i>cum</i> + subjunctive	273
3.3.1. The subjunctive in the relative clause	275
3.3.2. From relative clause to <i>cum causale/historicum</i>	281
3.4. The subordinators in Late Latin	286
4. Time location	294
4.1. Simultaneity overlap, anteriority, immediate anteriority	294
4.1.1. Semantics	294
4.1.2. Expression formats	296
4.1.2.1. SIOVER	297
4.1.2.2. ANTE	300
4.1.2.3. IMANTE	302
4.1.2.4. Temporal distance	304
4.2. Discourse functions	305
4.2.1. The <i>cum historicum</i>	307
4.2.2. <i>Cum relativum</i> and <i>cum inversum</i>	311

4.3.	The <i>cum historicum</i> as “general subordinator”	314
4.4.	Posteriority	319
4.4.1.	Semantics	319
4.4.2.	Expression formats	321
4.4.2.1.	Clause position	321
4.4.2.2.	Tense/aspect	322
4.4.2.3.	Modality	324
5.	Contingency	327
5.1.	Semantics	327
5.1.1.	Types of situational plurality	327
5.1.2.	CONTING as a special case of situational plurality	329
5.1.3.	The plurality continuum of iterative clauses	330
5.2.	Expression formats	331
5.2.1.	Subordinators	332
5.2.2.	Tense and aspect	334
6.	Time extent	337
6.1.	Co-extensiveness and <i>terminus ad quem</i>	337
6.1.1.	Semantics	338
6.1.2.	Expression formats	341
6.1.2.1.	<i>Dum</i>	344
6.1.2.2.	<i>Donec</i>	347
6.1.2.3.	<i>Quoad</i>	349
6.2.	<i>Terminus a quo</i>	351
6.2.1.	Semantics	351
6.2.2.	Expression formats	352
7.	Conclusion	356
7.1.	Network-external relations	356
7.2.	Temporal subordinators and network-internal relationships	357

Eusebia Tarrío

Comparative clauses	373
1. Introduction	373
2. Comparative clauses of Degree	374
2.1. Definition and constituent parts	374
2.2. The comparee and the comparative predicate	376

2.3.	The standard	378
2.4.	The degree marker and the standard marker	382
2.4.1.	Comparatives of inequality: Superiority and inferiority	382
2.4.2.	Comparative constructions of equality, or equative constructions	388
2.5.	Lexical comparison	391
2.6.	Pseudo-comparative structures	392
2.7.	Syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic status of comparative clauses of Degree	395
3.	Comparative clauses of Manner	399
3.1.	Definition and constituent parts	399
3.1.1.	The comparee, the parameter, and the parameter marker	400
3.1.2.	The standard and the standard marker	402
3.2.	Functions of comparative clauses of Manner	408
3.2.1.	Representational level	409
3.2.2.	Interpersonal level	412
3.3.	Syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic status of comparative clauses of Manner	419
References		420

Anna Pompei

Relative clauses	427
1. Definition and structure of the relative clause	427
1.1. <i>Status quaestionis</i>	427
1.2. Definition of relative clauses	428
1.2.1. Typological definitions	428
1.2.2. Structure of Latin relative clauses	429
1.3. Relative pronouns and relative adverbs	429
1.3.1. Types of relative pronouns and relative adverbs	429
1.3.2. Functions of relative pronouns	430
1.3.3. Etymology of relative pronouns	430
1.4. Relative clauses with a finite verb	432
1.4.1. Subjunctive by <i>attractio modi</i>	434
1.4.2. Subjunctive with a nonspecific value	436

1.4.3.	The subjunctive in adverbial relative clauses . . .	438
1.5.	Relative clauses without a finite verb	441
1.5.1.	Participles and relative clauses	443
2.	Phenomenology of the pivot in the matrix clause and semantics of the relative clauses	448
2.1.	Lexical head	452
2.1.1.	Semantics of external-headed relative clauses . . .	453
2.2.	Pronominal and free relative clauses	455
2.2.1.	Pronominal relative clauses	457
2.2.2.	Free relative clauses	459
2.2.3.	Syntactic status of free relative clauses	460
2.2.4.	Semantics of free, pronominal, and internal-headed relative clauses	462
2.3.	Relation between the pivot and the relative pronoun . . .	464
2.3.1.	<i>Attractio inversa</i>	468
2.3.2.	<i>Attractio relativi</i>	472
3.	Relative clause typology	473
3.1.	Relativization operations	474
3.1.1.	Subordination	474
3.1.2.	Attribution	477
3.1.3.	Empty-place formation	478
3.2.	Accessibility hierarchy	479
3.2.1.	The concept of an accessibility hierarchy	480
3.2.2.	The accessibility hierarchy and Latin relative clauses	481
3.3.	Relativization strategies	483
3.3.1.	Nonreduction Strategy	485
3.3.2.	Resumptive Pronoun Strategy	486
3.3.3.	Relative Pronoun Strategy	487
3.3.4.	Gap Strategy	488
3.3.5.	Concurrence of strategies	489
3.3.6.	Participle Strategy	489
3.4.	Position of relative clauses	492
4.	Relative clause typology in Latin	494
4.1.	Preposed relative clauses	494
4.2.	Prenominal relative clauses	499

4.3.	Postposed relative clauses	502
4.3.1.	Relative connection	505
4.4.	Postnominal relative clauses	509
4.5.	Circumnominal relative clauses	511
5.	Evolution of the relative clause	515
5.1.	An evolutionary hypothesis	518
5.1.1.	Genesis of the correlative clause	519
5.1.2.	Development of other types of relative clauses	522
5.1.3.	Development of free relative clauses and relative clauses with a pronominal head	526
5.1.4.	Genesis of different relativization strategies	527
5.2.	Early Latin	529
5.3.	Classical and post-Classical Latin	532
5.4.	Late Latin	535

Pierluigi Cuzzolin

Comparative and superlative	549
1. Basic concepts	550
1.1. The structure of the gradation patterns	550
1.2. The structure of the basic comparative pattern	554
1.2.1. The elements of comparison	554
1.2.2. The interplay between morphology and syntax	559
1.3. The various comparative patterns	560
1.3.1. Sapir's analysis of gradation	562
1.3.2. Comparison of majority	566
1.3.3. Comparison of equality	567
1.3.4. Comparison of minority	567
1.4. Superlative	568
1.5. Some terminological questions	574
2. A typological survey of the comparative constructions	574
2.1. The comparative	576
2.2. The typological patterns	577
2.3. The cognitive bases of the comparative patterns	579
2.3.1. The separative comparative and the particle comparative: A contrastive analysis	580
2.3.2. The conjoined comparative	583

2.3.3.	The surpass (exceed) comparative	584
2.3.4.	Concluding remarks on the typology of the comparatives	585
2.3.5.	Comparatives of equality and minority	587
2.4.	The typology of the superlative	587
3.	Historical syntax of comparison from Proto-Indo-European to Latin	589
3.1.	The scenario in Proto-Indo-European	589
3.1.1.	Comparative	589
3.1.1.1.	The morphological tools for comparison: Their form and function	592
3.1.1.2.	The lexical tools for comparison: Their form and function	597
3.2.	The syntax of comparison in Latin	601
3.2.1.	The various patterns of comparison in Latin	601
3.2.2.	Origin and function of the ablative of comparison	603
3.2.3.	Origin and function of <i>quam</i> as pivot	605
3.2.4.	Other minor prepositional patterns	606
3.3.	The patterns of comparison in Latin	607
3.3.1.	Conjoined comparative	607
3.3.2.	Separative comparative	608
3.3.2.1.	Genitive	611
3.3.2.2.	Dative	615
3.3.2.3.	Prepositional phrases	617
3.3.3.	Particle comparative	626
3.3.4.	Surpass comparative	628
3.3.4.1.	The decay of the surpass comparative	632
3.4.	Comparative of minority	633
3.4.1.	Comparative of minority encoded by <i>sub-</i>	637
3.5.	Comparative of equality	638
3.6.	Superlative	640
3.6.1.	The morphology of the superlative	640
3.6.2.	The absolute superlative	641
3.6.2.1.	Intensifiers with the superlative	642

3.6.2.2.	The absolute superlative encoded by prefixes: <i>Per-</i> and <i>prae-</i>	643
3.6.2.3.	The superlative reinforced by <i>quam</i>	646
3.6.3.	The encoding of the standard	646
3.6.3.1.	The standard in the genitive	646
3.6.4.	The standard with <i>e</i> , <i>ex</i> plus ablative	647
3.6.4.1.	The standard introduced by <i>inter</i> , <i>ante</i> , and <i>praeter</i>	649
3.7.	Superlative of minority	651
4.	Concluding remarks	652

Michèle Fruyt

Grammaticalization in Latin	661
1. Basic concepts	661
1.1. Grammaticalization as a general process	661
1.2. Grammaticalization vs. other phenomena	662
1.2.1. Agglutination	663
1.2.2. Lexicalization (freezing) and lexemization	664
1.2.3. Demotivation and reanalysis	665
1.2.4. Analogy	667
1.3. The different kinds of process referred to as grammaticalization	669
1.4. Grammatical lexemes in Latin	670
1.4.1. Frequency	672
1.4.2. Morphological features	673
1.5. The relationships between grammaticalization, agglutination, and lexicalization	674
1.5.1. Co-occurrence and interaction between grammaticalization, agglutination, and lexicalization	674
1.5.1.1. Agglutination and lexicalization at the same time	675
1.5.1.2. Agglutination and the creation of new lexical items	676
1.5.2. Grammaticalization occurring without agglutination	677
2. Methodological considerations	678

2.1.	Latin and grammaticalization: Fertile ground	678
2.2.	Grammaticalization according to Meillet	679
2.2.1.	The creation of the words <i>grammaticalisation</i> , <i>se grammaticaliser</i>	679
2.2.2.	Meillet's Latin examples	680
2.2.3.	Conclusion on Meillet's interpretation	683
2.3.	Grammaticalization after Meillet	684
2.4.	Degrammaticalization	685
2.5.	The extension and the limits of grammaticalization	686
2.6.	Reconstructed and attested data; synchronic variations	687
2.7.	A new look at grammaticalization in the light of the Latin texts	688
3.	The most frequent grammaticalization processes	689
3.1.	The starting point	689
3.1.1.	Freezing of an inflected form	689
3.1.1.1.	Freezing of the inflected form of a noun	689
3.1.1.2.	Freezing of the inflected form of an adjective: From adjective to adverb	691
3.1.1.3.	Freezing of the inflected form of a participle: From participle to adverb or preposition	692
3.1.1.4.	Freezing of the inflected personal form of a verb	694
3.1.1.5.	Freezing of the <i>*k^wo-</i> / <i>*k^wi-</i> pronouns into a relative, interrogative, or indefinite adverb	694
3.1.2.	Agglutination of several words and freezing	696
3.1.2.1.	Transcategorization of a nominal syntagm	696
3.1.2.2.	Transcategorization of a prepositional syntagm	696
3.1.2.3.	Transcategorization of a verbal syntagm	696
3.1.2.4.	The directional adverbs in ... <i>ō-uersus</i>	697
3.1.2.5.	Agglutination of several uninflected elements	697
3.2.	The arrival point	698
3.3.	The degree of "grammaticalization" and morpholexical status	698
3.3.1.	From a lexical to a grammatical element	699
3.3.2.	From a grammatical to a more grammatical element	701
3.4.	Semantic change	702

3.4.1.	Partial or total desemanticization	702
3.4.2.	Loss of semantic features in a noun, noun phrase, or adverb	704
3.4.3.	Loss of semantic features in a suffix	705
3.4.4.	Suppletion	705
3.4.5.	Pragmaticization of adverbs	706
3.5.	Other cases of grammaticalization	706
4.	Negation and grammaticalization in Latin	707
4.1.	The French standard negation <i>ne</i> ... <i>pas</i>	707
4.2.	The Latin negations <i>nōn</i> , <i>nihil</i> , <i>nēmō</i>	708
4.2.1.	Origin of <i>nōn</i>	709
4.2.2.	Origin of <i>nihil</i>	710
4.2.3.	The origin of <i>nēmō</i>	712
4.3.	The origin of the negative bound morpheme (prefix) <i>ne-</i> / <i>n-</i>	713
4.3.1.	The stages in the grammaticalization chain	713
4.3.2.	From grammatical lexeme to grammatical bound morpheme	714
4.3.3.	Demotivation cases involving <i>ne</i> ... / <i>n</i>	715
4.4.	The prohibitive negation <i>nē</i>	716
4.4.1.	First stage of grammaticalization: Transcategorization as a subordinator	716
4.4.2.	Second stage of grammaticalization for <i>nē</i> as a subordinator	716
4.4.3.	From imperative to prohibitive negation	717
4.5.	Transcategorization of a negation into a subordinator: <i>nei</i> > <i>nī</i> 'if ... not'	718
4.6.	The archaic negation <i>haud</i>	719
4.7.	Merging of a postposed negation into an interrogative, coordinator, subordinator	720
4.7.1.	<i>Quīn</i> ... ? and <i>quidnī</i> ... ?	720
4.7.2.	<i>Quō-minus</i>	721
4.8.	From lexical lexeme to negation	722
4.9.	Lexicalization of a sequence containing two negations	722
4.10.	Cycles of negation	723
5.	Endophors and deictics	723
5.1.	Personal pronouns and grammaticalization	723

5.1.1.	The reflexive pronoun <i>sē</i>	723
5.1.2.	The subject constituent	724
5.2.	Endophoric and deictic lexemes (pronouns, adjectives, adverbs): <i>is, hic, iste, ille, ipse</i>	726
5.2.1.	The degree of grammaticalization in Latin texts	726
5.2.1.1.	Toward the Romance definite article	726
5.2.1.2.	Toward the indefinite singular article	727
5.2.1.3.	Rhythm and scale of the grammaticalization processes	733
5.2.2.	Grammaticalization and the endophoric-deictic lexemes	733
5.2.3.	Pronouns and enclitic particles	734
5.2.4.	<i>Hic</i> and grammaticalization	735
5.2.5.	<i>Ille</i> and grammaticalization	735
5.2.5.1.	Deictic functions of <i>ille</i>	735
5.2.5.2.	<i>Ille</i> as an endophoric operator	737
5.2.6.	<i>Iste</i> and grammaticalization	738
5.2.7.	Endophoric <i>is</i> (<i>ea, id</i>) and grammaticalization .	740
5.2.8.	Grammaticalization and <i>ipse</i>	744
5.2.9.	Conclusion: The existence of a specific group of grammatical lexemes	747
5.3.	Grammaticalization of particles postposed to deictics and endophors	748
5.3.1.	The enclitic particle <i>-pse</i>	748
5.3.2.	Grammaticalization and <i>īdem</i>	749
5.3.3.	The deictic particle <i>-ce</i>	750
5.3.3.1.	The deictic “adverb” <i>ecce</i>	750
5.3.3.2.	The enclitic particle <i>-ce</i>	751
5.3.4.	The particle <i>-met</i>	752
5.3.5.	The particle <i>-te</i>	753
5.3.6.	The particle <i>-pte</i>	755
5.3.7.	Conclusion	756
6.	Grammaticalization and the formation of the verbal paradigm in Latin	757
6.1.	Paradigmatization	757
6.1.1.	Verbal periphrasis before the first Latin texts . .	758

6.1.2.	The origins of reflexive verbs in the Romance languages	760
6.2.	Transcategorization: From the nominal to the verbal form	761
6.3.	The formation of the Latin infinitives	762
6.3.1.	Grammaticalization of process nouns: From a nominal to a verbal paradigm	762
6.3.2.	Locative and dative infinitives	762
6.4.	The supine: From a nominal inflected free form to a verbal frozen grammaticalized form	763
6.4.1.	Ambiguity in the intermediate stages between noun and verb	764
6.4.1.1.	An “ablative supine”?	764
6.4.1.2.	Ambiguous cases with the <i>-tum</i> supine	766
6.4.2.	Supine and grammaticalization	768
6.4.2.1.	Grammaticalization in the emergence of the supine	768
6.4.2.2.	A different case: the <i>-tiō</i> nouns	768
6.4.2.3.	A vanishing category	770
6.5.	Grammaticalization in the formation of the future passive infinitive in . . . <i>tum īrī</i> (<i>datum īrī</i>)	771
6.6.	Decrease of the verbal paradigm: The opposite of paradigmaticization	773
6.6.1.	Neutralization of the opposition active vs. passive in the present infinitive	773
6.6.2.	Neutralization of the oppositions in Latin participles; The emergence of a past active participle	774
6.6.2.1.	Neutralization of the feature “passive” in the <i>-tus</i> , <i>-a</i> , <i>-um</i> participle	775
6.6.2.2.	Neutralization of the aspecto-temporal properties of the present active participle	776
6.7.	Conclusion	777
7.	Auxiliarization	778
7.1.	Grammaticalization of <i>īre</i> ‘go’	778
7.2.	Semantic weakening of the verb <i>coepī</i> (+ infin.)	779
7.3.	The modal verb <i>uolō</i> ‘want’	781

7.4.	The evolution of <i>faciō</i>	782
7.4.1.	Full morphologization in Sanskrit	782
7.4.2.	Latin: a lexicalized element	782
7.4.3.	The causative morpheme <i>fac-</i> and its allomorphs - <i>fic-</i> / - <i>fec-</i>	783
7.4.4.	Desemantization of <i>facere</i> : A hyperonym . . .	785
7.5.	Semantic weakening of <i>habeō</i> in Latin	786
7.5.1.	Lat. <i>habeō</i> + *- <i>to-</i>	788
7.5.1.1.	The criteria for full grammaticalization of <i>habeo</i> + past passive participle in *- <i>to-</i>	788
7.5.1.2.	Development of the construction “‘have’ + past passive participle” in the Romance languages .	790
7.5.1.3.	The uses and development of “ <i>habeō</i> + past passive participle” in Latin	793
7.5.1.4.	Conclusion	799
7.5.2.	<i>Habeō</i> + infinitive	800
7.5.2.1.	The origin of the construction “ <i>habeō</i> + infinitive”	800
7.5.2.2.	The formation of the future tense in Romance languages	800
7.5.2.3.	Sense of “ <i>habeō</i> + infinitive” in Latin	801
7.5.2.4.	“ <i>Habeō</i> + infinitive” in the <i>Itinerarium Egeriae</i> : A minor construction for necessity	801
7.5.2.5.	“ <i>Habeō</i> + infinitive” in Christian authors: A prophetic future	803
7.5.2.6.	Early occurrences in some Romance languages	808
7.5.2.7.	Grammaticalization and the Latin future: From analytic to synthetic periphrasis in cyclic renewal	810
8.	Grammaticalization and modal verbs and adverbs	811
8.1.	<i>Licet</i> as a subordinator	811
8.1.1.	Specifics of the grammaticalization process . .	812
8.1.2.	The progressive development of <i>licet</i>	813
8.1.3.	Methodological considerations	815
8.1.4.	Origin	816
8.2.	The illocutionary adverbs <i>scīlicet</i> , <i>uidelicet</i> , <i>īlicet</i>	817
8.2.1.	<i>Scīlicet</i>	818

8.2.1.1.	The full expression “ <i>scīre licet</i> + subordinate clause”	818
8.2.1.2.	The main verb “ <i>scīlicet</i> + subordinate clause”	818
8.2.1.3.	<i>Scīlicet</i> as an illocutionary adverb	820
8.2.2.	<i>Videlicet</i>	821
8.2.3.	<i>Ilicet</i>	823
8.2.3.1.	Two verbs in a free syntagm	823
8.2.3.2.	<i>Ilicet</i> as a main verb governing a subordinate clause	824
8.2.3.3.	<i>Ilicet</i> as a complete utterance by itself	824
8.2.4.	Origin of <i>scī-</i> , <i>uide-</i> , <i>ī-licet</i>	825
8.2.4.1.	An infinitive origin	825
8.2.4.2.	An imperative origin?	825
8.2.4.3.	Conclusion	826
8.3.	The formation of indefinite pronouns, adjectives, and adverbs	827
8.4.	The formation of concessive subordinators	828
8.5.	The origin and evolution of modal verbs	830
8.6.	Origin of <i>licet</i>	831
8.7.	The origin of <i>necesse est</i>	832
8.8.	Agglutination and downgrading: <i>possum</i> , <i>potest</i>	833
8.8.1.	Reanalysis	833
8.8.2.	Semantic evolution	834
8.8.3.	From a personal to an impersonal verb	834
8.9.	A semantic development from a concrete social situation to modality: <i>dēbeō</i>	835
8.10.	<i>Queō</i> ‘can, be able’ and <i>ne-queō</i> ‘not be able’	835
8.11.	The illocutionary adverb <i>nīmīrum</i>	836
8.12.	The modal adverb <i>forsitan</i> ‘perhaps’	836
8.13.	Conclusion	837
9.	Grammaticalization and the formation of quantifiers	837
9.1.	Grammaticalization of a noun as a quantifier	837
9.1.1.	A noun denoting a body part	837
9.1.2.	An inanimate noun denoting a small quantity	838
9.2.	Grammaticalization of a prefix as a quasi-negative morpheme	840

9.3. Grammaticalization of a lexical or semi-lexical axiological (evaluative) adverb	841
9.3.1. The adverb <i>ualdē</i> : An intensive morpheme . . .	841
9.3.2. The adverb <i>bene</i> : From axiological (evaluative) adverb to quantifier	842
9.3.3. The adverb <i>male</i> : A progressive grammaticalization	843
10. Conclusions	845
10.1. Grammaticalization: A slow process in a long diachrony .	845
10.2. Absence of congruence for the various criteria	846
10.3. Cyclic renewal	846
10.4. A preference given to morphologization and downgrading	847
10.5. Other cases of grammaticalization	848
References	848

Philip Baldi and Pierluigi Cuzzolin

Syntactic change in the history of Latin:

Do new perspectives lead to new results?	865
1. Introduction	865
2. Determinants of syntactic change	866
2.1. Structural processes	867
2.2. Typological factors	867
2.3. Functional factors	868
2.4. Pragmatic factors	868
3. "Drift"	869
3.1. Nominal constituents	870
3.1.1. Word order	870
3.1.1.1. Facts about word order	871
3.1.1.2. Myths about word order	871
3.1.1.3. Discussion	872
3.1.2. Phonetic erosion of case-endings	875
3.1.3. Prepositional usage	876
3.1.4. From postposition to preposition	878
3.1.5. The development of definite and indefinite articles	879
3.2. Verbal constituents	880

3.2.1.	The development of periphrastic auxiliaries . . .	880
3.2.1.1.	The perfect	881
3.2.1.2.	The future	882
3.2.2.	Discussion	883
3.3.	Clausal constituents	884
3.3.1.	Comparison	884
3.3.2.	Complementation	886
4.	Explanations and generalizations	889
References		890
Subject index		895
Index of classical references		903