Table of Contents

Acknowledgements 9

Abbreviations 11

Part 1: Introduction 23

1 The asylum paradox 23

2 Aim and research questions 27

3 Scope of the book 29

3.1 Definitions and delimitations: 'protection seekers', 'safe pathways to protection' and the notion of 'State' 29

3.1.1 Protection seekers 30

3.1.2 Safe pathways to protection 31

3.1.3 The notion of 'State' 34

3.2 Legal sources 35

4 Structure and methodology 37

4.1 Normative reconstruction of the status quo: developing a responsibility framework 38

4.2 Structured analysis and normative assessment: safe pathways in the light of the responsibility framework 40

5 Legal context and state of research 41

5.1 No asylum without access: the absence of an 'entry right' to seek protection 41

5.1.1 The limited scope of the right to seek asylum and the principle of non-refoulement 42

5.1.2 The scope of non-refoulement in 'asylum visa' cases 44

5.2 No access to asylum: the legality of border and migration control with a view to access to protection 45

5.3 The relation of sovereignty and human rights in refugee law 47

5.4 Studies of safe pathways to protection 51

Bibliografische Informationen
https://d-nb.info/1322244073

digitalisiert durch DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BIBLIOTHEK

Part 2: The responsibility framework 53

6 A principle-based normative concept 53

6.1 The notion of principles: from legal principles to principles in legal philosophy 53

6.2 The notion and structuring function of principles in this book 56

6.3 The normative function of responsibility principles 57

7 Internal responsibility 59

7.1 Point of departure: sovereignty as structural principle governing access to territory 60

7.1.1 From Westphalian sovereignty to State autonomy 60

7.1.2 Sovereignty and the concept of asylum 63

7.1.3 Sovereignty claims in migration and border control 66

7.2 Sovereignty as responsibility 67

7.3 The scope of the internal responsibility 69

7.4 Conclusion 72

8 External responsibility 74

8.1 Point of departure: human rights as structural principles governing access to protection 74

8.1.1 The universal scope of human rights and refugee law 74

8.1.2 Key human rights provisions governing access to protection in the EU 77

8.2 Human rights as basis of an external responsibility 79

8.3 The scope of the external responsibility in the territorial context 81

8.4 Conclusion 83

9 Inter-State responsibility 83

9.1 Point of departure: solidarity as structural principle of the international protection framework 84

9.1.1 The principle of solidarity at international level 84

9.1.2 The principle of solidarity in the legal context of the EU 87

9.2 The principle of inter-State responsibility 89

9.3 Acting upon a principle of inter-State responsibility: responsibility-sharing arrangements at international level 92
9.3.1 Three main approaches: 'common responsibility', 'common but differentiated responsibility' and 'emergency solidarity' 92
9.3.2 Proposals for responsibility-sharing schemes: from the 'Comprehensive Plan of Action' to 'Regional Disembarkation Platforms' 93
9.3.3 The New York Declaration for Refugees and Migrants and the UN Global Compacts of 2018 96
9.4 Conclusion 97

10 Conclusion Part 2: the responsibility framework as analytical assessment tool 98
10.1 The triad of responsibility principles underlying the asylum paradox 99
10.2 The three functions of the responsibility framework 99
10.2.1 The analytical function: unpacking safe pathways through the responsibility lens 100
10.2.1.1 Assessment standards following from the internal responsibility 100
10.2.1.2 Assessment standards following from the external responsibility 101
10.2.1.3 Assessment standards following from the inter-State responsibility 101
10.2.2 The heuristic function of the responsibility framework: revealing tensions and trade-offs 102
10.2.3 The normative function of the responsibility framework: key considerations for the assessment 103
10.2.3.1 Migration control and deterrence 104
10.2.3.2 Individual access and procedural safeguards 104
10.2.3.3 Common but differentiated responsibility 105
10.3 The strengths and limits of a responsibility-based approach 105

Part 3: Safe pathways to protection in the light of the responsibility framework 109

11 The asylum visa 110

11.1 Definition: clarifying the term 'asylum visa' 110

11.2 Background: the role of embassies in offering protection 112

11.2.1 Diplomatic asylum 112

11.2.2 Historic precedents of 'protective passports' in Europe 115

11.3 From then to now: the relevance of 'humanitarian visas' in the legal context of the EU 117

11.3.1 EU visa regulations with impact on protection seekers 118

11.3.2 The role of carrier sanctions on access to protection 119

11.3.3 National policies of granting 'humanitarian visas' in the EU 122

11.4 The decisions of the CJEU and the ECtHR in 'asylum visa' cases 123

11.4.1 A short note on extraterritorial jurisdiction 124

11.4.2 The CJEU case *X and X* and the ECtHR case *M.N.* 125

11.4.3 The relevance of the case N.D. and N.T. 127

11.4.4 Summarising the approach of this book: a dynamic interpretation of human rights in asylum visa cases 128

11.5 Access through an 'asylum visa' at EU level 130

11.5.1 'Who': protection seekers 131

11.5.2 'How': asylum visa procedures 132

11.5.3 'What': the protection status granted through an asylum visa scheme 133

11.6 Analysis and assessment of the asylum visa in the light of the responsibility framework 133

11.6.1 External responsibility 133

11.6.1.1 Beneficiaries: 'anyone anywhere' under a severe human rights risk 134

11.6.1.2 Asylum visa procedures with individual rights and guarantees 136

11.6.1.3 Content of protection: access to national asylum procedures 139

11.6.2 Internal responsibility 140
11.6.2.1 Beneficiaries: no margin of discretion 140
11.6.2.2 Asylum visa procedures: migration control with limits 141
11.6.2.3 Content of protection: access to the national asylum procedure 142
11.6.3 Inter-State responsibility 143
11.6.3.1 Beneficiaries: no large-scale admission or consideration of State interests 143
11.6.3.2 Asylum visa procedures: paradigm change in responsibility allocation and issues of international cooperation 144
11.6.3.3 Content of protection: the relevance of a long-term perspective 144
11.7 Tensions and trade-offs raised by asylum visa schemes 145
11.7.1 Safe access to embassies and physical safety during the procedures 145
11.7.2 Legal access to the procedures and legal safeguards 147
11.7.3 The 'floodgate' argument 148
11.7.4 Limits of the asylum visa in terms of scope, numbers and predictability 150
11.7.5 Interim conclusion: the asylum visa as human rights tool 150
11.8 Conclusion: the asylum visa as paradigm shift 151
12 Resettlement 153
12.1 Defining resettlement 154
12.2 Background 156
12.2.1 Resettlement at international level 156
12.2.2 Resettlement in the EU 158
12.3 Access through resettlement 161
12.3.1 'Who': 'resettled refugees' 161
12.3.2 'How': resettlement procedures 164
12.3.3 'What': the protection status of 'resettled refugees' 165
12.4 Analysis and assessment of resettlement in the light of the responsibility framework 166
12.4.1 External responsibility 166
12.4.1.1 Beneficiaries of resettlement: from vulnerability to IDPs 166

12.4.1.2 Resettlement procedures: from one 'gatekeeper' to another 169
12.4.1.3 Content of protection: no uniform resettlement status 172
12.4.2 Internal responsibility 173
12.4.2.1 Utilitarian admission criteria and links to migration control 173
12.4.2.2 Flexible procedures and discretionary status 174
12.4.3 Inter-State responsibility 174
12.4.3.1 Beneficiaries and procedures: from 'cherry picking' to limited quotas and political leverage 175
12.4.3.2 Content of protection: predictability 177
12.5 Tensions and trade-offs raised by resettlement 177
12.5.1 The discretionary nature of resettlement: from 'filters' to 'gatekeepers' 177
12.5.2 Resettlement and territorial asylum 179
12.6 Conclusion: resettlement between solidarity and political leverage in migration control 181
13 Ad hoc humanitarian admission 182
13.1 Defining *ad hoc* humanitarian admission 183
13.2 Background 186
13.3 Access through *ad hoc* humanitarian admission 187
13.3.1 'Who': beneficiaries *of ad hoc* humanitarian admission 188
13.3.2 'How': *ad hoc* humanitarian admission procedures 189
13.3.3 'What': the status granted through *ad hoc* humanitarian admission 191
13.4 Analysis and assessment of *ad hoc* humanitarian admission in the light of the responsibility framework 191
13.4.1 External responsibility 192
13.4.1.1 Beneficiaries: from the 'one-to-one' approach to 'close-tie' requirements 192
13.4.1.2 *Ad hoc* admission procedures: silence on procedural guarantees 194
13.4.1.3 Content of protection: access vs. rights 196
13.4.2 Internal responsibility: State discretion at peak 196

13.4.3 Inter-State responsibility: *ad hoc* admissions as acts of 'emergency solidarity' 197
13.5 Tensions and trade-offs arising through *ad hoc* humanitarian admission 198
13.5.1 The 'good' refugee and the 'bad' asylum seeker 198
13.5.2 The controversial nature of the 'close-tie' requirement 199
13.5.3 Access vs. rights 201
13.6 Conclusion: *ad hoc* humanitarian admission as emergency solidarity and State discretion at peak 202
14 Sponsorship schemes 203
14.1 Defining sponsorship schemes 204
14.2 Background 206
14.2.1 International perspective: the Canadian private sponsorship scheme as a role model 207
14.2.2 Sponsorship schemes in the legal context of the EU 208
14.3 Access through sponsorship schemes 211
14.3.1 'Who': beneficiaries of sponsorship schemes 211
14.3.2 'How': sponsorship procedures 212
14.3.3 'What': status upon arrival 213
14.4 Analysis of sponsorship schemes in the light of the responsibility framework 215
14.4.1 External responsibility 215
14.4.1.1 Beneficiaries: the 'close tie' requirement as a key consideration 216
14.4.1.2 Admission procedures: enhancing agency 217
14.4.1.3 Content of protection: issues of status and responsibility transfer 218
14.4.2 Internal responsibility 219
14.4.2.1 Beneficiaries: limited State discretion for more social acceptance 219
14.4.2.2 Admission procedures: civil society as an internal driving force 220
14.4.2.3 Content of protection: the leading role of sponsors in the post-arrival phase 221
14.4.3 Inter-State responsibility: the scope of 'solidarity bonds' 222

14.5 Tensions and trade-offs raised by sponsorship schemes 223
14.5.1 Between 'undue burdens' and empowerment of civil society 223
14.5.2 The relevance of complementarity in sponsorship schemes 225
14.6 Conclusion: sharing responsibility – not burdens 226

15 Conclusion Part 3 228
15.1 Overall conclusion 228
15.2 Key issues setting the course in the assessment 229
15.2.1 Safe access to safe pathways 230
15.2.2 Permanent schemes vs. *ad hoc* schemes 232
15.2.3 State discretion vs. individual rights 233
15.2.4 Access vs. rights 234
15.2.5 Safe pathways and territorial asylum: the 'fig leaf' and the 'queue jumpers' 235
15.2.6 Complementarity of safe pathways 236

Part 4: Outcomes and outlook 239

16 Summary of findings 239
16.1 Point of departure: the asylum paradox and established definitions 240
16.2 Theoretical foundation: the responsibility triad as basis of a responsibility framework 241
16.3 Analysis and assessment of safe pathways to protection 243
16.3.1 The asylum visa 244
16.3.2 Resettlement 244
16.3.3 *Ad hoc* humanitarian admission 245
16.3.4 Sponsorship schemes 246

17 List of key findings 247

18 Outlook 251
18.1 The map: human rights must follow borders and adapt to new challenges 251
18.2 The vessel: safe pathways to protection 253
18.3 The terrain: digitalisation, technology and mobility 254

Bibliography 255