
Table of Contents

Preface

xxv

Part 1 Interpretation and Residence

Chapter 1:	The Netherlands: The Definition of “International Traffic” in Article 3(1)(e) of the OECD Model: Which Vessel Types Qualify? – Dutch High Court 24 December 2021, nr. 20/03226, BNB 2022/37	3
	<i>Ton Stevens</i>	
1.1.	Introduction	3
1.2.	Facts of the case	3
1.3.	The Dutch Supreme Court decision	4
1.3.1.	Question/issue disputed	4
1.3.2.	The Court’s decision	5
1.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	6
1.5.	Conclusion	8
Chapter 2:	Brazil: Tax Treaty Issues under the <i>Montagna</i> Case	9
	<i>Luís Eduardo Schoueri and Renan Baleeiro</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	9
2.2.	Facts of the case	9
2.2.1.	Taxpayer’s position	10
2.2.2.	Tax authorities’ position	11
2.3.	The CARF’s decision	11
2.4.	Comments on the CARF’s reasoning	13
2.5.	Conclusion	16

Chapter 3:	Canada: Corporate Residence and the Treaty Tiebreaker Rule – <i>Landbouwbedrijf Backx B.V. v. Her Majesty The Queen</i> <i>David G. Duff</i>	19
3.1.	Introduction	19
3.2.	Facts of the case	20
3.3.	The Court decisions	21
3.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	23
3.5.	Conclusion	25
Chapter 4:	Portugal: Interpretation: Taxation of Dividends and Beneficial Ownership – Case No. Ac. TCAS, 42/10.8BELRS <i>Ana Paula Dourado</i>	27
4.1.	Introduction	27
4.2.	Facts of the case	27
4.3.	Arguments by the plaintiff (taxpayer) and the tax authorities	28
4.3.1.	Arguments by the plaintiff	28
4.3.2.	Arguments by the tax authorities	28
4.4.	The Court decision	28
4.5.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	29
4.6.	Conclusion	32

Part 2
Permanent Establishment

Chapter 5:	India: Ascertaining the Role Played by a PE in a Composite Contract of Setting up a Project in the Hydrocarbon Sector – The Case of <i>Technip France SAS</i>	35
	<i>D.P. Sengupta</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	35
5.2.	Facts of the case	35
5.2.1.	Arguments of the taxpayer	37
5.2.2.	Arguments of the revenue	39
5.2.3.	Counter arguments of the taxpayer	40
5.3.	The ruling of the AAR	41
5.3.1.	When did the PE come into existence?	41
5.3.2.	What was the role of the subsidiary?	41
5.3.3.	Taxability of the income from offshore supply	42
5.3.4.	Taxability of the income from design services	43
5.3.5.	Role played by the PE and income attribution	45
5.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	46
5.5.	Conclusion	48
Chapter 6:	Finland: KHO 2021/H4294 (171) – Auxiliary Activities	51
	<i>Marjaana Helminen</i>	
6.1.	Introduction	51
6.2.	Facts	51
6.3.	Position of the tax administration	52
6.4.	Position of the Administrative Court	52
6.5.	Proceedings before the Supreme Administrative Court	53

6.6.	Decision of the Supreme Administrative Court	54
6.7.	Comments	55
Chapter 7:	Italy: Retrospective Application of the OECD Report on the Attribution of Profits to Permanent Establishments (Supreme Court, Case No. 8500 of 25 March 2021)	59
	<i>Guglielmo Maisto and Cesare Silvani</i>	
7.1.	Introduction	59
7.2.	Facts of the case	60
7.3.	The Supreme Court’s decision	62
7.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	63
7.5.	Conclusions	68
Chapter 8:	Türkiye: Report on the Decision of the Fourth Chamber of the Supreme Administrative Court on 18 January 2021 – Understanding of the Concept of PE under the Germany-Türkiye Income Tax Treaty (2011)	69
	<i>Cihat Öner</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	69
8.2.	Facts of the case	70
8.3.	The Court’s Decision	70
8.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	72
8.5.	Conclusion	75

Part 3
Business Profits, Capital Gains and Associated Enterprises

Chapter 9:	United States: United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, <i>Whirlpool v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> (6 December 2021) <i>Yariv Brauner</i>	79
9.1.	Introduction	79
9.2.	Facts of the case	81
9.3.	The Court decision	83
9.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	85
9.5.	Conclusion	88
Chapter 10:	United States: <i>Adams Challenge (UK) Limited v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> <i>Yariv Brauner</i>	89
10.1.	Introduction	89
10.2.	Facts of the case	90
10.3.	The Court decision	90
10.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	94
10.5.	Conclusion	97
Chapter 11:	Austria: Hiring-Out of Labour, Austrian Supreme Administrative Court 23 April 2021, Ra 2020/13/0089-6 <i>Daniel W. Blum</i>	99
11.1.	Introduction: The double function of withholding tax levied on hiring-out of labour transactions under Austrian tax law	99
11.2.	Facts of the case	101

11.3.	The Supreme Administrative Court decision	102
11.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	103
11.4.1.	The Court’s reluctance to address tax treaty interpretation questions	103
11.4.2.	Hiring-out of labour fee: Can a division into an employment income component and a business profits component be justified?	104
11.4.3.	Conditional refund mandated by Court in line with Austria’s treaty obligations?	107
11.5.	Conclusion	109
Chapter 12:	Finland: KHO 2021/346 (66) – Cost-Plus Method for Intra-Group Financing	
	<i>Marjaana Helminen</i>	111
12.1.	Introduction	111
12.2.	Facts	111
12.3.	The position of the tax administration	112
12.4.	The position of the Helsinki Administrative Court	112
12.5.	The proceedings before the Supreme Administrative Court	113
12.5.1.	A Oyj’s position	113
12.5.2.	Tax Recipients Legal Services Unit	114
12.6.	The decision of the Supreme Administrative Court	114
12.6.1.	Decision	114
12.6.2.	Relevance of the OECD Guidelines	114
12.6.3.	Functional analysis: Intra-group financing service	114
12.6.4.	The cost-plus method	115
12.7.	Comments	115
12.7.1.	Relevance of the OECD Guidelines	115
12.7.2.	Financing services vs. financing transactions	116
12.7.3.	Separate-entity approach vs. group approach	118

Chapter 13:	South Africa: Is the Power to Adjust Profits Inextricably Linked to the Determination of a Transfer Price Adjustment?	121
	<i>Karen Miller, Johann Hattingh and Craig West</i>	
13.1.	Introduction	121
13.2.	Facts of the case	122
13.2.1.	Arguments for the taxpayer	124
13.2.2.	Arguments for the tax authority	125
13.2.3.	Decision by the Court	126
13.3.	The Tax Court decision	127
13.4.	Comments on the Tax Court's reasoning	129
13.4.1.	The separation of issue	129
13.4.2.	The most appropriate method	133
13.4.3.	International precedence: Treatment of foreign case law	137
13.4.4.	Interpretive technique	137
13.4.5.	The (ir)relevance of tax policy (and international tax politics)	138
13.5.	Conclusion	139
Part 4		
Dividends and Interest		
Chapter 14:	Denmark: Beneficial Ownership and Abuse of Tax Treaty Rights	143
	<i>Søren Friis Hansen</i>	
14.1.	Case SKM2021.304ØLR and its background	143
14.1.1.	The Danish beneficial ownership cases before the ECJ	143
14.1.2.	Abuse and application of anti-abuse principles in national tax law	145
14.1.2.1.	Establishment of elements of abuse	147
14.1.3.	Does a "conduit company" constitute abuse?	148
14.1.4.	The decision by the Eastern High Court: SKM2021.304:ØLR	150

14.2.	Comments on the Eastern High Court’s ruling in SLM2021.304.ØLR	153
Chapter 15:	“Butoir” Rule and Tax Credits on Inbound Dividends	157
	<i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i>	
15.1.	Introduction	157
15.2.	Facts of the case	158
15.3.	The Court decision	159
15.3.1.	The legal framework	159
15.3.2.	The merits of the case	162
15.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	163
15.4.1.	An extensive conception of expenses	163
15.4.2.	Imperfect elimination of double taxation	164
15.4.3.	A transposition to interest	166
15.5.	Conclusion	167
Chapter 16:	India: Interpretation of the MFN Clause in Some of India’s Tax Treaties with the OECD Member Countries – The <i>Concentrix Services Netherlands BV</i> Case	169
	<i>D.P. Sengupta</i>	
16.1.	Introduction	169
16.2.	Facts of the case	170
16.2.1.	Arguments of the taxpayer	173
16.2.2.	Arguments of the tax department	174
16.3.	The Court decision	175
16.3.1.	Interpretation of the word “is” and common interpretation	176
16.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasonings	177
16.5.	Conclusion	180
16.6.	Annexure	180

Chapter 17:	Luxembourg: Qualification of Partnership Interest Payments	183
	<i>Werner Haslehner</i>	
17.1.	Introduction	183
17.2.	Legal background	184
17.2.1.	Luxembourg law	184
17.2.2.	German law	185
17.2.3.	Tax treaty law	185
17.3.	Facts of the case	186
17.3.1.	Agreed facts	186
17.3.2.	Arguments of the taxpayer	186
17.3.3.	Arguments of the tax administration	187
17.4.	The court decision	188
17.5.	Comments	189
17.5.1.	Introductory comment positioning the dispute in relation to earlier case law	189
17.5.2.	Comments on the court's findings	190
17.6.	Conclusion	192
Chapter 18:	Portugal: Personal Income Tax, Non-Habitual Resident, Burden of Proof (Process n. 401/ 2020-T)	197
	<i>Ana Paula Dourado</i>	
18.1.	Introduction	197
18.2.	Facts of the case	197
18.3.	Arguments by the plaintiffs	198
18.4.	Arguments by the tax authorities	198
18.5.	The Court decision	198
18.6.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	199
18.7.	Conclusion	200

Part 5
Royalties

Chapter 19:	Brazil: Tax Treaty Issues under the WEG Case	203
	<i>Luís Eduardo Schoueri and Renan Baleeiro</i>	
19.1.	Introduction	203
19.2.	Facts of the case	204
19.2.1.	Taxpayers' position	205
19.2.2.	Tax authorities' position	206
19.3.	The TRF4's decision	207
19.4.	Comments on the TRF4's reasoning	208
19.4.1.	Royalties, independent professional services and business profits: Qualifying services income under Brazilian tax treaties	208
19.4.2.	The need to tackle hybrid mismatch arrangements as argument for taxation	212
19.5.	Conclusion	213
Chapter 20:	France: Legal Qualification of Remunerations for Software Maintenance and Integration Services	215
	<i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i>	
20.1.	Introduction	215
20.2.	Facts of the case	215
20.3.	The Supreme Court's decision	217
20.3.1.	The legal framework of the dispute	217
20.3.2.	The merits of the case	218
20.4.	Comments on the Supreme Court's reasoning	218
20.4.1.	A first time for the Supreme Court	219
20.4.2.	The remuneration of software	221
20.4.3.	A risk of double taxation	222
20.5.	Conclusion	222

Chapter 21:	Peru: The Interpretation of the Concept of Beneficial Owner in the Tax Treaty between Peru and Chile	223
	<i>Esteban A. Montenegro Guillinta</i>	
21.1.	Introduction	223
21.2.	Facts of the case	225
21.3.	The Court's decision	228
21.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	234
21.5.	Conclusion	244
Chapter 22:	Poland: Foreign Organization for Collective Copyright Management and the Beneficial Owner of Royalties	247
	<i>Karolina Tetlak</i>	
22.1.	Introduction	247
22.2.	Facts of the case	248
22.3.	The Court decision	251
22.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	254
22.5.	Conclusion	259
Part 6		
Employment Income – Government Services		
Chapter 23:	Croatia: The Residence of Individuals, the Income from Dependent Personal Services, Directors' Fee	265
	<i>Hrvoje Arbutina</i>	
23.1.	Introduction	265
23.2.	Facts of the case	266

23.3.	The Court decision	267
23.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	271
23.5.	Conclusion	272
Chapter 24:	Poland: Taxation of Non-Resident’s Employment Income from Business Trips	275
	<i>Karolina Tetlak</i>	
24.1.	Introduction	275
24.2.	Facts of the case	276
24.3.	The Court decision	279
24.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	281
24.5.	Conclusion	288
Chapter 25:	Norway: Supreme Court – Poseidon (HR-2021-1243-A)	291
	<i>Eivind Furusetth</i>	
25.1.	Facts	291
25.2.	The argument of the taxpayer	292
25.2.1.	Tax liability to Norway for foreigners’ income from work on the Norwegian continental shelf:	
	The shelf provision in Norway’s tax treaties	292
25.2.2.	The location condition: The meaning of “offshore”	293
25.2.3.	The time condition: The 30-day rule	293
25.3.	The arguments of the tax authorities	294
25.3.1.	The location condition: The meaning of “offshore”	294
25.3.2.	The time condition: The 30-day rule	295
25.4.	Findings of the court	296
25.4.1.	The scope of the shelf provision: Tax liability to Norway for foreigners’ income from work on the Norwegian continental shelf	296

25.4.2.	The location condition: The interpretation of the term “offshore”	297
25.4.3.	The time condition: The 30-day rule	299
25.5.	Conclusion	302
Chapter 26:	Austria: Employment Income vs. Directors’ Fees and the Role of Authentic Languages in Treaty Interpretation: Austrian Supreme Administrative Court, 10 May 2021, Ra 2019/15/0095	305
	<i>Daniel W. Blum</i>	
26.1.	Introduction	305
26.2.	Facts of the case	306
26.3.	The Supreme Administrative Court decision	307
26.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	308
26.4.1.	Application and interpretation of article 15 of the Austria-Russia Income and Capital Tax Treaty	308
26.4.2.	Potential application of article 16 of the Austria-Russia Income and Capital Tax Treaty	311
26.4.2.1.	Introductory remarks: The Austrian/German view on the scope of article 16 of the OECD Model	311
26.4.2.2.	The role of authentic languages in interpreting article 16 of the Austria-Russia Income and Capital Tax Treaty	314
26.5.	Conclusion	317
Chapter 27:	Italy: No Residence State’s Taxing Rights under Article 19(1)(a) of the Italy-UAE Income Tax Treaty (1995) Even If This Leads to Double Non-Taxation	319
	<i>Guglielmo Maisto and Cesare Silvani</i>	
27.1.	Introduction	319
27.2.	Facts of the case	319

27.3.	The Court decisions	321
27.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	323
27.5.	Conclusion	327
<p>Part 7 Relief from Double Taxation</p>		
Chapter 28:	Belgium: Subject-to-Tax Clause <i>Anne Van de Vijver and Eva Baekelant</i>	331
28.1.	Introduction	331
28.2.	Facts of the cases	332
28.2.1.	Case 1: Director in the UAE	332
28.2.2.	Case 2: Dutch jubilee payment	333
28.3.	The Court decisions	333
28.3.1.	Case 1: Director in the UAE	333
28.3.2.	Case 2: Dutch jubilee payment	334
28.4.	Comments on the Courts’ reasoning	335
28.5.	Conclusion	337
Chapter 29:	Norway: Whether the Norwegian/Domestic Tax Credit Rules Were in Conflict with Article 23 of the Tax Treaty – Case No: 19-083263TVI-THOD/1 <i>Eivind Furuseth</i>	339
29.1.	Facts	339
29.2.	The arguments of the taxpayer	340
29.3.	The arguments of the tax authorities	341
29.4.	Findings of the court	342
29.4.1.	Introduction	342

29.4.2.	Inclusion of tax-exempt income under the calculation of the maximum foreign tax credit	343
29.4.3.	Whether a group contribution is relevant for calculating the maximum foreign tax credit	345
29.4.4.	The relevance of tax treaties for the interpretation of domestic foreign tax credit rules	347
29.5.	Conclusion	350
Chapter 30:	Germany: Credit for Foreign Taxes in the Case of an Option <i>Alexander Rust</i>	353
30.1.	Introduction	353
30.2.	Facts of the case	354
30.3.	The Court's decision	355
30.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	355
30.5.	Conclusion	357
Part 8		
Exchange of Information, Non-Discrimination, MAP and Abuse of Tax Treaties		
Chapter 31:	Switzerland: The Exchange of Information and Its Limitations <i>Moritz Seiler and Michael Beusch</i>	361
31.1.	Introduction	361
31.2.	Facts of the cases	362
31.2.1.	Case 2C_542/2018	362
31.2.2.	Case 2C_750/2020	362
31.3.	The Court decisions	363
31.3.1.	Case 2C_542/2018	363
31.3.2.	Case 2C_750/2020	366

31.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	368
31.4.1.	Case 2C_542/2018	368
31.4.2.	Case 2C_750/2020	369
31.4.3.	Principle of speciality	370
31.5.	Conclusion	371
Chapter 32:	Australia: Fears Realized in Respect of the Non-Discrimination Article in <i>Addy v. Commissioner of Taxation</i>	
	<i>Michael Dirkis</i>	373
32.1.	Introduction	373
32.2.	Facts of the case	375
32.3.	The Court’s decision	378
32.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	380
32.5.	Conclusion	381
Chapter 33:	Argentina: <i>Molinos</i> Case: GAAR and Treaty Shopping	
	<i>Mirna Screpante</i>	383
33.1.	Introduction	383
33.2.	Facts of the case	384
33.3.	The Court’s decision	386
33.3.1.	The majority’s vote	387
33.3.2.	The dissenting vote	390
33.4.	Comments on the Court’s reasoning	391
33.4.1.	Argentina’s GAAR and its relationship with tax treaties	393
33.4.2.	Misapplication of the GAAR and the OECD Model interpretation	395
33.4.3.	Implicit application of the beneficial ownership?	397
33.5.	Conclusion	399

Chapter 34:	Spain: On the Burden of Proof in Tax Abuse Cases and Beneficial Ownership (<i>Qatar Holding and Acciona</i>)	403
	<i>Ricardo García Antón and Adolfo Martín Jiménez</i>	
34.1.	Introduction	403
34.2.	Facts of the case and the Spanish tax administration's position	404
34.3.	The Court's decisions	405
34.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	407
34.4.1.	The burden of proof in abuse cases related to holding companies	407
34.4.2.	Is the BO provision implicit in article 10 of the Netherlands-Spain Income and Capital Tax Treaty (1971)?	410
34.4.3.	The attendance fees for participating in general shareholding meetings	412
34.5.	Conclusion	414
Chapter 35:	Spain: The Domestic GAAR and the Initiation of the MAP in the Context of the Germany-Spain Income and Capital Tax Treaty (the <i>Carbon Holding</i> case)	415
	<i>Adolfo Martín Jiménez and Ricardo García Antón</i>	
35.1.	Introduction	415
35.2.	Facts of the case	416
35.3.	The AN's decision	418
35.4.	The Supreme Court decision in <i>Carbon Holding</i>	420
35.4.1.	The appeal before the Supreme Court	420
35.4.2.	The arguments of the Supreme Court to refuse the appeal	421
35.4.2.1.	The risk of double taxation	421

35.4.2.2.	The Spanish GAAR and the Germany-Spain Income and Capital Tax Treaty	422
35.4.2.3.	The MAP in the EU AC	424
35.5.	Comments on the Supreme Court's reasoning	424
35.5.1.	Introductory remarks	424
35.5.2.	Some procedural issues conditioning the Supreme Court's reasoning	424
35.5.3.	The (wrong?) starting point: The MAP, the GAAR and double taxation	426
35.5.4.	The wording and goals of the Germany-Spain Income and Capital Tax Treaty: A correct decision in view of the wording but probably less so if the goals are considered?	427
35.5.5.	The OECD Commentaries on Article 25 in connection with article 28 of the Germany-Spain Income and Capital Tax Treaty and the Spanish Map Regulation	429
35.5.6.	The international minimum standards of BEPS Action 14, the evolution of the Spanish MAP Regulation and its effects on the Germany-Spain Income and Capital Tax Treaty	431
35.5.7.	The Supreme Court decision and the EU AC	433
35.6.	Conclusion	433
Chapter 36:	Canada: Tax Treaty Abuse – <i>Alta Energy Luxembourg S.A.R.L. v. The Queen</i> <i>David G. Duff</i>	435
36.1.	Introduction	435
36.2.	Facts of the case	437
36.3.	The majority and minority decisions	439
36.3.1.	Misuse or abuse requirement	439
36.3.1.1.	Majority decision	441
36.3.1.2.	Minority decision	442
36.3.2.	Application to the treaty	443
36.3.2.1.	Majority decision	443
36.3.2.1.1.	Nature of tax treaties and relevance of subsequent Commentaries	444

36.3.2.1.2.	Articles 1 and 4(1)	445
36.3.2.1.3.	Article 13(4)	447
36.3.2.1.4.	Conclusion	449
36.3.2.2.	Minority decision	449
36.3.2.2.1.	Specific anti-avoidance rules	449
36.3.2.2.2.	Object, spirit and purpose of relevant provisions	450
36.3.2.2.3.	Conclusion	451
36.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	452
36.4.1.	Interpretation and the GAAR	452
36.4.2.	Application to the Treaty	454
36.4.2.1.	Conduit companies and specific anti-avoidance rules	455
36.4.2.2.	Relevance of subsequent OECD Commentaries	456
36.4.2.3.	Object, spirit and purpose of relevant provisions and Treaty as a whole	458
36.4.2.3.1.	Articles 1 and 4(1)	458
36.4.2.3.2.	Article 13(4)	459
36.4.2.3.3.	Treaty as a whole	462
36.5.	Conclusions	464
List of Contributors		465