

Contents

In Carsten Jensen's Memory	vi
Editors' Acknowledgements	vii
Editors' Preface	ix
Author's Preface	xi
Abbreviations	xvi
The Main Decay Chains	xvii
1 Prelude: Beta-Spectrum Research in the Pre-Nuclear Years, 1900–1911	
1.1 Discovery and identification of the beta particle	1
1.2 The first experiments on the velocity distribution of beta particles	3
1.3 Absorption measurements question the inhomogeneity of the beta particles	7
1.4 The Hahn–Meitner vs. Wilson controversy	18
1.5 From unity to complexity: magnetic-deflection experiments, 1910–1911	23
2 The Origin of Beta Rays, and the Growing Complexity of Their Spectrum: The Rutherford Era, 1911–1919	
2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 Rutherford's 1912 theory, and reactions to it	31
2.3 The beta particle as a nuclear constituent	34
2.4 An extreme complexity of beta line-spectra is brought to light: deflection experiments in the years 1911–1913	37
2.5 Continuity as well as lines: The composite beta spectrum	41
2.6 Rutherford's 1914 theory	45
2.7 The Bohr–Sommerfeld quantum conditions and the beta line-spectrum	47
2.8 Rutherford and the gamma rays	50

3	The Rise of a Controversy: Ellis, Meitner and Smekal Advance Different Beta-Spectrum Theories, 1920–1922	
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Internal conversion, nuclear levels, and Ellis's interpretation of the beta line-spectrum	56
3.3	Analogy between alpha and beta emission, and Meitner's interpretation of the beta line-spectrum	64
3.4	Ellis's response to Meitner's hypothesis, and his interpretation of the continuous beta spectrum	68
3.5	Meitner replies to Ellis, and reveals her view on the continuous beta spectrum	74
3.6	The atom as a unity: Smekal joins the discussion, and is met with a sharp reaction	79
3.7	Two repetitions of the Chadwick experiment lead to contradictory conclusions	88
4	Secondary Effects and Order of Emission: Two Main Questions in the Controversy, 1923–1925	
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Meitner investigates the beta spectrum of UX_1 and takes it as further support for her view	95
4.3	Radiationless transitions: Rosseland suggests an explanation of the emission of primary, and some secondary, beta particles	99
4.4	The nuclear field and the Compton effect: Two possible reasons for the continuous beta spectrum	102
4.5	Ellis and Skinner reinvestigate the beta line-spectra of RaB and C, and serious problems arise	105
4.6	Beta first, gamma second, or is it the other way around?	110
5	The End of the Beginning: The Controversy Enters the Decisive Phase, 1925–1929	
5.1	Introduction	121
5.2	Ellis adjusts his view on the emission process, but maintains his interpretation of the continuous spectrum	122
5.3	The number of emitted beta particles	123
5.4	Ellis and Wooster's tour de force: A determination of the heating effect of RaE	128
5.5	Continental reactions to Ellis and Wooster's experiment	137
5.6	Some concluding remarks about the controversy	143
6	From Anomaly to Explanation: The Continuous Beta Spectrum, 1929–1934	
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Non-conservation of energy or a new particle? The first phase of the Bohr–Pauli dispute, 1929–1932	146

6.3	Other attempts at explaining the anomalous continuity	157
6.4	The question of upper limits in beta spectra, and the thorium C branching problem	163
6.5	The impact of the miraculous year: The second phase of the Bohr–Pauli dispute, 1932–1933	170
6.6	The two theories of beta decay	177
7	Towards a Theory of Internal Conversion: The Beta Line-Spectrum, 1927–1934	
7.1	Introduction	185
7.2	Experimental evidence brings about a new view on the origin of gamma rays	186
7.3	The radiation hypothesis proves insufficient to explain internal conversion of gamma rays	194
7.4	A theory of internal conversion is developed	199
	Summary and Conclusion	207
	Name Index	213