



ROBERT W. SEBESTA

CONCEPTS OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

TWELFTH EDITION GLOBAL EDITION

applications in programming. Globally accessible variables are often used throughout the execution of a program, thus making it necessary to have them bound to the same storage during that execution. Sometimes it is convenient to have subprograms that are history sensitive. Such a subprogram must have local static variables.

One advantage of static variables is efficiency. All addressing of static variables can be direct; tother kinds of variables often require indirect addressing, which is slower. Also, no run-time overhead is incurred for allocation and deal-location of static variables, although this time is often negligible.

One disadvantage of static binding to storage is reduced flexibility; in particular, a language that has only static variables cannot support recursive subprograms. Another disadvantage is that storage cannot be shared among variables. For example, suppose a program has two subprograms, both of which require large arrays. Furthermore, suppose that the two subprograms are never active at the same time. If the arrays are static, they cannot share the same storage.

C and C++ allow programmers to include the **static** specifier on a variable definition in a function, making the variables it defines static. Note that when the **static** modifier appears in the declaration of a variable in a class definition in C++, Java, and C#, it also implies that the variable is a class variable, rather than an instance variable. Class variables are created statically some time before the class is first instantiated.

5.4.3.2 Stack-Dynamic Variables

Stack-dynamic variables are those whose storage bindings are created when their declaration statements are elaborated, but whose types are statically bound. **Elaboration** of such a declaration refers to the storage allocation and binding process indicated by the declaration, which takes place when execution reaches the code to which the declaration is attached. Therefore, elaboration occurs during run time. For example, the variable declarations that appear at the beginning of a Java method are elaborated when the method is called and the variables defined by those declarations are deallocated when the method completes its execution.

As their name indicates, stack-dynamic variables are allocated from the run-time stack.

Some languages—for example, C++ and Java—allow variable declarations to occur anywhere a statement can appear. In some implementations of these languages, all of the stack-dynamic variables declared in a function or method (not including those declared in nested blocks) may be bound to storage at the beginning of execution of the function or method, even though the declarations of some of these variables do not appear at the beginning. In such cases, the

In some implementations, static variables are addressed through a base register, making accesses to them as costly as for stack-allocated variables.

variable becomes visible at the declaration, but the storage binding (and initialization, if it is specified in the declaration) occurs when the function or method begins execution. The fact that storage binding of a variable takes place before it becomes visible does not affect the semantics of the language.

The advantages of stack-dynamic variables are as follows: To be useful, at least in most cases, recursive subprograms require some form of dynamic local storage so that each active copy of the recursive subprogram has its own version of the local variables. These needs are conveniently met by stack-dynamic variables. Even in the absence of recursion, having stack-dynamic local storage for subprograms is not without merit, because all subprograms share the same memory space for their locals.

The disadvantages, relative to static variables, of stack-dynamic variables are the run-time overhead of allocation and deallocation, possibly slower accesses because indirect addressing is required, and the fact that subprograms cannot be history sensitive. The time required to allocate and deallocate stack-dynamic variables is not significant, because all of the stack-dynamic variables that are declared at the beginning of a subprogram are allocated and deallocated together, rather than by separate operations.

In Java, C++, and C#, variables defined in methods are by default stack dynamic.

All attributes other than storage are statically bound to stack-dynamic scalar variables. That is not the case for some structured types, as is discussed in Chapter 6. Implementation of allocation/deallocation processes for stack-dynamic variables is discussed in Chapter 10.

5.4.3.3 Explicit Heap-Dynamic Variables

Explicit heap-dynamic variables are nameless (abstract) memory cells that are allocated and deallocated by explicit run-time instructions written by the programmer. These variables, which are allocated from and deallocated to the heap, can only be referenced through pointer or reference variables. The heap is a collection of storage cells whose organization is highly disorganized due to the unpredictability of its use. The pointer or reference variable that is used to access an explicit heap-dynamic variable is created as any other scalar variable. An explicit heap-dynamic variable is created by either an operator (for example, in C++) or a call to a system subprogram provided for that purpose (for example, in C).

In C++, the allocation operator, named **new**, uses a type name as its operand. When executed, an explicit heap-dynamic variable of the operand type is created and its address is returned. Because an explicit heap-dynamic variable is bound to a type at compile time, that binding is static. However, such variables are bound to storage at the time they are created, which is during run time.

In addition to a subprogram or operator for creating explicit heap-dynamic variables, some languages include a subprogram or operator for explicitly destroying them.

As an example of explicit heap-dynamic variables, consider the following C++ code segment:

In this example, an explicit heap-dynamic variable of **int** type is created by the **new** operator. This variable can then be referenced through the pointer, intnode. Later, the variable is deallocated by the **delete** operator. C++ requires the explicit deallocation operator **delete**, because it does not use implicit storage reclamation, such as garbage collection.

In Java, all data except the primitive scalars are objects. Java objects are explicitly heap dynamic and are accessed through reference variables. Java has no way of explicitly destroying a heap-dynamic variable; rather, implicit garbage collection is used. Garbage collection is discussed in Chapter 6.

C# has both explicit heap-dynamic and stack-dynamic objects, all of which are implicitly deallocated. In addition, C# supports C++-style pointers. Such pointers are used to reference heap, stack, and even static variables and objects. These pointers have the same dangers as those of C++, and the objects they reference on the heap are not implicitly deallocated. Pointers are included in C# to allow C# components to interoperate with C and C++ components. To discourage their use, and also to make clear to any program reader that the code uses pointers, the header of any method that defines a pointer must include the reserved word unsafe.

Explicit heap-dynamic variables are often used to construct dynamic structures, such as linked lists and trees, that need to grow and/or shrink during execution. Such structures can be built conveniently using pointers or references and explicit heap-dynamic variables.

The disadvantages of explicit heap-dynamic variables are the difficulty of using pointer and reference variables correctly, the cost of references to the variables, and the complexity of the required storage management implementation. This is essentially the problem of heap management, which is costly and complicated. Implementation methods for explicit heap-dynamic variables are discussed at length in Chapter 6.

5.4.3.4 Implicit Heap-Dynamic Variables

Implicit heap-dynamic variables are bound to heap storage only when they are assigned values. In fact, all their attributes are bound every time they are assigned. For example, consider the following JavaScript assignment statement:

```
highs = [74, 84, 86, 90, 71];
```

Regardless of whether the variable named highs was previously used in the program or what it was used for, it is now an array of five numeric values.

235

The advantage of such variables is that they have the highest degree of flexibility, allowing highly generic code to be written. One disadvantage of implicit heap-dynamic variables is the run-time overhead of maintaining all the dynamic attributes, which could include array subscript types and ranges, among others. Another disadvantage is the loss of some error detection by the compiler, as discussed in Section 5.4.2.2.

5.5 Scope

One of the important factors in understanding variables is scope. The **scope** of a variable is the range of statements in which the variable is visible. A variable is **visible** in a statement if it can be referenced or assigned in that statement.

The scope rules of a language determine how a particular occurrence of a name is associated with a variable, or in the case of a functional language, how a name is associated with an expression. In particular, scope rules determine how references to variables declared outside the currently executing subprogram or block are associated with their declarations and thus their attributes (blocks are discussed in Section 5.5.2). A clear understanding of these rules for a language is therefore essential to the ability to write or read programs in that language.

A variable is **local** in a program unit or block if it is declared there. The nonlocal variables of a program unit or block are those that are visible within the program unit or block but are not declared there. Global variables are a special category of nonlocal variables, which are discussed in Section 5.5.4.

Scoping issues of classes, packages, and namespaces are discussed in Chapter 11.

5.5.1 Static Scope

ALGOL 60 introduced the method of binding names to nonlocal variables called **static scoping**,⁵ which has been copied by many subsequent imperative languages and many nonimperative languages as well. Static scoping is so named because the scope of a variable can be statically determined—that is, prior to execution. This permits a human program reader (and a compiler) to determine the type of every variable in the program simply by examining its source code.

There are two categories of static-scoped languages: those in which subprograms can be nested, which creates nested static scopes, and those in which subprograms cannot be nested. In the latter category, static scopes are also created by subprograms but nested scopes are created only by nested class definitions and blocks.

Ada, JavaScript, Common Lisp, Scheme, Fortran 2003+, F#, and Python allow nested subprograms, but the C-based languages do not.

^{5.} Static scoping is sometimes called *lexical scoping*.

Our discussion of static scoping in this section focuses on those languages that allow nested subprograms. Initially, we assume that *all* scopes are associated with program units and that all referenced nonlocal variables are declared in other program units.⁶ In this chapter, it is assumed that scoping is the only method of accessing nonlocal variables in the languages under discussion. This is not true for all languages. It is not even true for all languages that use static scoping, but the assumption simplifies the discussion here.

When the reader of a program finds a reference to a variable, the attributes of the variable can be determined by finding the statement in which it is declared (either explicitly or implicitly). In static-scoped languages with nested subprograms, this process can be thought of in the following way. Suppose a reference is made to a variable x in subprogram sub1. The correct declaration is found by first searching the declarations of subprogram sub1. If no declaration is found for the variable there, the search continues in the declarations of the subprogram that declared subprogram sub1, which is called its **static** parent. If a declaration of x is not found there, the search continues to the nextlarger enclosing unit (the unit that declared sub1's parent), and so forth, until a declaration for x is found or the largest unit's declarations have been searched without success. In that case, an undeclared variable error is reported. The static parent of subprogram sub1, and its static parent, and so forth up to and including the largest enclosing subprogram, are called the **static ancestors** of sub1. Actual implementation techniques for static scoping, which are discussed in Chapter 10, are usually much more efficient than the process just described.

Consider the following JavaScript function, big, in which the two functions sub1 and sub2 are nested:

```
function big() {
    function sub1() {
       var x = 7;
       sub2();
    }
    function sub2() {
       var y = x;
    }
    var x = 3;
    sub1();
}
```

Under static scoping, the reference to the variable x in sub2 is to the x declared in the procedure big. This is true because the search for x begins in the procedure in which the reference occurs, sub2, but no declaration for x is found there. The search continues in the static parent of sub2, big, where the declaration of x is found. The x declared in sub1 is ignored, because it is not in the static ancestry of sub2.

^{6.} Nonlocal variables not defined in other program units are discussed in Section 5.5.4.

In some languages that use static scoping, regardless of whether nested subprograms are allowed, some variable declarations can be hidden from some other code segments. For example, consider again the JavaScript function big. The variable x is declared in both big and in sub1, which is nested inside big. Within sub1, every simple reference to x is to the local x. Therefore, the outer x is hidden from sub1.

5.5.2 Blocks

Many languages allow new static scopes to be defined in the midst of executable code. This powerful concept, introduced in ALGOL 60, allows a section of code to have its own local variables whose scope is minimized. Such variables are typically stack dynamic, so their storage is allocated when the section is entered and deallocated when the section is exited. Such a section of code is called a **block**. Blocks provide the origin of the phrase **block-structured language**.

The C-based languages allow any compound statement (a statement sequence surrounded by matched braces) to have declarations and thereby define a new scope. Such compound statements are called blocks. For example, if list were an integer array, one could write the following:

```
if (list[i] < list[j]) {
  int temp;
  temp = list[i];
  list[i] = list[j];
  list[j] = temp;
}</pre>
```

The scopes created by blocks, which could be nested in larger blocks, are treated exactly like those created by subprograms. References to variables in a block that are not declared there are connected to declarations by searching enclosing scopes (blocks or subprograms) in order of increasing size.

Consider the following skeletal C function:

```
void sub() {
   int count;
   . . .
   while (. . .) {
     int count;
     count++;
     . . .
}
```

The reference to count in the **while** loop is to that loop's local count. In this case, the count of sub is hidden from the code inside the **while** loop. In general, a declaration for a variable effectively hides any declaration of a variable