

Contents

Acknowledgments — VII

Tables and Figures — XV

1 Introduction — 1

- 1.1 Aims — 1
- 1.2 Questions and Enigmas — 4
- 1.3 Scope — 4
- 1.4 Audience — 6
- 1.5 Chapter Overview — 10
- 1.6 Coda — 12

2 The Big Picture: 1000 Years of Exegetical Engagement with the Biblical Text — 13

- 2.1 The State of the Question — 13
- 2.2 Moving Beyond the Impasse — 16
- 2.3 Survey Methodology — 19
- 2.4 Representativeness of the Corpus — 20
- 2.5 Accuracy of Digitization — 25
- 2.6 Search Methodology — 26
- 2.7 Results and Implications — 31
- 2.8 Conclusion — 43

3 Contextualizing the Pre-Modern Dearth of Biblical Citation in Tafsīr — 45

- 3.1 Introduction — 45
- 3.2 Problematic Explanations — 50
 - 3.2.1 The Doctrine of Scriptural Corruption (*Tahrīf*) — 50
 - 3.2.2 Legal Prohibitions — 52
- 3.3 More Probable Explanations — 53
 - 3.3.1 Limited Access to the Biblical Text — 53
 - 3.3.1.1 The Earliest Arabic Bible Translations — 54
 - 3.3.1.2 The Evidence for Limited Muslim Access to the Bible — 55
 - 3.3.1.3 Barriers to Accessing Christian Translations — 57
 - 3.3.1.4 Barriers to Accessing Jewish Translations — 61
 - 3.3.1.5 Barriers to Accessing the Arabic Bible Outside of the Middle East — 63
 - 3.3.1.6 Limitations to the Inaccessibility Thesis — 63

3.3.2	The Social and Political Context of Interfaith Relations —	64
3.3.3	Negative Muslim Perceptions of the Other —	69
3.4	Conclusion —	70
4	A Major Pre-Modern Exception: Ibrāhīm ibn ‘Umar al-Biqā’ī —	73
4.1	The Life and Legacy of Ibrāhīm al-Biqā’ī (d. 885/1480) —	73
4.2	Al-Biqā’ī’s Tafsīr and the Controversy over His Quoting from the Bible —	74
4.3	Al-Biqā’ī’s Engagement with the Biblical Text —	76
4.4	Al-Biqā’ī’s View of the Biblical Text’s Reliability and Its Authority for Tafsīr —	77
4.5	Why did al-Biqā’ī Break With Tradition and Use the Bible in His Commentary? —	80
4.5.1	Al-Biqā’ī’s Quest for a Superior Tafsīr —	82
4.5.2	Pursuing Reform Through Minority Opinions —	84
4.5.3	Mamlūk Encyclopedism and the “Adabization” of the ‘ <i>Ulamā</i> ’ —	85
4.5.4	The Interfaith Dynamics of al-Biqā’ī’s Patrons and the Mamlūk Court —	91
4.6	Conclusion: The Posthumous Reception of al-Biqā’ī’s Tafsīr —	100
5	Contextualizing the Nineteenth-Century Biblical Turn —	105
5.1	Introduction —	105
5.2	The Translation and Mass-Printing of the Arabic Bible —	105
5.3	Religious Disestablishment and the Ecumenical Frame —	116
5.4	Christian Missionary Activity —	119
5.5	Conclusion —	125
6	Typologies of the Biblical Turn —	127
6.1	Introduction —	127
6.2	Typologies of Modern Islam and Modern Tafsīr —	127
6.3	Purist Salafīs, “Modernist Salafīs,” and Late Sunnī Traditionalists —	133
6.3.1	Caveats —	133
6.3.2	Overview —	134
6.4	A Purist Salafī: Jamāl al-Dīn al-Qāsimī (1866–1914) —	139
6.4.1	Al-Qāsimī’s Approach to Ḥadīth —	142
6.4.2	Al-Qāsimī’s Approach to Tafsīr —	145
6.4.3	Al-Qāsimī and His Usage of the Bible —	147
6.5	A “Modernist Salafī”: Muḥammad ‘Abduh (1849–1905) —	150
6.5.1	‘Abduh’s Approach to Ḥadīth —	153

6.5.2	‘Abduh’s Approach to Tafsīr —	155
6.5.3	The Authorship of <i>Tafsīr al-manār</i> —	157
6.5.4	‘Abduh and His Usage of the Bible —	160
6.6	Biography of Rashīd Riḍā (1865–1935) —	162
6.6.1	Riḍā’s Approach to Ḥadīth —	164
6.6.2	Riḍā’s Intellectual Development Following ‘Abduh’s Death —	166
6.6.3	Who was Responsible for the Biblical Citations in <i>Tafsīr al-manār</i> ? —	167
6.7	A Late Sunnī Traditionalist: Muḥammad al-Ṭāhir ibn ‘Āshūr (1879–1973) —	170
6.7.1	Ibn ‘Āshūr’s Traditionalist Approach to Ḥadīth —	172
6.7.2	Ibn ‘Āshūr’s Traditionalist Approach to Tafsīr —	174
6.7.3	Ibn ‘Āshūr and His Usage of the Bible —	176
6.8	Conclusion —	178

7 The New Authority of the Bible in Modern Tafsīr — 181

7.1	Introduction —	181
7.2	Sources Commentators Adduced to Assess the Bible’s Authority —	182
7.2.1	The Qur’an —	182
7.2.2	Works of Biblical Criticism —	183
7.3	Measuring the Bible’s Authority —	186
7.3.1	Explicit Statements about the Bible and Its Reliability —	186
7.3.1.1	Jamāl al-Dīn al-Qāsimī —	188
7.3.1.2	Muḥammad ‘Abduh —	189
7.3.1.3	Rashīd Riḍā —	190
7.3.1.4	Ibn ‘Āshūr —	193
7.3.2	The Bible Relative to Other Interpretive Sources —	195
7.3.2.1	The Qur’an Versus the Bible —	196
7.3.2.2	The Bible Versus the <i>Isrā’īliyyāt</i> —	197
7.3.2.3	The Bible Versus Natural Science —	200
7.3.3	The Charity Commentators Extended to the Bible —	201
7.4	Conclusion —	206

8 The Impact of the Biblical Turn — 209

8.1	Introduction —	209
8.2	New Interpretations of the Qur’an: Why Did Sarah Laugh? —	209
8.3	Displacing Post-Biblical Interpretations: Was Eve Responsible for the Fall? —	213

9 Conclusion — 217

9.1 Is the Bible an Islamic “Scripture”? — **217**

9.2 Is Salafism Opposed to “The Other”? — **219**

9.3 The Bible in Non-Arabic-Language Tafsīr — **222**

9.4 Turning Toward the Future — **224**

Appendix: The Corpus of Arabic-Language Qur’an Commentaries — 227

Bibliography — 251

Index — 271

Index of Biblical Verses — 275

Index of Qur’anic Verses — 277