

Blockchain Adoption in Supply Chain Management and Logistics

Vom Promotionsausschuss der
Technischen Universität Hamburg
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Doktor-Ingenieur (Dr.-Ing.)

genehmigte Dissertation

von
Niels Hackius

aus
Dresden

2022

1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. Wolfgang Kersten
Institut für Logistik und Unternehmensführung
Technische Universität Hamburg
2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Moritz Petersen
Kühne Logistics University

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 15. September 2022

Blockchain Adoption in Supply Chain Management and Logistics

The SHA512 hash for this document — *hackius-blockchain-adoption-supply-chain-management-logistics-20221111.pdf* — has been published on the Ethereum main network at 0x210737Ad866147925A7D6D71D8C1A546deEC0540.

© 2022 Niels Hackius

ORCID: 0000-0002-3738-2810

Publisher label: Triceratops Publishing, Wilhelmsburg

ISBN Softcover: 978-3-347-74869-9

ISBN Hardcover: 978-3-347-74872-9

ISBN E-Book: 978-3-347-77301-1

DOI: 10.15480/882.4728

Handle: <https://hdl.handle.net/11420/14027>

URN: urn:nbn:de:gbv:830-882.0202019

Printing and distribution on behalf of the author:

tredition GmbH, An der Strusbek 10, 22926 Ahrensburg, Germany

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Publication and distribution are carried out on behalf of the author, to be reached at: tredition GmbH, department “Imprint service”, An der Strusbek 10, 22926 Ahrensburg, Germany

Thank you

for the love, friendship, freedom, inspiration, kairos, the fish, and everything.

Sophia Kronawitter • Sven Reimers • Irene Zamora • Moritz Göldner

Antje & Ulf Hackius

Sally Lind • Lino Stephani • Susanne Vogel • Maik Gröger • Bente Rathmann

Sofa-Café • Franziska Bomba • Paul Craig • Aida & Maren Wichmann

Birgit von See • Moritz Petersen • UoT

Christoph Loose • Alexandra Elbakyan • Tobias Reaper

Lorne Lantz • Loituma Girl • Vladislav Balovatsky • Lea-Marie Becker

Julia Craig • Tobias Kronawitter

Summary

The tight integration of materials flow with the flow of information remains a challenge in supply chain and logistics (SC&L). Blockchain is an emerging technology concept that could be a tool to solve end-to-end information flow. It provides a distributed, decentralized ledger of transaction records that are tamper-resistant due to cryptographic methods. Transaction data in SC&L could be a history of state changes, ownerships, or manufacturing steps.

This dissertation addresses the adoption of Blockchain solutions in the SC&L context in three complementary studies. In Study 1, the existing literature is analyzed. A sample of 135 articles is mapped to the use cases and the industries they address. In Study 2, practitioners' anticipations of Blockchain are surveyed. An online questionnaire yielded 153 responses regarding four use cases as well as barriers and beneficiaries. Finally, in the main study – Study 3 – qualitative data were collected to investigate how companies are adopting Blockchain using an exploratory Grounded Theory approach.

The literature review in Study 1 showed that, overall, there has been little empirical work to date. However, the sample yielded eight major use cases that predominantly address the food and the pharmaceutical industries. Study 2 illustrated that while practitioners expect Blockchain solutions to take hold throughout the industry, regulatory uncertainties regarding the technology's uses and legal validity as well as the need for collaboration with new partners along the supply chain remain barriers. Study 3 allowed for the creation of a typology of companies' motivations for starting to work with Blockchain solutions and a model of which adoption paths they choose, the learnings they derive, and the barriers they face.

The conclusion that Blockchain will shape SC&L in the future emphasizes the need to further explore this space. On the one hand, more empirical data should be collected to describe tailor-made concepts that also fit such ecosystems. On the other hand, this also requires solutions for the existing barriers and general strategies for supply chain-wide Blockchain solution deployment. In the long run, Blockchain solutions could develop into a very valuable, massive infrastructure tool that allows one to drive efficiency by aligning supply chain partners worldwide. Further, it could allow for multi-supply chain ecosystems as a basis to offer a range of value-added services, for instance, providing identities, certification, or anti-counterfeiting solutions.

Table of Contents

List of Tables	XI
List of Figures	XIII
List of Abbreviations	XV
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research Objective and Research Questions	3
1.2 Research Structure	4
2 Theoretical Background	7
2.1 Supply Chain Management and Logistics	7
2.1.1 Supply Chain Management	8
2.1.2 Logistics	11
2.2 Blockchain Technology	14
2.2.1 Technological Concept	14
2.2.2 Blockchain Implementations, Applications, and Solutions	16
3 Mapping the Literature on Blockchain in Supply Chain Management and Logistics	21
3.1 Method	22
3.2 Results	26
3.2.1 Overview over the Sample	26
3.2.2 Analysis of the Use Cases	28
3.2.3 Analysis of the Research Approaches	47
3.3 Discussion	53
3.4 Preliminary Conclusions	56
3.4.1 Implications for Research	56
3.4.2 Implications for Management	56
3.4.3 Limitations and Opportunities for Further Research . . .	59

4	Surveying Anticipations of Blockchain in Supply Chain Management and Logistics Practice	61
4.1	Method	62
4.1.1	Use Case Examples	62
4.1.2	Setup and Data Collection	66
4.2	Results	67
4.3	Discussion	72
4.4	Preliminary Conclusions	73
4.4.1	Implications for Research	74
4.4.2	Implications for Management	74
4.4.3	Limitations and Opportunities for Further Research . . .	76
5	Exploring Blockchain Adoption in Supply Chain Management and Logistics Practice	79
5.1	Method	80
5.2	Results and Discussion	84
5.2.1	Motivation: A Company Typology	86
5.2.2	The Organizational Adoption Path	98
5.2.3	Practical Adoption Path	105
5.2.4	External Barriers	111
5.2.5	Internal Barriers	121
5.2.6	Learnings	128
5.3	Preliminary Conclusions	142
5.3.1	Implications for Research	142
5.3.2	Implications for Management	144
5.3.3	Limitations and Opportunities for Further Research . . .	147
6	Conclusion and Outlook	153
	Bibliography	163
	Appendix A Scales	189
	Appendix B Questionnaire	191
	Appendix C Interview Guideline	196
	Appendix D Interview Sample	198

List of Tables

2.1	Configurations of Blockchain Access Permissions	18
3.1	Steps in the Systematic Literature Review Approach	23
3.2	Databases Used for the Literature Research	24
3.3	Excluded Articles	25
3.4	Included Articles by Year	27
3.5	Articles Identified for the Tracing Goods Use Case	30
3.6	Articles Identified for the Documenting Goods and Process Steps Use Case	33
3.7	Articles Identified for the Preventing Counterfeiting Use Case . .	35
3.8	Articles Identified for the Transparency Use Case	37
3.9	Articles Identified for the Decentralizing Access to Information Use Case	39
3.10	Articles Identified for Improving the Analysis and Measurement of Performance Use Case	40
3.11	Articles Identified for the Improving Communication Security Use Case	42
3.12	Articles Identified for the Providing Infrastructure for IoT Devices Use Case	43
3.13	Articles Identified for the Other Use Cases	45
3.14	Articles in the Sample Using an Empirical Research Approach . .	49
3.15	Embedding the Implications (1-4) for Management in a Process Model	57
4.1	Descriptions of the Use Cases as Shown in the Web-Based Survey	63
4.2	Benefits of Blockchain	69
4.3	Likelihood of Adopting Blockchain	69
4.4	Embedding the Implications (1-7) for Management in a Process Model	75

5.1	Typology of Ideal Company Types	87
5.2	Embedding the Implications (1-12) for Management in a Process Model	145
5.3	Overview over the Observations	149
A.1	Scales Used for the Questionnaire	189
B.1	Questionnaire Used for the Web-Based Survey	191
C.1	Interview Guideline	197
D.1	Sample of the Expert Interview Study	199

List of Figures

1.1	Structure of This Thesis	5
2.1	Supply Chain Management Framework from the Perspective of a Focal Company	9
2.2	Overview over the Logistics Sectors in the EU30	12
2.3	Basic Blockchain Properties	14
2.4	Overview of a Blockchain solution	16
3.1	Distribution of Authors of Articles in the Sample	27
3.2	Overview of Industries and Use Cases	29
3.3	Overview of Research Approaches and Industries	51
3.4	Overview of Research Approaches and Use Cases	52
4.1	Participants and their Companies	67
4.2	Companies' Stances toward Blockchain	68
4.3	Beneficiaries of Blockchain	71
4.4	Barriers to Blockchain Adoption	71
5.1	Research Process of Constructing Grounded Theory	81
5.2	Blockchain Adoption in SC&L: Company Types, Paths, Barriers, Learnings and Their Relationships	85

List of Abbreviations

API application programming interface

B/L bill of lading

CEP courier express parcel

CRM customer relationship management software

CSCMP Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals

DAO decentralized autonomous organization

DLT distributed ledger technology

EDI electronic data interchange

ERP enterprise resource planning

EU 30 European Union member states, Norway, Switzerland, and the UK

FTL full truckload

GDPR General Data Protection Regulation

HACCP hazard analysis and critical control points

IoT Internet of things

IP intellectual property

ISO international organization for standardization

LTL less-than-truckload

NFC near-field communication

NGO non-governmental organization

PAT principal-agent theory

PLS partial least squares structural equation modeling

PoC proof of concept

RBV resource-based view

RFID radio-frequency identification

SC&L supply chain management and logistics

SME small and medium-sized enterprises

TAM technology acceptance model

UK United Kingdom

WMS warehouse management system

Chapter 1

Introduction

“On the Internet, nobody knows you are a dog,” Steiner’s 1993 cartoon reads. Entirely feasible at the time, in 2020 it requires enormous effort for people to remain anonymous online, with no guarantee of success (Marx et al. 2018; Lufkin 2017; Snowden 2019, pp. 248–252). It could be expected that the same applies to the origins and locations of goods and materials, since information-sharing is considered crucial for supply chain management and logistics (SC&L) (Cooper et al. 1997). Nonetheless, for most supply chains, the tight integration of the material flow with the information flow remains wishful thinking (Kersten et al. 2017; Huong Tran et al. 2016). For instance, it’s almost impossible to track the journey of an avocado’s journey from the supermarket shelf back to the tree that gave it life (Park 2018; Popper et al. 2017).

Effective information-sharing, for instance about the demand changes and the inventory levels of different supply chain tiers, would improve the entire supply chain’s competitiveness (Christopher 2016). It also allows for swift reactions to disruptions that cascade across tiers and the entire supply network (Donadoni et al. 2019). For instance, the Great East Japan Earthquake in March 2011, which ultimately resulted in the meltdown of the Fukushima nuclear power plant, severely disrupted supply chains in different industries (Hendricks et al. 2020). Unexpected demand changes, such as the spike in thermometer sales during the COVID-19 pandemic (Corkery et al. 2020) or more local natural disasters, necessitate immediate overviews over inventory levels, production volumes, and goods in transit if one is to decide on countermeasures. However, optimally organizing the information flow is crucial beyond disruptions. It can benefit supply chain performance and is also a key enabler of future concepts such as closed-loop supply chains in a circular economy (Shekarian 2020).

Electronic data interchange (EDI) – a data standard designed in the 1960s and already split into more than 10 sets (e.g., the UN/EDIFACT or the GS1 EDI standard) is far from widely used within SC&L (Huong Tran et al. 2016; Hermes Germany GmbH 2017; Ferrantino et al. 2017). Further, even EDI use does not mean the full integration of the flows of information and material. It is more common in practice to use less integrated methods (e.g., telephone and e-mail communication) instead of fully integrated solutions (Hermes Germany GmbH 2017; Huong Tran et al. 2016; Kersten et al. 2017). One consequence is the creation of different versions of the same records in various places: Copies of the relevant information are exchanged through specialized platform providers, or directly from one company to another via physical documents or electronic interfaces (Jabbar et al. 2018; Madenas et al. 2014). For instance, the documentation of freight transports from East Asia to Europe involves around 30 actors, causing 15% of total shipment costs (Groenfeldt 2017; Jabbar et al. 2018).

Blockchain could change this; it is a technology concept that provides a distributed, decentralized ledger of transaction records that is tamper-resistant due to the use of cryptographic methods (The Economist 2015; Popper et al. 2017; Nakamoto 2008; Tapscott et al. 2016; Pilkington 2016). Transaction data in SC&L could be a history of state changes (e.g., locations or temperatures) and ownerships (e.g., shipment handlers, parts manufacturers, or raw material producers). The central promise of Blockchain is that it creates a single and shared data repository, allowing all network members to read or write to its ledger. Its decentralization makes it especially useful in multistakeholder environments with short-lived business relationships (Wüst et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018; Petersen et al. 2018).

Thus, Blockchain could be the long-sought-after tool that will solve end-to-end information flow for SC&L. First practical concepts include record keeping for the production of jewelry diamonds, shadowing documentation of international container transports, handling and production records of leafy green vegetables and salads, and the identification of truck drivers for container release at the port of Antwerp (Stahlbock et al. 2018; Corkery et al. 2018; Groenfeldt 2017; Yarm 2019).