

## **Part I Theory of Critical Thinking**

<b>1</b>	<b>Attitudes and Thinking Styles in Critical Thinking . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Reason in Times of Shitstorms . . . . .	3
1.2	Critical Thinking as a Rational Practice of Speech and Action . .	5
1.3	Uncertainty . . . . .	7
1.4	The Program: Critical Thinking as Judgment . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Analytical-Epistemic Thinking . . . . .</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Epistemological and Linguistic Critical Preliminary Considerations . . . . .	11
2.1.1	Alternative Facts . . . . .	12
2.1.2	“There Are No Facts, Only Interpretations” . . . . .	13
2.1.3	On the Concept of a ‘Fact’ . . . . .	14
2.1.4	Facts, Logic, and the Law of Non-contradiction . . . . .	15
2.2	Clarify Meanings: Understanding and Specifying Truth Claims . . . . .	17
2.2.1	What Is Claimed? . . . . .	17
2.2.2	Conceptual Clarifications . . . . .	19
2.2.3	Does Islam Belong to Germany? An Illustrative Example . . . . .	20
2.2.4	Bullshit : An Excursus . . . . .	22
2.2.5	Intention and Context . . . . .	24
2.2.6	Language Game and Context of an Utterance . . . . .	25
2.3	Examine Evidence: Proving and Disproving Truth Claims . . . .	27
2.3.1	“A Composed Hypothesis Gives Us Lynx Eyes for Everything That Confirms It” . . . . .	27

2.3.2	Why It Is Difficult to Adopt a Critical Approach to Scientific Results . . . . .	30
2.3.3	Excursus: What Is Scientific Knowledge? A Small Philosophy of Science . . . . .	36
2.3.4	Media as Sources of Information . . . . .	41
2.4	Judging: Weighing Truth Claims . . . . .	52
<b>3</b>	<b>Ethical Thinking . . . . .</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Why Ethical Thinking? . . . . .	57
3.1.1	Ethics and Morals . . . . .	57
3.1.2	Explication of Hidden Moral Validity Claims . . . . .	59
3.1.3	Is an Intersubjective Understanding of Moral Dissent Possible at All? . . . . .	62
3.2	Modern Basic Positions of Normative Ethics . . . . .	65
3.2.1	Consequentialist and Utilitarian Moral Reasoning . . . . .	66
3.2.2	Deontological Moral Reasoning . . . . .	68
3.3	Ethical Thinking as the “Price of Modernity” . . . . .	73
3.3.1	Limitless Feasibility and Ethical Limitation . . . . .	73
3.3.2	Hans Jonas: Ethics of Responsibility in the Technological Age . . . . .	75
3.3.3	Applied Ethics . . . . .	80
3.3.4	The Reflection Process of Ethical Thinking Using the Example of Animal Ethics . . . . .	82
 <b>Part II Didactics of Critical Thinking</b>		
<b>4</b>	<b>Critical Thinking as a Rediscovered Educational Goal . . . . .</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Systematization of Approaches to Thinking Training . . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Results of Empirical Educational Research on Thinking Training . . . . .</b>	<b>95</b>
6.1	Preliminary Remarks on Research on the Effectiveness of Thinking Trainings . . . . .	95
6.2	Discussion of a Meta-analysis by Abrami et al. (2015) . . . . .	96
6.2.1	Underlying Concept of Critical Thinking . . . . .	96
6.2.2	The Selection of Individual Studies . . . . .	98
6.2.3	Research Focus of the Meta-analysis . . . . .	98
6.2.4	Effect Size as a Measure of Intervention Effectiveness . . . . .	99

6.2.5	Central Results of the Meta-analysis . . . . .	100
6.2.6	Discussion of the Results and Methodology in the Light of Practical Considerations . . . . .	102
6.3	Promoting Critical Thinking with Digital Media . . . . .	104
6.3.1	Preliminary Remarks on the Selection of Studies . . . . .	104
6.3.2	Digital Thinking Training: A Brief Historical Outline . . . . .	107
6.4	Conclusion: Importance of the Results of Educational Research for the Design of a Thinking Training Course . . . . .	115
<b>7</b>	<b>A Process Model as a Compass for the Didactic Design of Thinking Training Courses . . . . .</b>	<b>119</b>
7.1	Process Models of Critical Thinking . . . . .	119
7.2	A Process Model as a Compass for the Didactic Design of Thinking Training Courses . . . . .	122
7.3	Didactic Implications from the Process Model . . . . .	127
7.3.1	Determine Own Concept of Critical Thinking for the Subject Area . . . . .	127
7.3.2	Analyze the Conditions of Teaching Practice . . . . .	128
7.3.3	Set Learning Objectives . . . . .	129
7.3.4	Establishing the Necessary Learning Environment . . . . .	131
7.3.5	Designing the Initial Phase (Trigger Event) . . . . .	133
7.3.6	Accompanying the Phase of Forming a Judgment (Exploration) . . . . .	136
7.3.7	Integration: Supporting the Development of Alternatives . . . . .	139
7.3.8	Resolution: <i>Creating Opportunities for Experimentation</i> . . . . .	143
7.4	Summary of the Promotion of Critical Thinking . . . . .	145
<b>8</b>	<b>Assessment of Critical Thinking . . . . .</b>	<b>151</b>
8.1	Introduction to the Assessment Concept . . . . .	151
8.2	Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills and Dispositions . . . . .	155
8.2.1	Observing Learners in the Process of Critical Thinking . . . . .	155
8.2.2	Test Skills Through Standardized Written Tests . . . . .	157
8.2.3	Evaluate Critical Thinking in Authentic Action Situations . . . . .	158
8.3	Construction of an Own Assessment Instrument . . . . .	164

**9 Examples of the Practice of Thinking Training . . . . . 167**

9.1 Practical Reports on the Promotion of Critical Thinking . . . . . 167

9.1.1 Introduction to the Practical Examples . . . . . 167

9.1.2 Creating a Learning Atmosphere . . . . . 168

9.1.3 Designing the Initial Phase . . . . . 172

9.1.4 Accompanying the Exploration Phase . . . . . 176

9.1.5 Support the Phase of Developing Alternatives . . . . . 181

9.1.6 Create Opportunities for Experimentation . . . . . 182

**References . . . . . 187**