

## Overview of contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Overview of contents.....  | IX        |
| Table of contents .....  | XI        |
| List of figures.....   | XVII      |
| List of tables.....  | XXIII     |
| List of abbreviations .....  | XXVII     |
| <b>1 Introduction.....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1 Problem statement .....  | 1         |
| 1.2 Relevant literature and research gap .....                                 | 3         |
| 1.3 Research objectives.....   | 7         |
| 1.4 Structure of this document .....   | 10        |
| <b>2 Conceptual basics.....</b>  | <b>15</b> |
| 2.1 NEVs as the central objects of this research .....                         | 15        |
| 2.2 The concept of trust.....  | 28        |
| 2.3 Buyer-seller relationships in this research.....                           | 42        |
| 2.4 The economics of information: product qualities .....                      | 45        |
| <b>3 Theoretical framework.....</b>  | <b>51</b> |
| 3.1 Theoretical foundation of trust building.....                              | 51        |
| 3.2 Theoretical foundation of the effect of trust on purchase intentions ..... | 68        |
| 3.3 Summary of the theoretical framework.....                                  | 70        |
| <b>4 Hypotheses and research model .....</b>                                   | <b>71</b> |
| 4.1 Hypotheses in the main model .....   | 72        |
| 4.2 Hypotheses on the moderating effect of product/service qualities .....     | 90        |
| 4.3 Overview of the hypothesized model.....                                    | 92        |
| <b>5 Preparation of the empirical analysis .....</b>                           | <b>95</b> |

|                           |   |            |
|---------------------------|---|------------|
| 5.1                       | <i>Selection of the method of statistical analysis .....</i>              | 95         |
| 5.2                       | <i>Quality assessment methodology .....</i>                               | 112        |
| 5.3                       | <i>Development of a measurement instrument.....</i>                       | 126        |
| <b>6</b>                  | <b>Survey design and data sample.....</b>                                 | <b>145</b> |
| 6.1                       | <i>Focus of the empirical study.....</i>                                  | 145        |
| 6.2                       | <i>Data collection.....</i>   | 150        |
| 6.3                       | <i>Assessment of the data sample.....</i>                                 | 169        |
| <b>7</b>                  | <b>Empirical analysis.....</b>  | <b>181</b> |
| 7.1                       | <i>Assessment of the measurement models .....</i>                         | 181        |
| 7.2                       | <i>Assessment of the structural model.....</i>                            | 192        |
| <b>8</b>                  | <b>Discussion and conclusion .....</b>                                    | <b>207</b> |
| 8.1                       | <i>Interpretation of the empirical results.....</i>                       | 207        |
| 8.2                       | <i>Theoretical implications .....</i>                                     | 218        |
| 8.3                       | <i>Managerial implications.....</i>                                       | 226        |
| 8.4                       | <i>Summarizing conclusion.....</i>  | 230        |
| <b>Appendix.....</b>      | <b>.....</b>  | <b>231</b> |
|                           | <i>Appendix A: List of participating firms .....</i>                      | 231        |
|                           | <i>Appendix B: Questionnaires used for the survey.....</i>                | 231        |
|                           | <i>Appendix C: E-mail correspondence.....</i>                             | 275        |
|                           | <i>Appendix D: Further descriptive statistics of the data sample.....</i> | 282        |
|                           | <i>Appendix E: Congruence of indicator weights.....</i>                   | 292        |
| <b>Bibliography .....</b> | <b>.....</b>  | <b>301</b> |

## Table of contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Overview of contents.....                              | IX        |
| Table of contents .....                                | XI        |
| List of figures.....                                   | XVII      |
| List of tables.....                                    | XXIII     |
| List of abbreviations .....                            | XXVII     |
| <b>1 Introduction.....</b>                             | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1 Problem statement .....                            | 1         |
| 1.2 Relevant literature and research gap .....         | 3         |
| 1.3 Research objectives.....                           | 7         |
| 1.4 Structure of this document .....                   | 10        |
| <b>2 Conceptual basics.....</b>                        | <b>15</b> |
| 2.1 NEVs as the central objects of this research ..... | 15        |
| 2.1.1 Definition of NEVs.....                          | 15        |
| 2.1.1.1 The meaning of "new" .....                     | 16        |
| 2.1.1.2 The meaning of "entrepreneurial" .....         | 20        |
| 2.1.1.3 NEVs in the context of this research .....     | 22        |
| 2.1.2 Specific characteristics of NEVs .....           | 23        |
| 2.2 The concept of trust.....                          | 28        |
| 2.2.1 Trust as an inter-disciplinary phenomenon.....   | 29        |
| 2.2.2 Important conceptualizations of trust .....      | 30        |
| 2.2.2.1 Psychological perspective.....                 | 30        |
| 2.2.2.2 Economic perspective .....                     | 32        |
| 2.2.2.3 Sociological perspective .....                 | 35        |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.2.2.4  | Relationship marketing perspective.....  | 36        |
| 2.2.2.5  | Inter-disciplinary perspective according to Mayer et al. ....                    | 37        |
| 2.2.3    | View on trust in this research .....   | 41        |
| 2.3      | <i>Buyer-seller relationships in this research.....</i>                          | 42        |
| 2.4      | <i>The economics of information: product qualities.....</i>                      | 45        |
| 2.4.1    | The economics of information.....  | 46        |
| 2.4.2    | Product qualities .....  | 48        |
| 2.4.3    | The role of product qualities in the present research.....                       | 48        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Theoretical framework.....</b>  | <b>51</b> |
| 3.1      | <i>Theoretical foundation of trust building.....</i>                             | 51        |
| 3.1.1    | Selection criteria for a theoretical framework .....                             | 51        |
| 3.1.2    | Theoretical approaches to the research of trust building.....                    | 53        |
| 3.1.2.1  | Overview.....  | 53        |
| 3.1.2.2  | The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing.....                       | 54        |
| 3.1.2.3  | Five cognitive processes according to Doney and Cannon .....                     | 57        |
| 3.1.2.4  | Production of Trust according to Zucker.....                                     | 61        |
| 3.2      | <i>Theoretical foundation of the effect of trust on purchase intentions.....</i> | 68        |
| 3.3      | <i>Summary of the theoretical framework.....</i>                                 | 70        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Hypotheses and research model .....</b>                                       | <b>71</b> |
| 4.1      | <i>Hypotheses in the main model.....</i>   | 72        |
| 4.1.1    | The effect of trust on purchase intentions and the role of perceived risk.       | 72        |
| 4.1.2    | Direct antecedents of trust .....  | 75        |
| 4.1.3    | Drivers of perceived trustworthiness .....                                       | 78        |
| 4.1.3.1  | Process-based drivers.....   | 79        |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4.1.3.2  | Characteristics-based drivers .....  | 82        |
| 4.1.3.3  | Institution-based drivers .....  | 86        |
| 4.2      | <i>Hypotheses on the moderating effect of product/service qualities</i> .....                | 90        |
| 4.3      | <i>Overview of the hypothesized model</i> .....  | 92        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Preparation of the empirical analysis</b> .....   | <b>95</b> |
| 5.1      | <i>Selection of the method of statistical analysis</i> .....                                 | 95        |
| 5.1.1    | Application of a confirmatory approach in this research.....                                 | 95        |
| 5.1.2    | Structural equation modeling as a second-generation confirmatory<br>statistical method ..... | 96        |
| 5.1.2.1  | Two generations of multivariate analysis .....   | 96        |
| 5.1.2.2  | Construct specification in structural equation modeling .....                                | 97        |
| 5.1.2.3  | Components of a structural equation model .....  | 99        |
| 5.1.3    | Selection of the estimation methodology .....  | 100       |
| 5.1.4    | Description of the PLS algorithm .....   | 105       |
| 5.1.4.1  | General description of the algorithm .....   | 105       |
| 5.1.4.2  | Multidimensional constructs in PLS.....  | 107       |
| 5.1.4.3  | Moderating effects in PLS.....   | 109       |
| 5.2      | <i>Quality assessment methodology</i> .....  | 112       |
| 5.2.1    | Definition of a critical level of significance .....   | 112       |
| 5.2.2    | Quality criteria for the assessment of the measurement model .....                           | 113       |
| 5.2.2.1  | Quality criteria for reflective constructs .....   | 114       |
| 5.2.2.2  | Quality criteria for formative constructs.....   | 119       |
| 5.2.3    | Criteria for the assessment of the structural model .....                                    | 121       |
| 5.2.4    | Quality criteria for the assessment of moderating effects .....                              | 123       |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.2.4.1  | Interaction term method.....                                | 124        |
| 5.2.4.2  | Group comparisons .....                                     | 124        |
| 5.3      | <i>Development of a measurement instrument.....</i>         | <i>126</i> |
| 5.3.1    | Development process .....                                   | 126        |
| 5.3.1.1  | General scale development considerations .....              | 126        |
| 5.3.1.2  | Scale development process followed in this research.....    | 127        |
| 5.3.2    | Selection of appropriate response options .....             | 131        |
| 5.3.3    | Individual measures .....                                   | 133        |
| 5.3.3.1  | Trust, its direct antecedents, and purchase intention ..... | 133        |
| 5.3.3.2  | Drivers of perceived trustworthiness .....                  | 138        |
| 5.3.3.3  | Control variables.....                                      | 142        |
| 5.3.4    | Additional components of the survey instrument .....        | 144        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Survey design and data sample.....</b>                   | <b>145</b> |
| 6.1      | <i>Focus of the empirical study.....</i>                    | <i>145</i> |
| 6.2      | <i>Data collection.....</i>                                 | <i>150</i> |
| 6.2.1    | Survey participants .....                                   | 150        |
| 6.2.2    | Survey methodology .....                                    | 154        |
| 6.2.3    | Survey design.....  | 157        |
| 6.2.3.1  | Design of the survey instrument .....                       | 158        |
| 6.2.3.2  | Design of the e-mail correspondence .....                   | 164        |
| 6.2.4    | Survey execution.....                                       | 165        |
| 6.2.4.1  | Survey process .....  | 165        |
| 6.2.4.2  | Responses.....  | 166        |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 6.3      | <i>Assessment of the data sample</i> .....                       | 169        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Empirical analysis</b> .....                                  | <b>181</b> |
| 7.1      | <i>Assessment of the measurement models</i> .....                | 181        |
| 7.1.1    | Main model.....  | 181        |
| 7.1.1.1  | Assessment of reflective construct quality .....                 | 182        |
| 7.1.1.2  | Assessment of formative construct quality.....                   | 188        |
| 7.1.2    | Inter-group construct comparability .....                        | 190        |
| 7.2      | <i>Assessment of the structural model</i> .....                  | 192        |
| 7.2.1    | Results of the main model estimation.....                        | 192        |
| 7.2.1.1  | Determination and predictive relevance .....                     | 192        |
| 7.2.1.2  | Results of the hypothesis tests .....                            | 194        |
| 7.2.1.3  | Control variables.....   | 196        |
| 7.2.1.4  | Interim summary.....   | 196        |
| 7.2.2    | Group comparisons .....  | 197        |
| 7.2.2.1  | Influence of dominant product/service qualities.....             | 197        |
| 7.2.2.2  | Differences between NEVs and established firms as suppliers..... | 201        |
| 7.2.2.3  | Exploratory comparison between customers and non-customers...    | 204        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Discussion and conclusion</b> .....                           | <b>207</b> |
| 8.1      | <i>Interpretation of the empirical results</i> .....             | 207        |
| 8.1.1    | Trust and its effect on purchase intentions .....                | 207        |
| 8.1.2    | Direct antecedents of trust .....                                | 209        |
| 8.1.3    | Trust drivers .....  | 211        |
| 8.2      | <i>Theoretical implications</i> .....                            | 218        |

|                     |  |            |
|---------------------|--|------------|
| 8.2.1               | Research contribution .....  | 218        |
| 8.2.2               | Limitations and directions for further research .....                      | 224        |
| 8.3                 | <i>Managerial implications</i> .....                                       | 226        |
| 8.4                 | <i>Summarizing conclusion</i> .....  | 230        |
| <b>Appendix</b>     | .....  | <b>231</b> |
|                     | <i>Appendix A: List of participating firms</i> .....                       | 231        |
|                     | <i>Appendix B: Questionnaires used for the survey</i> .....                | 231        |
|                     | PV industry survey .....   | 231        |
|                     | PR industry survey .....   | 253        |
|                     | <i>Appendix C: E-mail correspondence</i> .....                             | 275        |
|                     | E-mails to participants of the survey in the PV industry .....             | 276        |
|                     | E-mails to participants of the survey in the PR industry .....             | 279        |
|                     | <i>Appendix D: Further descriptive statistics of the data sample</i> ..... | 282        |
|                     | PV industry sample .....   | 282        |
|                     | PR industry sample .....   | 287        |
|                     | <i>Appendix E: Congruence of indicator weights</i> .....                   | 292        |
|                     | Comparison of credence and experience industry sub samples .....           | 293        |
|                     | Comparison of NEV and established firms sub samples .....                  | 296        |
|                     | Comparison of customer and non-customer sub samples .....                  | 299        |
| <b>Bibliography</b> | .....  | <b>301</b> |