

Contents

Preamble	15
A. Introductory Remarks	17
I. Research Questions and Scientific Interest	20
II. Methodology and Content	21
1. Methodological Approach	21
a) Methodological Structure	23
b) Concept of Ethics within the Thesis	26
2. Scope	27
a) National Security and Patent Secrecy	27
b) European Unitary Patent	27
c) EU “Biotech Directive”	27
d) Theories of Law	28
e) Philosophical Approaches to Morality	28
f) Patentability Assessments of Specific Technologies	29
III. Overview of Sources	29
B. The Interplay of Technology and Morality	32
I. Examples of Recent Technological Development with Moral Considerations	32
1. Biotechnology as a Trailblazer of Patent Morality	33
a) Basics of CRISPR Gene-Editing	34
b) Moral Debate Regarding CRISPR Gene-Editing	35
c) Similarities between CRISPR Gene-Editing and Stem-Cell Research	38
d) Summary	40
2. Weapon Technology and Moral Concerns	40
a) Global Relevance of Modern Weapon Technology	41
b) Fundamental Differences to Conventional Weapon Technology	43
aa) Railgun Basics	43
bb) Laser Weapon System Basics	44
cc) Advantages of the Emerging Weapon Systems	44
c) Areas of Moral Concern	45
II. Potential Moral Considerations with Regard to Modern Weapon Technology	45
1. Moral Concerns of the Heat Ray	46
2. UN Convention CCW	46

3. Significance of International Treaties	47
4. Potential Violations of National Law	48
a) Potential Violation of Sect. 311, 309 StGB	49
b) Applicability	49
c) Ionizing Radiation	50
d) Potential to Violate Regulations on Ionizing Radiation	51
5. Relationship of Legal Violations and Patentability	51
a) International Treaties and Patentability	51
b) National Law and Patentability	52
III. Conclusion	53
C. Moral Considerations in Patentability in European and German Patent Law ...	55
I. Art. 27 (2) TRIPS and Respective Regulations	55
1. Relevance of Art. 27 (2) TRIPS for the Interpretation of Subsequent Regulation	56
2. Regulatory Approaches in the EU and Germany	58
3. Art. 53 (a) European Patent Convention	58
4. Sect. 2 (1) PatG in German Law	60
II. Ordre Public and Morality on a European Level	62
1. Determining the Meaning of Ordre Public and Morality	62
2. Relevant Contracting States	64
a) Differences in the Understanding of Ordre Public within the EPC Contracting States	66
aa) Lowest Standard – Validity of the Patent in One Contracting State ..	66
(1) Comparison of Art. 53 EPC and Art. 139 EPC	70
(2) Relationship of EPO and National Interpretation	70
(3) Relevance of the Report by the EU Commission	71
bb) Medium Standard – Validity in the Designated State of the Application	73
cc) Strictest Standard – Validity in All Contracting States	74
b) Discussion of the Legal Arguments	76
c) Conclusion	78
3. Jurisprudence	78
a) <i>Brüstle</i> Case	79
aa) Factual and Legal Background	80
bb) Legal Procedure	81
(1) Role of the European Court of Justice	82
(2) Referring the Case back to the German Federal Court of Justice	83
b) Analysis of the Reasoning	84
aa) Dogmatic Criticism of the Decision	84
bb) General Criticism of the EU Biotech Directive	86

4. Conclusive Summary and Relevance	87
a) Fundamental Principles of Ordre Public or Morality	88
b) Characteristics of Human Dignity in Biotechnological Inventions	88
c) Specific Arguments Concerning Ethics in Biotechnological Inventions ..	93
d) Identifying Abstract Characteristics	95
III. Fundamental Considerations of Morality in German Legal Literature	96
1. Factual and Legal Background of the German Provision	96
2. General Considerations Regarding the Morality Provision	97
a) Fundamental Objections to the Provision	97
b) Arguing in favor of the Morality Provision	100
3. Subject of the Examination Process	101
a) Relevant Moment and Geographic Scope	102
b) European Union vs European Unity	104
4. Understanding Ordre Public in Morality in German Legal Culture	105
a) Ordre Public and Morality as Ethical Considerations	107
b) Ordre Public and Morality across the German Legal System	111
c) Morality as a Social Concept Instead of a Legal Concept	113
d) The Unique Relationship of Immorality and Illegality in Patent Law	115
e) Interim Result	116
f) Identifying Elements of Morality and Ordre Public in Patent Law	117
aa) Essential Constitutional Principles as a Significant Element?	118
bb) Approaches to Define Ordre Public in German Legal Literature	120
5. Meaningful Distinction of Morality and Ordre Public?	121
a) Attempts to Distinguish between the two Terms	121
b) Concepts of Proportionality in Ordre Public and Morality	124
c) Technicality of Patents in Relation to Morality as an Independent Ap- proach	126
d) Limitations of the Proposed Differences between Morality and Public Order	126
e) Conclusion	129
IV. Commercial Exploitation as a Requirement of Moral Violations	130
1. TRIPS Considerations and the Term itself	130
2. Standard for Commercial Use	132
a) German Jurisprudence Regarding Commercial Use	133
b) Legal Discussion of Commercial Use	135
c) <i>Romandini's</i> Case Group Solution	137
3. Relevant Moment of Commercial Exploitations	140
a) Black Letter Analysis of Art. 53 EPC and Art. 27 (2) TRIPS	140
b) Black Letter Analysis of the German Patent Act	143
c) Interim Result	145

V. Conclusion	145
D. Patentability and Moral Concerns in U.S. Patent Law	147
I. Historic Development	147
1. Genesis of the Moral Utility Doctrine	148
2. Specific Decisions Regarding the Moral Utility Doctrine	149
a) Gambling Devices	149
aa) Legal Analysis of the Reasoning	150
bb) Historic Development of Morality with regard to Gambling Devices	151
b) Deceptive Devices or Devices with Mischievous Tendencies	152
aa) Legal Analysis of the Reasoning	153
bb) Decline of the Moral Utility Doctrine	154
3. Recent Development and Status Quo	155
II. Rise of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering	157
1. Jeremy Rifkin as the “Most Hated Man in Science”	159
2. Re-Introducing Morality Concerns into U.S. Patent Law	160
3. Myriad Breast Cancer Genes Patent Case	162
4. Ultimate Place of Morality within the Patent Subject Matter Doctrine?	166
5. Influence on Canadian Jurisprudence	167
III. The Role of Human Dignity in the U.S. Patent System	170
1. Historical Development within the U.S. Legal System	170
a) Historical Background of the Introduction of Human Dignity	171
b) Legal Assessment of Human Dignity within the U.S.	172
c) Comparing U.S., German and European Approaches to Human Dignity	174
2. Relevance of Human Dignity for the Patent Law System	175
a) Discussing the Legal Reasoning	176
b) Human Dignity in the Context of Biotechnology as a Precedent	177
3. Summary	178
IV. Differences in Judicial Arguments	179
V. Morality and Patentability in Relation to State Powers	180
VI. Conclusion and Recommendations	182
E. Morality and Patentability in Chinese Patent Law	184
I. Chinese Legal Culture and Patent Law	184
II. Development of Patentability Concerns in China	185
III. Morality and Chinese Legal Culture	187
1. Governmental Influence on the Legal Culture in China	188
2. Moral Considerations in Chinese Culture	189
3. Morality in the Context of Legal Culture	191
IV. Conclusion	197

Contents	13
----------	----

F. Summary and Comprehensive Assessment	199
--	-----

Bibliography	204
---------------------------	-----

Index	228
--------------------	-----